

# Review paper

# Current status, potential threat and challenges of *Turnip Mosaic Virus* (TUMV) management on Brassica crops

Keshav Saharan, Naresh Mehta\* GS Saharan, and PD Meena<sup>1</sup>\*\*

CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar 125004, Haryana, India <sup>1</sup>ICAR-Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research, Bharatpur 321303, Rajasthan, India \*Correspondence email: nareshmehta282@gmail.com; \*\*pdmeena@gmail.com (Received: 06 Dec 2023; Revised: 18 December 2023; Accepted: 26 December 2023)

## Abstract

Turnip Mosaic Virus (TuMV) is the widest spread viral disease causing 30-90% yield losses in oilseeds Brassica crops at about 35 countries in the world especially when it is associated with Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (CaMV), and Beet Western Yellows Virus (BWYV). Its origin is from a virus of wild orchids, which acquired the pathogenicity on Allium spp. and then through wild Brassica plants became pathogenic to cultivated Brassica and Raphanus. The TuMV is a member of genus Potyvirus in the family potyviride has flexcious filamentous particles 135 Å wide with a model length of 729 nm, containing a single copy of a single stranded positive sense RNA (+ssRNA) genome. Virions are 720 x 15-20nm, flexcious rods and are composed of 95 % coat protein (CP) and 5 % RNA. Under field conditions, it is transmitted by more than 89 species of aphids in non-persistent transmission mode, however, mainly by Myzus persicae and Brevicoryne brassicae. In general symptoms of TuMV infection are vein clearing, chlorotic mottling, leaf distortion, mosaic, necrosis, and plant stunting and in severe cases host death. Symptom variation in different Brassica species may be observed influenced by environmental conditions, virus strains, aphid vector activity, host genotypes, crop growth stage, and association of other viruses. Its host range is very wide infecting more than 318 species of 156 genera in dicots and monocots including several field crops, ornamentals and weeds. Pathogenic variability in TuMV has been recorded from more than 20 counties in the form of strains/pathotypes and phylogenetic groups infecting different hosts. The molecular mechanisms of host infection and pathogenesis have been observed through identification of effectors and determinant genes during host-virus interactions. The effectors alter the host metabolism to suit viral replication to increase its capability to become more virulent for increased cell infection and pathogenesis. Host resistance to TuMV in Brassica crops governed by both qualitative and quantitative genes. In B. rapa (A) genome, 15 dominants and 6 recessive genes have been mapped to provide resistance to different isolates/pathotypes of TuMV. Five dominant genes and QTLs have been mapped in A and C genome of B. napus. One dominant and 3 recessive genes have been mapped in A genome of B. juncea lines. In B. oleracea (C) genome, one dominant gene TuRBO2 has been mapped to provide broad-spectrum resistance to TuMV isolates. The Raphanus sativus cv. Daikan has resistance to pathotypes 1 and 8, while cv. Sparkler has extreme resistance to pathotype 1, 7, 8 of TuMV. In Arabidopsis thaliana (A) genome, 5 dominant genes and 2 recessive genes have been mapped in different ecotypes to provide resistance to TuMV isolates/pathotypes. During Arabidopsis-TuMV interaction, upregulation of GSTs as well as cellular and apoplastic GGT with GR activities limits TuMV replication to exhibit resistance. It is difficult to control TuMV because of its wide host range as reservoirs of inoculum, high variability, numerous insect vectors, and development of resistance to insecticides in aphid vectors to make them ineffective. Use of host resistant cultivars is the most effective management method. The use of integrated approaches with precautionary measures to prevent introduction and spread of virus through early warning system for virus incidence can help in effective management TuMV.

Keywords: Brassica crops, management, Turnip Mosaic Virus, transmission vectors

#### Introduction

The *Turnip Mosaic Virus* (TuMV) is the first virus disease discovered on *Brassica rapa* in 1921 in the USA (Gardner and Kendrick, 1921; Schultz, 1921) out of more than thirteen viral diseases known to occur on crucifer's host species. However, typical symptoms of flower breaking in annual stock (*Matthiola incana*) by TuMV infections were described in France in 1862

(Tompkins, 1939). In a survey of virus diseases of vegetable crops made in 28 countries, TuMV has been ranked at second position after *Cucumber Mosaic Virus* (Tomlinson, 1987). It also infects several non-*Brassica* crops, ornamentals, and several weeds including model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* widely used for molecular biological studies. Its origin is believed to be from a virus

of wild orchids in the Mediterranean region or Middle East approximately about 1000 years ago and spread via southern Europe to Asia Minor region and adapted to wild cultivated Brassica crops. During the last 104 years (1921-2024) after discovery of TuMV, lot of data has been generated on aspects of pathogen, its taxonomy, genome, phylogenetics, serology, transmission, pathogenic variability, infection and pathogenesis, hostpathogen interaction, identification of effectors genes, the disease, its symptomatology, distribution, host range, economic losses, epidemiology, sources of disease resistance, genetics and molecular mechanisms of host resistance, identification of R-loci, transfer of resistance, and disease management practices (Tomlinson, 1970; 1987; Shattuck, 1992; Walsh and Jenner, 2002; Nellist et al., 2022). The historical events in the discovery of TuMV have been given in the tabular form (Table 1). These historical discoveries had been a great source of inspiration for the Brassica crops scientists to set the pathways of research through discoveries on TuMV as pathogen, its interactions, and effects on host and device strategies for disease management. The TuMV ability to infect Arabidopsis makes an excellent model to study plant virus hostpathosystem to reveal molecular mechanisms of host resistance and viral pathogenesis to breed durable resistant cultivars of Brassica crops. The TuMV infection is positively associated with Cauliflower Mosaic Virus and Beet Western Yellows Virus but it is negatively associated with Turnip Yellows Mosaic Virus on crucifers' hosts. The TuMV symptoms on the host are diverse and in general include vein clearing, mosaic, necrosis, plant stunting and host death. Under field conditions, mixed infection of TuMV with other Brassica viruses is very common.

The TuMV is a potential threat to production and productivity of *Brassica* crops, since it can cause yield losses in the range of 30-90 per cent under congenial epidemic conditions. Its management is challenging because of wide host range, non-persistent transmission by large number of insect vectors, development of resistance to insecticides in the insect vectors, and evolution of new strains/pathotypes with new virulence by the virus. However, the prospective management strategies to manage this notorious virus should be to transfer R-genes through conventional and molecular approaches to breed durable resistant cultivars of Brassica crops.

Table 1: Investigations of historical importance on *Turnip Mosaic Virus* 

Historical events	Year	References
Discovery of TuMV on B. rapa in USA	1921	Gardner and Kendrick (1921)
Transmissible mosaic disease of Chinese cabbage	1921	Schultz (1921)
TuMV causes serious loses in <i>Brassica</i> crops	1940	Ling and Yang (1940)
Aphid transmission of non-persistent TuMV	1953	Sylvester (1954)
Purification of TuMV	1960	Shepherd and Pound (1960)
Identification of TuMV strains on the basis of symptoms on <i>B. oleracea</i> and <i>N. glutinosa</i>	1963	Yoshii (1963)
Identification of broad-spectrum resistance to TuMV in <i>B. rapa</i>	1980	Provvidenti (1980)
Radish mosaic- a new virus disease caused by TuMV in India	1984	Ahlawat and Chenulu (1984)
Identification of QTLs in B. oleracea	1986	Pink et al. (1986)
Genetics of host resistance to TuMV in B. napus	1989	Walsh (1989)
The complete nucleotide sequence of TuMV RNA	1992	Nicolas and Laliberte (1992)
Identification of amino acid with aphid-transmissibility of TuMV	1993	Nakashima et al. (1993)
Arabidopsis thaliana – TuMV host pathosystem as model for molecular biological studies	1994	Martinez-Herrera et al. (1994)
Host gene silencing defense to virus	1997	Ratcliff et al. (1997)
Determination of TuMV cDNA clones' infectivity and	1998	Sanchez et al. (1998)
transcripts on the host A. thaliana		,
Mapping of QTLs ( <i>TuRBO2</i> ) in the C genome of <i>B. napus</i>	1999	Walsh et al. (1999)
The first TuMV resistant dominant gene <i>TURBO1</i> in a line	1999	Walsh <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Wester from <i>B. napus</i>		,
Identification of serotypes in TuMV	1999	Jenner <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Identification of HC-Pro effector to suppress post	2000	Dalmay et al. (2000)
transcriptional gene silencing		•

Identification of cylindrical inclusion gene of TuMV as	2000	Jenner et al. (2000b)
pathogenic determinant		
Identification of P3 and C1 as avirulence determinants	2002	Jenner et al. (2002a)
Ecology of TuMV in wild Brassica species	2003	Raybould et al. (2003)
Use of TuMV as biosafe viral vector	2008	Tourino et al. (2008)
Phylogenetic relationship of TuMV	2010	Gibbs and Ohshima (2010)
Ability of TuMV to use alleles from <i>B. rapa</i> for translation	2010	Jenner et al. (2010)
TuMV moves systemically through vascular tissues	2015	Wan et al. (2015)
Structure of TuMV	2019	Cuesta et al. (2019)
Cell to cell movement of TuMV via plasmodesmata	2021	Wang (2021)
Two TuMV strain from India shared identity with	2022	Singhal <i>et al.</i> (2022)
World B- pathotypes and sub-pathotype world B3		- , , ,

## The TuMV as a pathogen

The TuMV as a pathogen is very important infecting broad range of dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous plants and transmitted by >89 aphid species in a nonpersistent manner to develop in epidemic form in a very short period after infection. It is a member of the genus Potyvirus (Type species Potato Virus Y) in the family potyviride. It is only single potyvirus which infects Brassica crops all over the globe. Amongst the viral pathogens of Brassica, TuMV has been studied extensively. The TuMV has flexuous filamentous particles 135 Å wide with a model length of 720 nm, containing a single copy of a single stranded positive sense RNA (+ssRNA) genome. Virions are 720 x 15-20 nm flexuous rods and are composed of 95 per cent coat protein (CP) and 5 per cent RNA. Under field conditions TuMV is transmitted by aphids as vector but it is also readily sap transmitted mechanically to use in research investigation by the TuMV scientists (Edwardson and Christie, 1986; Walsh and Jenner, 2002; Nellist et al., 2022). It is believed that TuMV probably first acquired the pathogenicity on Allium plants and then through wild Brassica plants became pathogenic to cultivated Brassica and Raphanus. The TuMV infection symptoms depend upon the virus strains, host plant species, aphid vector species and environmental conditions and their interaction time. The symptoms of TuMV infection under field condition may be severe and confusing when plants are simultaneously infected with other viruses like mixed infection of TuMV with Cucumber Mosaic or/and Tomato Virus; TuMV with CaMV, Broccoli Necrotic Yellows Virus and Beet Western Yellows Virus. From India, the biological, serological and coat protein properties of potyviride causing mosaic disease of crucifers have been investigated (Chiu and Chang, 1982; Walsh and Tomlinson, 1985; Hardwick et al., 1994; Jenner and Walsh, 1996; Haq et al., 1994).

#### Transmission of TuMV

The virus can be transmitted readily by mechanical sap inoculation but not by Cuscuta species. In general, its thermal inactivation point is below 62°C, the dilution end point in sap is between 10<sup>-3</sup> and 10<sup>-4</sup> and infectivity is retained at 20°C for 3-4 days. Infective sap kept at 2°C retains infectivity for several months. Seed transmission is host and isolate specific. TuMV isolate 12 is transmitted through Brassica seeds up to 14 per cent. TuMV is mainly transmitted by aphids (> 89 species) under field conditions from infected plants to healthy plants. The generalist aphis Myzus persicae and specialist's aphid Brevicoryne brassicae with all instars can transmit the virus. It can be acquired in less than one minute and transmitted in less than one minute. There is no latent period and it is retained in some vectors for less than 4 hrs. In Australia, TuMV is transmitted nonpersistently by the aphid species mainly Myzus persicae, B. brassicae and L. pseudobrassicae who colonize Brassicae hosts. Many other aphid species which do not colonize Brassica species are also potential vectors. Under Asian conditions, the specialist aphid Lipaphis erysimi is an important vector. The virus strain from India have been reported to be transmitted in a nonpersistent manner by Myzus persicae, Brevicoryne brassicae and Aphis gossypii. The protein ORF, HC-Pro functions as helper component (HC) for aphid transmission and protease activity. Critical amino acids for aphid transmissibility of the virus have been identified in this protein. This protein acts as multimer to aid binding of viral coat protein to the aphid stylet A lysine motif (KITC) located within the N-terminal cysteine -rich domain of HC-Pro along with another HC-Pro motif named PTK is essential for aphid transmission. Flea battles (*Phyllotreta* spp.) and thrips (Thrips angusticeps) can also transmit the virus. (Tompkins, 1939; Sylvester, 1953, 1954; Kennedy et al., 1962; Edwardson and Christie, 1986; Haq et al., 1994; Wang and Pirone, 1999; Nellist et al., 2022).

## TuMV genome and particle structure

The genome of TuMV isolate UK 1 consists of +ssRNA molecules 9830-9833 nucleotide in length. Most of the isolates have polyprotein coding regions of 9492

nucleotides. Between the isolates genome sequence nucleotide identifies are >76 per cent. The 5' terminus of genome RNA is capped with single covalently attached molecules of the genome linked viral protein (VPg). The 3'-terminus consists of a poly A tail of variable length similar to potyviruses. The regions encode the protein (P1), helper- component proteinase protein (HC-Pro), protein 3 (P3), potyviride ORF (PIPO), 6 KDa 1 protein, cylindrical inclusion (C1) protein, 6 KDa 2 protein, VPg nuclear inclusion a-proteinase protein (Nia-Pro), nuclear inclusion of b protein (Nib), and coat protein (CP) with length of 1086, 1374, 1065, 177, 156, 1932-1935, 159, 573-576, 729, 1551 and 864-867 nucleotides respectively. The structural organization of TuMV isolates is similar to other potyviruses known so far along with all motifs. More than 80 per cent TuMV isolates are recombinants. On the basis of polyproteincoding sequences of non-recombinant isolates, they have been partitioned into six major phylogenetic groups as Asian BR, basal B, basal BR, Iranian, orchis and world B (Basso et al., 1994; Sanchez et al., 1998; Tan et al., 2004; Ohshima et al., 2007; Kawakubo et al., 2021; Nellist et al., 2022). The complete genome sequences of two TuMV world-B3 strains infecting yellow and black mustard in India were investing through high throughput RNA sequencing subjecting ribosomal RNA depleted mRNA revealed that viral genomes of the two isolates were 9817 and 9829 nucleotides long. They featured two open reading frames (ORFs), one of which encoded a polyprotein comprised of 3164 amino acids and the other of which encoded a PIPO protein of 62 amino acids (Singhal et al., 2022).

The particle structure of TuMV has been viewed through cryo-electron microscopy at a resolution of 5 Å. The empty structure of virus-like particles has also been resolved. The virions are non- membranous, elongated and flexuous, 135 Å wide with a model length of 720nm. Virions display a left-handed helical arrangement of > 2000 copies of CP, which enclose a single +ss RNA molecules. Regions of the filamentous stretch and shrink with an aptitude of around 2 Å per turn. The wall of the flexuous tube is made up of core domains of the capsomers and central regions of the CPs. In the boundary between CP sub-units there is a network of protein-RNA and protein-protein interactions that supports the proper orientation of the flexible Nterminal arm. The participation of flexible N- and C terminal arms in the interaction between Cp subunits is the structural basis for the flexible nature of the virions. The N-terminal domain of each capsomers is projected towards the exterior of the tube, whereas the C-terminal domain is internally aligned with vertical axis. The Nterminal arm of each TuMV CP interacts with another two subunits. After a 90° turn, the N-terminal arm reacts to other subunits in the next turn of the helix. The ssRNA

resides in a groove at the folded central domain, just next to the last helix. The two ends of the flexuous particles are not identical. One of the them holds the S' end of the viral RNA, which is covalently linked to a viral protein VPg. This tip presents a protruding structure associated with VPg and HC-Pro. TuMV particles are made up of protein RNA, sugars, and phosphates. The studies conducted in India reveals that the Average size of the virus particles in a purified preparation was 740 nm × 12 nm. The SDS-PAGE analysis of the viral coat protein showed two major bands of approximately 37 kDa and 31 kDa, a pattern very similar to that of a reference isolate of turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) from the USA. In Western-blot immunoassay assay, an antiserum to TuMV reacted with both the coat protein bands of the Poty-Rape isolate and the TuMV, but not with the coat proteins of four other potyviruses. The highperformance liquid chromato-graphic profile of tryptic peptides from the coat protein of Poty-Rape was found to be very similar to that of the reference TuMV, but differed substantially from those of four other potyviruses as reported from other parts of the world. So, the Poty-Rape isolate is considered to be a distinct strain of TuMV in India (Haq et al., 1994; Sanchez et al., 1998; Torrance et al., 2005; Cuesta et al., 2019; Kawakubo et al., 2021; Nellist et al., 2022).

## The disease and symptomatology

The disease caused by TuMV are known by the association of virus name with group of crops/hosts by prefix TuMV as Turnip Mosaic Virus of oilseed crops (group of crops) and TuMV of Brassica napus (host). The symptoms produced by TuMV infection may vary depending on host plant virus strain and environmental conditions prevalent at the time of interaction with growth stage of the host. In general symptoms include vein clearing, chlorotic mottling, leaf distortion, mosaic, necrosis, plant stunting and host death. In Brassica crops symptoms appear at the seedling stage in the form of chlorotic spots, leaf mottling followed by vein clearing, mosaic, necrosis, leaf distortion, and stunting. Symptoms expression in Brassica crops are greatly affected by the TuMV strain and temperature at the initial stages of the host virus interaction. In B. napus genotypes some isolates of virus develop progressive necrosis of leaves, petioles and stem leading to host death. Dry spots and mosaic at seedling stage. Siliquae of Brassica crops at severe infection stage are reduced in size and number, some are malformed without seed. The seed size is reduced with poor yield of diseased plants. Seed viability is also affected. The temperature influences type of symptoms on the host. In B. oleracea plants mottle symptoms are more pronounced at 28°C than plants grown at 16°C. The cytoplasm of diseased leaf epidermal, mesophyll and phloem cells contain

cylindrical cytoplasmic inclusions consisting of pin wheels, bundles, scrolls and laminated aggregates. Mosaic and shrinking at seedling stage. In India, infection on yellow and black mustard exhibit leaves puckering and mosaic like symptoms with 100 percent severity (Pound and Walker, 1945; Walsh and Tomlinson, 1985; Edwardson and Christie, 1986; Sanchez et al., 2015; Nellist et al., 2022). Characteristic symptoms on different hosts by TuMV strains are given in Table 2. It shows how virus/ strain express itself on different hosts under the influence of host-environment interaction at different growth stages of Brassica hosts and aphid vector activity. Under field conditions, sometimes simultaneous infection of more than one virus my cause severe and confusing symptoms. TuMV infection often occurs mixed with Cucumber Mosaic and Tobacco Virus. The mixed infection of TuMV with CaMV, Broccoli Necrotic Yellows Virus and Beet Western Yellows Virus has also been observed (Chiu and Chang, 1982; Walsh and Tomlinson, 1985; Hardwick et al., 1994; Jenner and Walsh, 1996; Singhal et al., 2022).

Table 2: Characteristic symptoms of *Turnip Mosaic Virus*/ strain specific infection on different host species

Host species	Characteristic symptoms	References
Arabidopsis thaliana Stunting, deeply serrated leaves, flower with		Kasschau et al. (2001)
	narrow sepals, split carpel's, aborted anthers	Sanchez et al. (2015);
	and sterile plants. Flower stalk elongation	Lopez-Gonzalez et al. (2020
	cell wall alterations	
Brassica juncea	Veins clearing near leaf base, few or no	Ling and Yang (1940)
	flower production. Siliquae poorly filled	
	and shriveled	
B. napus Dry spots and mosaic at seedling		Nellist <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	stage. Leaf necrosis, host death	
B. napus	Strip spots, wheel spots and dot	Walsh and Tomlinson (1985);
	spots at plant stage. Mosaic, leaf distortion,	Walsh and Jenner (2002)
	stunting, net brown necrosis in the leaves.	
B. oleracea	Mottling, black necrotic spots, ring spots	Hunter <i>et al.</i> (2002)
B. oleracea	Internal necrotic spots	Nellist <i>et al.</i> (2022)
B. rapa ssp. perviridis	Leaf distortion, leaf mosaic	Nellist et al. (2022)
B. rapa	Leaves puckering and mosaic	Singhal <i>et al.</i> (2022)
B. nigra	Leaves puckering and mosaic	Singhal <i>et al.</i> (2022)
Erysimum sp.	Flower breaking	Tompkins (1939)
Matthiola incana	R. Br.Flower breaking	Tompkins (1939)

## Host range

The TuMV has very wide host range of cultivated crops and weeds. It infects more than 318 species of 156 genera in dicots including Crucifereae, Compositae, Chenopodiaceae, Leguminaceae and Caryophyllaceae with large number of monocots in families Amaryllidaceae, Araceae, Commelinaceae, Iridaceae, Liliaceae, Musaceae and Orchidaceae. The virus strain in India readily infected 4 of the 5 plant species in the family Brassicaceae in which it induced severe systemic mosaic symptoms. It also causes chlorotic and necrotic local lesions in Chenopodium amaranticolor, but failed to infect 4 other species of Chenopodiaceae. However, the virus infects 20 other plant species belonging to the family of Amaranthaceae, Apiaceae, Canabinaceae, Compositae, Cucurbitaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Leguminosae and Solanaceae. The TuMV is most damaging to cruciferous crops causing yield losses of up to 70 per cent in several countries all over the globe. These crucifers include all species of *Brassica napus*, *B*. rapa, B. oleracea, B. juncea, Eruca sativa, B. carinata, B. nigra, Raphanus sativus including a weed model host plant Arabidopsis thaliana. Other important field crops infected by TuMV are pea, chickpea, and coriander (Edwardson and Christie, 1991; Haq et al., 1994; Li et al., 2018; Palukaitis and Kim, 2021).

## Geographical distribution

The virus is widely distributed in the areas where Brassica crops including Oilseeds and vegetables are grown all over the world. It is endemic in temperate and tropical regions wherever these crops are grown. Although TuMV was reported long back in 1862 in France but on Brassica crops, it was first reported in USA in 1921 followed by UK in 1935. The center of origin of TuMV is believed to be Mediterranean and Middle East countries then spread to other countries of the world. The virus is severe and most damaging in the countries like Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, China, Czeck- Republic, Denmark, Europe, France, Germany, Greece, India, Iran, Italy, Japan, Kenya, Myanmar, Netherlands, New Zealand; Poland, Portugal,

Russia, Serbia, Spain, South Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey, Ukraine, UK, USA, Uzbekistan, and Vietnam. Apart from these countries TuMV occurs in mild to severe form on Brassica crops in several regions of the countries from Europe, Asia, Africa and New world (Tomitaka and Ohshima, 2006; Korkmaz et al., 2008; Farzadfar et al., 2009; Nguyen et al., 2013a; Yasaka et al., 2015; Nguyen 2021; Kawakubo et al., 2021, Nellist et al., 2022). In-spite of first report of TuMV on Brassica crops in nineteenth century; it was reported quite late from many countries of the world (Table 3). It seems that either the virus is of minor effect or there is lack of resources like finance and technical men power from where the occurrence of TuMV is awaited.

#### Yield losses

Amongst viral diseases of Brassica crops, TuMV disease is the most damaging disease on Brassica oilseeds and vegetable crops. Its infection at early

Table 3: First report of Turnip Mosaic Virus on Brassica

growth stage of the crops has adverse effect on host crop growth and production. The severely infected plants are stunted in growth with a smaller number of siliquae, poorly filled, twisted and have shriveled seeds. Such plants produced a smaller number of branches. Seeds of severely infected siliquae are smaller in size and have reduced viability and oil contents. Yield losses from severely infected B. napus crop have been recorded from 30-90 per cent from different countries of the world. Yield losses of 50 per cent has been recorded from Kenya in B. oleracea var capitata (Table 4). The TuMV in association with BWYV produces internal necrotic spot in white cabbage and reduce the yield and quality of crops. The TuMV induces major development traits and flower stalk elongation in model host plant Arabidopsis (Shattuck and Stobbs, 1987; Hardwick et al., 1994; Hunter et al., 2002; Spence et al., 2007; Sanchez et al., 2015; Milosevic et al., 2015).

Host species	Year	Country	References
Brassica rapa	1921	USA	Gardner and Kendrik (1921); Schultz (1921)
Brassica oleracea	1935	UK	Smith (1935)
Brassica napus	1940	China	Ling and Yang (1940)
Brassica oleracea	1959	Australia	Conroy (1959)
Brassica napus	2002	Iran	Shahraeen et al. (2002)
Brassica spp.	2003	Iran	Shahraeen et al. (2003)
Brassica spp.	2004	Spain	Moreno <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Brassica spp.	2007	Turkey	Korkmaz et al. (2007)
Brassica spp.	2015	India	Singh <i>et al.</i> (2015, 2018)
Brassica rapa	2015	India	Singh <i>et al.</i> (2015, 2018)
Brassica spp.	2016	Serbia	Milosevic et al. (2015)
Brassica spp.	2018	Ukraine	Shevchenko et al. (2018)
Brassica juncea	2020	India	Kapoor <i>et al.</i> (2020)
Raphanus sativus	1984	India	Ahlawat and Chenulu (1984)

Table 4: Yield losses caused by TuMV in different crops

Yield loss (%)	Crops	Country	References
30	Brassica napus	Canada	Shattuck and Stobbs (1987)
70	Brassica napus	UK	Hardwick et al. (1994)
90	Brassica napus	China	Wei et al. (1960)
70-79	Brassica napus	Serbia	Milosevic et al. (2015)
50	B. oleracea var. capitata	Kenya	Spence <i>et al.</i> (2007)
46-84	B. juncea	Australia	Jones et al. (2021)

## TuMV infection and multiplication

The host infection by TuMV takes place by aphid vectors while after feeding on infected host, they visit healthy plants and start probing or feeding. Aphid vectors acquire virus from diseased host and introduce the virus in plant cell via the stylet of aphids in the typical nonpersistent transmission mode during aphid feeding and probing. Once in the host cell, the virus particles are uncoated and the genome replication/ multiplication start to produce more numbers of virus particles. The TuMV establish relationship with host cells and tissues similar to (+) RNA viruses. RNA is a messenger RNA of viral genome from which the final functional proteins are auto-proteolytically released after encoding a large polyprotein. The other mechanism involves polymerase slippage, which is responsible for the production of a mRNA encoding an additional fusion protein, PIPO. To interact with eukaryotic as a factor for translation/ multiplication initiation 6K2 and VPg proteins are covalently linked to the 5' of the viral RNA. The template specificity to prevent the viral multiplication of host mRNAs is believed to present in the sequence of 3' end of the viral RNA. The first protein of the ORF, P1 is very basic and has the ability to bind single stranded nucleic acids (RNA or DNA) and dsRNA. It functions in RNA translation and /or the transport of nucleic acids between cells, perhaps by altering the size exclusion limits of plasmodesmata. Multiplication/replication of TuMV occurs in association with membranous structures present in the cellular endo-membrane system. After TuMV host cell entry, its 6K2 protein is responsible for the membrane proliferation. New viral RNA progeny is encapsulated, which are mostly accumulated in vacuoles (Jenner et al., 2010; Grangeon et al., 2012; Jin et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2020).

The movement of the virus after infection takes place by the process of inter and intra-cellularly from the initial infected cells. For this process membranous 6K2containing replication trait accumulates pre-nuclearly, and the n move intercellularly towards the cell periphery like organelle cytoplasmic streaming. In this complex process numbers of proteins are involved. The C1 protein is crucial in forming inclusions bodies and recognized as hallmarks of potyvirus infected cells. Cell plasmo-desmata are modified to serve as places for simplistic movement, possibly for virions or ribonucleoprotein complexes. The apoplastic movement of virus is in extracellular space. The virus moves systemically through vascular bundles. The TuMV replication complexes are present in both phloem sieve elements and xylem vessels. Photosynthetic sink parts of the infected plants are the first target to reach especially roots. Systemic virus accumulation is strongly influenced by plant growth period like bud formation for inflorescence during which no increased accumulation in systemically invaded leaves with negligible viral particles in the roots (Lunello et al., 2007; Wan et al., 2015; Movahed *et al.*, 2017, 2019 a, b; Lopez-Gonzalez et al., 2020; Wang, 2021).

## Pathogenic variability of TuMV

The pathogenic variability in TuMV has been observed in the form of strains and pathotypes along with their phylogenetic groups differentially infecting crucifers and other hosts from more than 20 countries all over the world (Table 5). The variability in TuMV may arise through point mutation and recombination. RNA polymerases lack 3'-5' exonucleolytic proof reading activity, and mismatch repair cannot occur on single stranded progeny genomes. As result, there is high rate of mis-incorporation error typically 0.1 -10 mutations for 10Kb molecules per replication cycle. In addition, replication slippage in the 5' region of the TuMV genome has been observed. The rapid evolution ability of TuMV makes it more virulent to infect wide range of host species. The strains and pathotypes of TuMV have been designated based on various schemes infecting specific host species, varieties, or cultivars. The first scheme was used by Yoshii (1963) to identify two strains on the basis of symptoms type on cabbage and Nicotiana glutinosa. Seven strains as pathotypes Tu1 to Tu7 were identified on the basis of symptoms types and disease severity index on *Brassica* spp. by Liu *et al.*, (1990). Differentials lines of B. rapa were used to distinguish 4, 5 and 6 strains by Provvidenti (1980), Green and Deng (1985) and Stobbs and Shattuck (1989) respectively. The TuMV strains were designated as pathotypes 1-12 on the basis of four B. napus differentials by Jenner and Walsh (1996). The pathotypes 1,3, and 4 are most predominate. Later on, Walsh and Jenner (2002) characterized resistance genes in these differentials along with virulence effectors of the virus. Four host specific isolates were identified as i- 'B' isolate which do not infect Raphanus but infect Brassica species with systemic mosaic symptoms, ii- ('B') isolates that do not infect *Raphanus* but sporadically infect (often latently) Brassica plants. iii- B (R) isolates infect Brassica species with systemic mosaic symptoms but occasionally infect Raphanus plants latently and iv-'BR' isolates that cause systemic mosaic in both Brassica and Raphanus plants (Ohshima et al., 2002; Tomimura et al., 2003; Nguyen et al., 2013b). Provvidenti (1986) identified strain CL which is unable to infect B. rapa cv. Tropical delight. European pathotype 1 is unable to infect B. rapa line R4 (Jenner and Walsh, 1996).

In Australia, TuMV isolates/pathotypes viz., AU1/8, NSW 1/7, NSW 2/1, 7; WA-AP1/8; 12.1, 12.5/-; AUST 19/-; AUST 23/- have been identified infecting Brassica cultivars differentially. Isolates 12.1 and 12.5 were identified as most virulent on *Brassica* cultivars. The sequences of Australian isolates of TuMV were in phylogenetic groups I and II of world B, II of Basal -BR, and IV of Basal B. The most virulent isolates 12-1 and 12-5 (resistance breaking) were in separate groups II of World-B than other two isolates, AUST 19 and AUST 23 (Yasaka, 2017; Nyalugwe et al., 2015b; Guerret et al., 2017).

Isolates of TuMV have also been identified as genetic clusters in four groups by Sanchez et al. (2003), and Guerret et al. (2017) viz., i- Brassica isolates on analysis of coat protein gene as MB genetic clusters) ii- Radish isolates on analysis of coat protein gene as MR genetic clusters; iii- Intermediate between Brassica and Radish as IBR genetic clusters and iv- Outside Brassica and

Radish clusters as OBR genetic clusters. Other isolates group include i- Orchis group from Germany consisting of sister lineage of orchis viruses (Nguyen et al., 2013b; ii- Iranian group on the basis of time scale of emergence and spread of TuMV (Yasaka et al., 2017), and iii-MR and JPN1 serotype on the basis of correlation between genetic cluster and serotype (Sanchez et al., 2003; Table 6). After analysis of 41 isolates from different hosts and geographical origins with a panel of 30 MAbs, three groups of isolates (Serotypes) were identified (Jenner et al., 1999). Isolates of TuMV identified from different sources have been placed equivalent to pathotypes and phylogenetic groups (Table 5).

Table 5: Identification of isolates/pathotypes of Turnip Mosaic Virus from different countries

Country	Isolates/Pathotypes	Phylogenetic group	References
Australia	AU1/8, NSW 1/7, NSW 2/1, 7, WA-AP1/8, 12.1, 12.5, AUST 19/-, AUST 23/-	World B	Walsh and Tomlinson (1985); Ohshima <i>et al.</i> (2002); Guerret <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Belgium	BEL1/7	OBR	Sanchez et al. (2003)
Canada	CDN1/4	World B	Tomimura <i>et al.</i> (2003, 2004);
	CDN2 (aka Q-) Ca/3		Wang et al. (2009a,b)
China	CHN1/1	IBR	Sanchez et al. (2003);
			Ohshima et al. (2002);
	CHN 2, 3, 4, 5, 12/3	World B	Tomimura et al. (2004)
Czech Republic	CZE1/3	World B	Ohshima <i>et al.</i> (2002)
	CZE 5, 18/5,4	World B	Tomimura et al. (2004)
Denmark	DNK 2,3/5; DNK4/3	World B	Tomimura et al. (2004)
Europe	NSW 1, NSW 2; WA $-Ap/1$ ,	Pathotypes	Jenner and Walsh (1996)
	7, 8	1-12 from	Nyalugwe et al. (2016);
		European isolates	Jenner and Walsh (1996);
France	FRA 2/4	World B	Tomimura et al. (2004)
Germany	DEU 1/5	World B	Tomimura et al. (2004)
	DEU 2/4	IBR	Sanchez et al. (2003)
	DEU 4/1	Basal BR	Tomimura et al. (2004)
	DEU 5/4	World B	Tomimura et al. (2004)
	FRD 1/1	World B	Tomimura et al. (2004)
~	PV 376/4	World B	Ohshima et al. (2002)
Greece	GK 1/9	Basal B, B2	Ohshima <i>et al.</i> (2002);
	GRC 2, 6, 12, 17, 18, 31,	World B	Tomimura <i>et al.</i> (2004) Tomimura <i>et al.</i> (2004)
	32/1		, , , , , ,
	Sister lineage of orchis	Orchis group	Nguyen et al. (2013a, b)
India	Radish isolate	-	Ahlawat and Chenulu (1984);
			Kapoor <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	Identity of world B pathotypes	World- B and	Singhal <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	and sub-pathotype of world B3	world -B3	
	different from other isolates of		
	Asian BR- type		
Iran	All isolates	Iranian group	Yasaka <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Italy	ITA1/6	Basal- B	Ohshima <i>et al.</i> (2002)
	ITA 3/10	Basal B, B2	Tomimura <i>et al.</i> (2004);
			Wang <i>et al.</i> (2009a)
	ITA 4/5	Basal- B2	Tomimura et al. (2004)
	ITA 5, 6 /3	Basal- B2	Tomimura et al. (2004)
	ITA 6/3	OBR	Sanchez <i>et al.</i> (2003)
	ITA 7/1	Basal- BR	Ohshima <i>et al.</i> (2002); Tomimura <i>et al.</i> (2004)
			10111111111111111111111111111111111111

Japan	JPN 1/7	MR	Sanchez et al. (2003)
Kenya	KEN 1/1	World -B	Ohshima <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Netherlands	NLD 1, 2/1	World -B	Tomimura et al. (2004)
Poland	POL 1,2,4/4	World -BT	Omimura <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Portugal	PRT 1/1	IBR, World -B	Sanchez et al. (2003);
			Tomimura <i>et al.</i> (2004)
United Kingdom	GBR 7/1	World -B	Ohshima <i>et al.</i> (2002)
	GBR 8/4	World –B	Tomimura <i>et al.</i> (2004)
	UK 1/1	World –B	Tomimura <i>et al.</i> (2004)
USA	USA 1/1	World –B	Ohshima <i>et al.</i> (2002);
			Tomimura <i>et al.</i> (2004)
	USA 4/5	World -B	Tomimura <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Uzbekistan	UZB1/7	Basal -B	Ohshima <i>et al.</i> (2002)

Pathotypes and phylogenic group designated by Jenner and Walsh (1996)

Table 6: Schemes /basis of identification of pathotypes/strains of Turnip Mosaic Virus

Pathotypes/ strains isolates	Schemes basis of identification	References
Two Strains	Symptom type on cabbage and	Yoshii (1963)
7 strains (Tu 1-7)	Nicotiana glutinosa Symptom types and disease severity indexes on Brassica species	Liu et al. (1990)
4 Strains (1-4)	Differential lines of <i>B. rapa</i>	Provvidenti (1980)
5 Strains (1-5)	Differential lines of <i>B. rapa</i>	Green and Deng (1985)
6 Strains (1-6)	Differential lines of <i>B. rapa</i>	Stobbs and Shattuck (1989)
12 pathotypes (1-12)4	Differential lines of <i>B. rapus</i>	Jenner and Walsh (1996)
Host specificity types 'B'	IsolateIsolate infect <i>Brassica</i> but do not infect <i>Raphanus</i>	Ohshima et al. (2002)
Isolates '(B)'	Isolates do not infect <i>Raphanus</i> but infect latently <i>Brassica</i> plants)	Nguyen et al. (2013b)
Host type 'B (R)'	Isolate cause systemic mosaic symptom in <i>Brassica</i> species and latent infection in <i>Raphanus</i>	Tomimura et al. (2003)
Host type 'BR' isolates	Isolate cause systemic mosaic symptoms in <i>Brassica</i> and <i>Raphanus</i> .	Tomimura et al. (2003)
Strain CL	Unable to infect <i>B. rapa</i> cv. Tropical delight	Provvidenti (1980)
European Pathotype 1	Unable to infect <i>B. rapa</i> line R4	Jenner and Walsh (1996)
MB genetic cluster	Brassica isolates on analysis of coat protein gene	Sanchez et al. (2003)
MR genetic cluster	Radish isolates on analysis of coat protein gene	Sanchez et al. (2003)
IBR genetic cluster	Intermediate between <i>Brassica</i> and radish clusters	Sanchez et al. (2003)
OBR genetic cluster	Outside <i>Brassica</i> and radish clusters	Sanchez et al. (2003)
Orchis group from Germany	Sister lineage of Orchis viruses	Nguyen <i>et al.</i> (2013a)
Iranian group	Time scale of emergence and spread of TuMV	Yasaka <i>et al.</i> (2017)
MR and JPN1 serotype	Correlation between genetic clusters and serotype	Sanchez et al. (2003)
Predominant serotype (30 isolates)	From <i>Brassica</i> /Europe/new World group based on CP amino acid homologies	Lehmann et al. (1997)
JPN1 serotype	Isolates from the radish and Asian group	Lehmann et al. (1997)

# Phylogenetic groups

The TuMV is phylogenetically related to poty-viruses' group being a member of lineage of potyviruses. In the potyvirus group more than 11 major phylogenetic groups

are known including the TuMV group. Other than crucifer's viruses, some sweet potato potyviruses are more closely related potyviruses to the TuMV group. The phylogenetic groups of TuMV consist of Japanese Yam Mosaic Virus, Narcissus Late Season Yellow

Viruses, Narcissus Yellow Strip Virus, Scallion Mosaic Virus, Wild Onion Symptomless Virus and TuMV. Apart from TuMV, all the species in the TuMV phylogenetic group have been recorded from Monocots host plants including one isolate of wild Orchid, which are biologically and phylogenetically different from Brassica isolates. The major phylogenetic groups of TuMV are basal B (Brassica), Iranian basal - BR (Brassica/Raphanus), Asian- BR and World B groups. Some groups have been splitted into sub-groups like basal- B into – B1 and B2, Iranian group into Iranian 1 and Iranian 2, and the world B group into World B1, B2 and B3 sub-groups. Studies conducted in India reveals that two TuMV strains shared identity with the world-B pathotype and sub-pathotype world B3 which is its emergence first time in South Asia. This study indicates that other isolates reported previously from South Asia having Asian-BR pathotypes, and present report indicates that these are differ in their phylogeny. It indicates that it is first instance of TuMV association with black mustard naturally. Their geographical prevalence justifies a lower degree of genetic differentiation and higher rate of gene flow calculated between the World-B and Asian-BR pathotypes. This study provides insights knowledge on population structuring, expansions and evolution, level of genetic heterogeneity and variability of worldwide available isolates of TuMV (Gibbs and Ohshima, 2010; Nguyen et al., 2013a, b; Yasaka et al., 2015, 2017; Ohshima et al., 2018: Gibbs et al., 2020: Kawakubo et al., 2021: Nellist et al., 2022; Singhal et al., 2022).

## **Epidemiology**

The epidemic development of TuMV on *Brassica* crops is governed by several ecological factors. The major factors include the i). Wild Brassica populations in the near vicinity; ii). The TuMV association with other viruses during infection; iii). Age of the host plant at the time of infection, iv). The TuMV isolates/pathotypes involved in infection, v). Level of vulnerability in the Brassica population in the areas around vi). Evolution of TuMV isolates/pathotypes, vii). Initial source of virus inoculum, viii). Crop growth stage at primary infection, ix). Distance of primary source of inoculum, x). Influence of weather conditions (Temp. and rainfall on vector population, and xi). Species and population of aphids involved in virus transmission. The variations in the TuMV incidence have been recorded on wild B. oleracea populations in UK. There is a positive association of TuMV with Cauliflower Mosaic Virus and Turnip Yellows Virus but a negative association with the Turnip Yellow Mosaic Virus. The incidence of TuMV is higher in younger plants than older plants. The TuMV infection and severity is higher in wild B. oleracea plants causing mortality and reduced seed yield. With such plants, TuMV isolates belonging to pathotypes 1 have been observed. In Iran, high incidence of TuMV has been recorded on wild populations of Rapistrum rugosum and Sisymberium loeselii. The population of aphid vector, M. persicae and L. erysimi difference in non-infected and infected host plants. It is believed that introgression of virus resistance with major genes or a transgenic from a crop from increases host fitness in the natural population of B. oleracea. Serial passage of two TuMV isolates in arrange of less and more tolerant genotypes/ecotypes of Arabidopsis indicated that more tolerant genotypes promoted virus multiplication and reduced the effect of infection on plant mortality but not on plant fecundity (Jenner and Walsh, 1996; Walsh and Jenner, 2002; Raybould et al., 2003; Farzadfar et al., 2009; Adachi et al., 2018; Montes et al., 2021; Nellist et al., 2022).

Global changing climate is likely to induce alterations in epidemics of TuMV on Brassica crops around the world. The direct and indirect effects of climate change factors may be on plant growth, vector population and movement, and virus transmission and multiplication due to induced diversity in plant virus pathosystem. The probable effects are i). Modification of virus epidemic components resulting in congenial epidemics of higher magnitude, ii). Emergence of new and different kinds of TuMV vectors, iii). Evolution of new more virulent TuMV isolates/pathotypes, iv). Difficulties arising in management of virus diseases, v). Effect on Brassica plant virus research programmes, and vi). Effect on development of virus disease forecasting system/model. The changing climate variables include rainfall, temperature, wind velocity fluctuations of climate variables, and elevated levels of greenhouse gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, methane and others), which have major influences on virus epidemiology and Brassica crops yield losses. However, experimental data on each parameter of climate change effecting Brassica-TuMV host pathosystem are lacking (Jones and Barbetti, 2012; Jones, 2009, 2016, 2020, 2021).

## Molecular mechanism of infection and pathogenesis

The infection and pathogenesis of TuMV on Brassica is related to several effectors/determinant genes after interactions of the viruses with host plants. The effectors alter the metabolism of the host to suit viral replication to increase its capability to become more virulent for increased cell infection and pathogenesis. In the infection process VPg-NLa complex induces in protoplasts the decreased host translation through altered host metabolism. Similarly, C1 interacts with Histone H3 to affect host transcriptional shut down during infection. The sequestration of host chloroplast components necessary for viral replication takes place by interaction of CP with a host protein 37 KDa located in chloroplasts. Hc-Pro is an important virulence factor for viral pathogenesis through long distance movement and maintenance of genome replication. It acts as a suppressor of post transcriptional gene silencing factors. Its mechanisms of action are by elimination of the accumulation of small interfering RNAs by a calmodulation related cellular protein as in tobacco (rgs-CaM). The C1 and P3 genes are the virulence determinants for the breakdown of resistance genes TuRBO1, TuRBO1b, TuRBO5, and TuRBO4 in B. rapa cultivars. Amino acid (aa) 279 in the C-terminal of the P3 gene is a determinant for Arabidopsis stalk development when challenged with TuMV isolates UK1 and JPN1. A single amino acid change results in different sub-cellular location of P3 gene and different types of cell wall alterations depending upon virus strains. Narrow stem area and defects in secondary cell wall are due to TuMV strains JPN1 infection. This strain also reduces endothecium lignification. Infections with UK1 strain induce severe floral cell and organ development alterations along with a general transcriptional decrease of most regulatory genes. The infection of TuMV induces accumulation of PR1 protein which acts as virulence factors and allows more multiplication of virus in the host cells. The expression of genes by TuMV such as RbohD and RbohF is responsible for most ROS production during infection which promotes virus multiplication in the host plants. Plant NADPH oxidases, the respiratory burst-oxidase homologues (RBOHs) are a major source of reactive oxygen species (ROS) during host-pathogen interactions. NADH oxidases and RbohF are crucial in the regulation of the TuMV infection cycle in Arabidopsis. Systemic virus infection in Arabidopsis is induced by AtGSTU1 and AtGSTU24 which is correlated with significant downregulation of GSTs (glutathione transferases) and cellular and apoplastic GGT (y-glutamyl transferase with GR/glutathione reductase) activities. The genus AtGSTU19 and AtGSTU24 are important in modulating the response to TuMV in A. thaliana (Mc Clintock et al., 1998; Plante et al., 1999; Tempo et al., 1999; Kasschau and Carrington, 2001; Vance and Vaucheret, 2001; Jenner et al., 2002a; Suehiro et al., 2004; Sanchez et al., 2015; Lopez-Gonzalez et al., 2020; Otulak-Koziel et al., 2020, 2022, 2023; Table 7).

Table 7: Identification of effectors/ determinants genes of *Turnip Mosaic Virus* for infection and pathogenesis

Effectors / determinants genes	Mechanisms/Effects	References
VPg-NLa complex	Altered metabolism decrease host translation	Plante <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Interaction of C1 and Histone H3	Shut down host transcription for infection	Tempo et al. (1999)
Interaction of CP with 37KDa host protein	Sequestration of host chloroplast components for viral replication	Mc Clintoch et al. (1998)
HC-Pro suppress PTGS	Help long distance movement and	Kusschau and Carrington (2001);
	maintenance of viral genome replication. Elimination of small interfering RNAs	Vance and Vaucheret (2001)
C1 and P3	Systemic non-necrotic infection in <i>B. napus</i> resistant lines)	Jenner et al. (2002a)
P3- coding region	Systemic infection and regulation of virus accumulation and long-distance movement	Suehiro <i>et al.</i> (2004)
P3 cistron	Developmental and cell wall alterations in	Sanchez et al. (2015);
	Arabidopsis	Lopez-Gonzalez et al. (2020)
PR1 protein	More multiplication of virus	Otulak-Koziel et al. (2020)
RbohD, RbohF	Produces ROS during infection. Promotes virus replication	Otulak-Koziel et al. (2020)
AtGSTU1, AtGSTU24	Systemic virus infection	Otulak-Koziel et al. (2022, 2023)
AtGSTU19, AtGSTU24	Modulates response to TuMV	Otulak-Koziel et al. (2022)

### Host resistance

The management of TuMV under field conditions is very difficult through the spray of insecticides to control aphid vectors since they are not very effective because the virus is transmitted persistently and aphid have ability to evolve and develop resistance mechanisms against commonly used insecticides. The best way to manage TuMV is through host resistance. Initially sources/ resistance gene against TuMV were identified in Matthiola incana (recessive rm gene), Lactuca sativa (Dominant Tu gene), and Cichorium intypus (most accessions). In the Brassica species both qualitative

(dominant) and quantitative (recessive) genes have been identified to confer resistance to TuMV. Sources of resistance to TuMV with dominant and recessive genes have been identified and molecularly mapped on the chromosomes of B. rapa, B. napus, B. oleracea, B. juncea, and A. thaliana. The genetic inheritance of sources identified has been determined to breed durable resistance to TuMV. Most of the TuMV resistance genes are present in A genome of B. rapa (Chinese cabbage) along with some genes in A or C genome of B. napus, B. oleracea, B. juncea and A. thaliana (Table 8, 9).

(i) Brassica rapa: In B. rapa (A) genome 15 dominant genes and 6 recessive genes have been mapped to provide resistance to different isolates/pathotypes of TuMV. The dominant resistant genes identified are TuRBO1b, COnTRO1, BcTuR3, TuRBH01, TuRBO7, TuMV-R, R3, R4, R6, TuRBCSO1, Tu1, Tu2, Tu3, Tu4, and RNT1-1. The recessive genes include retrO1, rnt 1-2, rnt 1-3, retr O2 and trs. The dominant gene TuRBO1b from TD 34-S1 line of B. rapa is effective against pathotype 1 to provide resistance to TuMV. A dominant gene COnTRO1 from RLR 22 line of B. rapa provides broad spectrum resistance to seven pathotypes of TuMV (Pathotypes 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 12). The dominant gene BCTuR3 identified from cv. Duanbaigeng of Chinese cabbage shows hypersensitive response upon infection and is known as classic R-gene. The TuRBCHO1 gene from line Q048 of B. rapa has been mapped to confer resistance to isolate TuMV-C5. The TuRBO7 gene provides resistance to TuMV isolate C-4 mapped from lines VC1/VC-40 of B. rapa. The TuMV R gene from line VC-40 of B. rapa provides resistance to isolate TuMV-C-4. It has four classic R-genes, CC-NLR genes and two pathogenesis related 1 gene. Another dominant gene TuRBCSO1 identified from line 8407 of B. rapa also confer resistance to TuMV-C4 isolates. In B. rapa line Y195 -93, dominant genes R3, R4 and R6 have been mapped to confer resistance to TuMV-C4 isolate. Four dominant OTLs identified from B. rapa provide resistance to isolate TuMV-C3 (TuR1, TuR2, TuR3, TuR4) and three QTLs to isolate TuMV-C4 (Tu1, Tu2, Tu3), respectively. A dominant gene Rnt 1-1 from B. rapa line A 59 provides resistance to TuMV pathotype UK1. This gene (Rnt 1-1 resistance and necrosis to TuMV 1-1) is allelic or closely linked to the recessive gene rnt 1-2 and incompletely recessive to rent 1-3 (Tables 8, 9; Rusholme, 2000, Rusholme et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008a, b, 2009; Ma et al., 2010; Xinhua et al., 2011; Fujiwara et al., 2011; Chung et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015).

The broad-spectrum resistance recessive genes retr 01 has been mapped in B. rapa which is epistatic to a dominant gene CoTRO1 in a cross between B. rapa ver. Pekinensis and B. rapa spp. trilocularis. Both retr01 and *COnTRO1* have been identified as different copies of the isoform of eukaryotic translation initiation factors 4E, BraAelF (iso) 4E.a and BraAelF (iso) 4E.c, respectively. The gene retr O1 mapped to B. rapa line K185 has broadspectrum extreme resistance to TuMV. The resistance provided by this gene is effective against five isolates of TuMV (UK1, CZE1, GBR6, POL1, CDN1) representing major resistance breaking isolates /pathotypes 1, 3, 4.

A single recessive gene reteO2 from B. rapa line BP 8407 provides resistance to TuMV-C-4 isolate. Another recessive gene trs mapped to B. rapa line SB 18/SB 22 provides broad spectrum resistance to four isolates CHN2, CHN3, CHN4 and CHN5 of TuMV. This gene may be tightly linked to recessive gene retr O1 or another allele (Table 8, 9; Rusholme, 2002, Rusholme et al., 2007; Fujiwara et al., 2011; Qian et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Walsh et al., 2023.)

(ii) Brassica napus: It is an important oilseed crop in many countries yielding quality canola oil. B. napus is thought to have multiple origins resulting from independent natural hybridization events between B. oleracea and B. rapa having both genome (AACC). The TuMV strains are most virulent on the crop and cause severe losses. Five dominant genes and QTLs have been mapped in A (TuRBO1, 3, 4, 5) and C (TuRBO2) genome of B. napus to provide resistance to different isolates/pathotypes of TuMV. The dominant gene TuRBO1 has been mapped in B. napus line N-O-9 and it provides resistance to TuMV pathotype 1. The other dominant gene TuRBO2 from the same line (N-O-9) of B. napus is effective against isolates CHN2 and PN1 of TuMV. Third dominant gene, TuRBO3 identified from B. napus line 225 is effective to TuMV isolate CDN1. Fourth gene, *TuRBO4* mapped from line 165 of *B. napus* has broad spectrum resistance to TuMV isolates 1 and 3 (Table 8, 9; Walsh et al., 1999; Hughes, 2001; Jenner et al., 2002a, 2003).

Resistance in B. napus Australian cultivars or breeding lines to TuMV isolates/pathotypes under artificial inoculated conditions has been characterized as phenotypes. The different categories of phenotypes used were i). The +phenotype denotes susceptibility, ii). The RN/+ localized necrosis with systemic spread without necrosis, iii). The RN localized necrosis without systemic spread, iv). The +N systemic movement with necrosis, and v). The R localized resistance to systemic movement without necrosis. Twenty-two cultivars or lines segregating for different types of resistant phenotypes (+N, R and /or RN). None of the cultivars or lines showed extreme resistance phenotype (O). the resistance breaking TuMV isolates 12.1 and 12.5 showed susceptible phenotype (+) in 19 cultivars and one breeding line. The other isolates/pathotypes WA-

AP1/\*, NSW 1/7, and NSW 2/1 on inoculation showed four different resistant phenotypes (O, RN, R, and +N) either singly or segregating in different combination. The functional mechanisms of R-genes against TuMV isolates/pathotypes is very complex and influenced by R-genes combinations in the genotypes developed under different climatic situation and viral virulence presser. In the presence of a dominant gene TuRBO1b in B. napus cultivar, pathotype 3 showed +N types of phenotypes when two dominant genes TuRBO1 and TuRBO3 are present in the cultivar. The TuMV pathotypes 1 and 3 showed O type phenotype. When R-genes are used singly in the cultivars, then phenotype O develops in the presence of dominant gene TuRBO4 and phenotype RN with dominant gene TuRBO5, while both together show phenotype O to pathotype 3. In the presence of a dominant gene COnTRO1 and a recessive gene etroO1 phenotype R develops against several pathotypes of TuMV (1, 3-4, 7-9 or 12) (Hughes et al., 2002; Coutts et al., 2007; Guerret et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2021).

(iii) Brassica juncea: It is a natural amphidiploid derived from crosses between B. rapa and B. nigra with AB genome. All the resistance genes have been mapped to A genome. One dominant and three recessive resistant genes have been identified to confer resistance to TuMV isolates. A dominant resistance gene TuRBJVO1 mapped to B. juncea line oasis C18 provides resistance to TuMV isolate WA-AP1. One recessive gene retr O3 mapped to B. juncea line VCO29 is effective against TuMV isolate Z1. The gene retr 03 is an allele of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2B-beta (alF2Bβ), and has been identified to provide new mechanisms of resistance to TuMV. The other three recessive genes, retro 04 mapped to TWBJ 14 and TWBJ20, retr05 to TWBJ14, retr06 to TWBJ 20 line of B. juncea provide broad-spectrum resistance to TuMV isolates UK1, vVIR 24, CDN1 and GBR6 equivalent to pathotypes 1, 3, 4, and 5 respectively. An alternative oxidase (AOX) gene BjAOX1a of B. juncea has been cloned by RT-PCR. This gene contains several metal binding regions, α- helical regions and cysteine reduces similar to other AOX1 proteins. The AOX1 protein alleviates reactive oxygen species (ROS) and enhances resistance of B. juncea plants to TuMV.

The F2 progeny plants of B. juncea cross JMO6006 (+only) and Oasis C1 (+ND only) inoculated with pathotype 8 isolate WA-API showed segregation ratios of 3:1 (systemic necrosis: susceptibility) at an early stage of infection, but at late stage of infection, the segregation ratio was 1:2:1 (+ND: N: +). It indicated that a single incompletely dominant gene TuRBJUO1 responsible for expression of phenotypes +ND and +N in the homozygous and heterozygous conditions. The resistance gene TuRBJuO1 is not temperature sensitive

when tested at 16°C and 28°C. However, the gene TuRBJuO1 is strain specific as it was less effective to strains breaking resistance to B. napus and ineffective against NSW-3. The mechanisms of systemic hypersensitive resistance (SHR, phenotype +ND) in B. juncea gene TuRBJuO1 is elicited on TuMV challenge was found to be associated with phloem necrosis, xylem occlusion, lignification and hydrogen peroxide accumulation when viewed through light microscopy and histochemical analysis of cross section (Table 8,9; Zhu et al., 2012; Nyalugwe et al., 2015a, 2016; Shopan et al., 2017; Bramham et al., 2022).

- (iv) Brassica oleracea: It is an important Brassica species with 9 varieties as rich sources of vegetable and fodder crops to feed both human and animal populations of the world. In the search of several cvs. and breeding lines of white cabbage only field resistant was observed initially to the very destructive virus TuMV. Resistance in Brussels sprouts to TuMV was identified due to a partial dominant's gene. Subsequently four QTLs were identified which contributed resistance to TuMV. One dominant gene TuRBO2 has been mapped to B. oleracea (C) genome which provides broad-spectrum resistance to TuMV isolates. Resistance to pathotypes 1, 7, and 8 has been observed in cultivars of cauliflower, cabbage and broccoli with different levels from extreme to systemic resistance but genes have not been identified (Table 8, 9; Tomlinson and Ward, 1981; Pink et al., 1986; Walsh et al., 1999; Nyalugwe et al., 2015a; Guerret et al., 2017).
- (v) Brassica carinata and B. nigra: In these two species of Brassica mapping and identification of TuMV resistance is lacking. Although one cv and 8 accessions of B. carinata (BBCC) genome for resistance to pathotype 1 of TuMV were analyzed but they showed different patterns like resistance to systemic infection (One accession), segregation for systemic resistance (3 accessions), segregation for systemic resistance and /or extreme resistance (4 accessions), and segregation for systemic resistance with or without local necrosis (3 accessions). However, testing with TuMV pathotypes /7 on two of these accessions showed similar but not identical segregation patterns. The B. nigra (BB) genome using five accessions have been analyzed with TuMV pathotype 3 but none of the accessions showed any kind of resistance (Kehoe et al., 2010; Nyalugwe et al., 2014; Sardaru et al., 2018).
- (vi) Raphanus sativus: To identify resistance to TuMV in Raphanus on artificially synthesized Raphanobrassica hybrid (RRCC) genome was used and resistance identified on the chromosome from Kale B. oleracea (C) genome in a monosomic addition line (2n=19). Two QTLs have been identified in an F2 population using two radish-inbred lines. Two cloned

genes Rs el4E and RselF (iso) 4 E are involved in resistance to TuMV of radish. Extreme resistance in cv Daikan has been observed to pathotypes 1 (UK1) and 8 (JN1) of TuMV. Radish cv Sparkler has extreme resistance to pathotypes 1, 7, and 8 of TuMV. In radish

lines G07-12P1 and KBO7-IOP2, two QTLs related to resistance has been observed (Kaneko *et al.*, 1996; Li, 2009; Cheng, 2013; Lopez-Gonzalez *et al.*, 2017; Palukaitis and Kim, 2021).

Table 8: Crucifers sources of resistance to *Turnip Mosaic Virus* 

Host	Sources/genes	References
Cichorium intypus Chicory	Most accessions	Provvidenti et al. (1996)
Impatiens balsamina		Provvidenti et al. (1982)
Garden balsam		
Lactuca sativa Lettuce	Dominant Tu gene	Zink and Duffus (1970); Robbins et al. (1994)
Matthiola incana Stocks	Recessive rm gene	Johnson and Barmhart (1956)
Brassica oleracea	QTLs C4	Pink et al. (1986)
B. napus	TuRBO2	Walsh et al. (1999)
B. napus line Wester and Rafal	TuRBO1	Walsh et al. (1999); Walsh (1989)
B. napus line 165	TuRBO3, TuRB04, TuRBO5	Hughes (2001); Jenner et al. (2002b)
В. гара	TuRBO1b	Rusholme (2000)
B. rapa	Lines BPO79, BPO58	Walsh et al. (2002)
B. rapa line RLR22	Dominant ( <i>CanTRO1</i> ); recessive ( <i>retr</i> 01)	Rusholme (2000)
В. гара	BcTuR3	Ma et al. (2010)
<b>1</b>	TuRBCHO1	Xinhua <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	TuRBO7	Jin et al. (2014)
	TuMV-R	Chung et al. (2014)
	TuRBCSO1	Li et al. (2015)
	Tu1, Tu2, Tu3, Tu4 QTLs	Zhang et al. (2008b)
	Rnt 1-1, rnt1-2, rnt 1-3	Fujiwara et al. (2011)
	retrO1, ConTRO1	Rusholme et al. (2007)
	Retr O2	Qian et al. (2013)
	trs	Kim et al. (2013)
B. rapa	Line K185, retrO1	Walsh et al. (2023)
B. rapa line	R-O-18	Haj Kassem and Walsh (2008)
B. rapa lines	Jong Bai N02, Jin G55	Hughes et al. (2003)
	Line R 54 (QTLs)	Graichen and Schliephake (1996);
		Graichen and Rabenstein (1996)
B. oleracea	TuRBO2	Walsh et al. (1999)
Arabidopsis thaliana	TuiN1 ( <i>RGX</i> , <i>RG2</i> , <i>RG3</i> )	Liu et al. (2015)
Ecotypes	Bay-0, Di-O, Er-O, Or-O, UK1	Martin <i>et al.</i> (1999)
	One dominant gene	Kaneko <i>et al.</i> (2004)
	Lsp1	Lellis et al. (2002); Duprat et al. (2002)
	pcap1	Vijayapalani et al. (2012)
	RTM3	Rubio <i>et al.</i> (2019)
B. juncea	TuRBJUO1, retr O3	Nyalugwe et al. (2016);
		Shopan <i>et al.</i> (2017)
B. juncea	Retr O3, retrO4 (TWBJ14, TWBJ20)	Bramham et al. (2022)
	retrO5 (TWBJ14), retrO6 (TWBJ20); TuBRJUO1	Nyalugwe et al. (2015b)
Raphanus sativus	cvs. Daikan, Sparkler	Palukaitis and Kim (2021)

Table 9: Identification of R-genes to  $Turnip\ Mosaic\ Virus\$  isolates/pathotypes

Resistance genes/ QTLs genome	Host/lines	Effective against pathotypes/isolates	References
Tu	Lactuca sativa	-	Robbins <i>et al.</i> (1994)
rm	Matthiola spp.	-	Johnson and Barnhart (1956)
TuRBO1	Brassica napus (A) N-0-9	1	Walsh et al. (1999)
TuRBO1 b	B. napus (A) TD34-S1	1	Rusholme (2000); Lydaite et al. (2014)
TuRBO2	B. napus (C) N-0-9	CHN1, IPN1	Walsh <i>et al.</i> (1999)
TuRBO3	B. napus (A) 225	CDN1	Hughes (2001, 2003)
TuRBO4	B. napus (A) 165	1,3	Jenner et al. (2002a, 2003)
TuRBO5	B. napus (A) 165	1,3	Jenner et al. (2002a, 2003)
retro1	B. rapa (A) RLR 22	1,3,4,7,8,9,12	Rusholme (2000, 2007)
ConTRO1	B. rapa (A) RLR 22	1,3,4,7,8,9,12	Rusholme (2000, 2007)
BcTurR3	B. rapa var. chinensis (A) Duanbaigeng	-	Ma et al. (2010)
TuRBCHO1	B. rapa var. chinensis (A) Q048	C5	Xinhua et al. (2011)
TuRBO7	B. rapa (A) VC1/VC40	C4	Jin et al. (2014)
TuRBCSO1	B. rapa (A) 8407	C4	Li et al. (2015)
Tu1, Tu2, Tu3, Tu4	B. rapa (A) 91-112	C4	Zhang et al. (2008 a, b)
TuR1, TuR2, TuR3, TuR4	B. rapa	C3	Zhang et al. (2008a)
Tu1, Tu2, Tu3,	B. rapa	C4	Zhang et al. (2009)
Rnt 1-1	B. rapa (A) A59	UK1	Fujiwara et al. (2011)
retrO1	B. rapa	-	Rosholme et al. (2007)
ConTRO1	B. rapa	=	Rosholme et al. (2007)
retrO2	B. rapa (A) BP 8407	C4	Qian et al. (2013)
R3, R4, R6	B. rapa (A) Y195-93	C4	Zhang et al. (2009)
trs	B. rapa (A) SB18/ SB22	CHN2, CHN3, CHN4, CHN5	Kim et al. (2013)
TuMV -R	B. rapa (A) VC-40	C4	Chung <i>et al.</i> (2014)
retrO1	B. rapa K185	UK1, CZE1, GBR6, POL1, CDN1 (Pathotypes 1, 3,4)	Walsh et al. (2023)
TuRBJUO1	B. juncea (A) Oasis C18	(WA-Ap1)	Nyalugwe et al. (2015a, b, 2016)
retrO3	B. juncea (A) VC 029	Ž1	Shopan <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Retr04 (TWBJ14,	B. juncea TWBJ14,	UK1, vV/R24,	Bramham <i>et al.</i> (2022)
TWBJ20), retr 05	TWBJ20	CDN1, GBR6	` ,
(TWBJ14),		(Pathotype 1,3,4,4)	
retr06		VE - 2-2 3-7	
(TWBJ20)			
TuRBO2	B. oleracea (C)	-	Walsh et al. (1999)
TuN1 (RGX,	A. thaliana	-	Liu et al. (2015)
RG2, RG3)			( )
lsp1	A. thaliana	-	Lellis et al. (2002)
pcap1	A. thaliana	_	Vijayapalani <i>et al.</i> (2012)
RTM3 region	A. thaliana	_	Rubio <i>et al.</i> (2019)
Ecotype Bay -O-Di-O,	A. thaliana	UK 1	Martin <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Er-O, Or-O Ecotype ber	One dominant gene	-	Kanko <i>et al.</i> (2004)

(vii) Arabidopsis thaliana: The Arabidopsis has been widely used as a model plant to study Brassica hostpathosystem through which molecular mechanisms of host resistance and pathogenesis has been revealed against major pathogens (Saharan et al., 2022). In a screen of 106 ecotypes of Arabidopsis, ecotypes bay-O, Di-O, Er-O, and Or-O were found resistant to systemic infection of TuMV isolate UK1. One ecotype Bay -O also has resistance to cell-to-cell movement of the virus. A single dominant gene in the A. thaliana ecotype erO provides resistance to TuMV for systemic venial necrosis. A dominant gene TuN1 in the NLR-R gene cluster has been mapped for resistance to TuMV. This gene is a complex of three genes with RGX being the primary determinants of resistance and RG2 and RG3 are involved in regulation of TuN1- mediated necrosis. A recessive gene *lsp1* also provides resistance to TuMV. Another potential recessive gene PCaP1 provides resistance through a cation—binding protein that attaches to the plasma membrane. The protein P3N-PIPO interacts with PCaP1 gene through a genome wide association study in 317 accessions of Arabidopsis. RTM3 region has been identified as potential domain for resistance to TuMV by blocking long distance movement of virus, molecular mechanisms of host resistance in Arabidopsis-TuMV pathosystem has been revealed. (Table 8, 9; Martin et al., 1999; Lellis et al., 2002; Kaneko et al., 2004; Vijayapalani et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2015; Rubio et al., 2019).

Respiratory burst oxidase homologes (Rbohs) have very essential roles during host plant-TuMV interaction and produces reactive oxygen species for development, growth, and response to stress. Increased rboho/c-TuMV reaction functions for a highly dynamic increase in total cellular and apoplastic glutathione content to induce expression of AtGGT1, AtGSTU13 and ATGSTU19 genes. The upregulation of GSTs as well as cellular and apoplastic GGT with GR activities limits TuMV replication exhibit resistance. Glutathione participants in the reactive oxygen species (ROS) dependent signaling pathway under biotic stress conditions. Most of the glutathione-s-transferases (GSTs) are induced in cells during the defense responses of host plants through highly specific glutathionebinding abilities and signaling functions. The overexpression of the genes GSTU19 and GSTI13 in Arabidopsis limits TuMV to provide resistance (Otulak-Koziel et al., 2020, 2022, 2023).

## TuMV disease management through host resistance

It is very difficult to manage TuMV since it is transmitted by >89 aphid species in a non-persistent manner under natural conditions. The aphid vector introduces the TuMV into plant cells by their stylet in a non-persistent transmission mode during probing or feeding. The wide host range, high genetic/pathogenic variability and transmission by wide range of vectors make challenging to manage TuMV by chemical control measures. Insecticides can control some species of aphids but not all and soon aphid species are replaced to continue infection. The most effective, and environmentally friendly method is use of host resistant varieties. It can be achieved in Brassica crops by transformation of R-genes into crops, molecular marker-assisted selection (MAS) breeding for resistance, pyramiding of R-genes, and host induced gene silencing (HIGS) approaches to breed viral resistant cultivars of *Brassica* crops.

- (I) Transformation of R-genes into Brassica crops: It has been observed that W95L, K150L and W95L/K150L amino acid mutations of B. rapa elF (iso) 4E interrupted the interaction with TuMV VPg. The over expression of these mutants of elF (iso) 4E in the susceptible Chinese cabbage cv can confer resistance to multiple strains of TuMV. The resistant genes retrO1 and retrO2 can encode elF (iso) \$E in B. rapa and the different copies of elF (iso) 4E from a resistant to B. rapa line have been transformed into an el (iso) 4E knockout line of A. thaliana. A recessive resistance retrO3 gene cloned from B. juncea resistant line has been transformed into susceptible line to confer resistance mechanisms to TuMV. Therefore, genetic engineering approaches can be employed to improve resistance in *Brassica* crops to TuMV (Kim et al., 2014; Nellist et al., 2014; Shopan et al., 2017).
- (ii) Molecular marker-assisted breeding in Brassica **crops:** In the recent past, there has been great improvement in marker types used and molecular mapping approaches to breed Brassica with MAS. In the past RAPD, AFLP, and RFLP markers were being used which were less efficient. During this century, use of SNP based markers like BSA-developed markers, competitive allele-specific PCR (KASP) markers, and markers from genome-wide association studies with high throughput approaches of mapping have become very popular (Walsh et al., 1999; Rusholme et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008a, 2009; Qian et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2016).
- (iii) Pyramiding of R-genes in Brassica for durable resistance to TuMV: Breeding with single isolate-specific R-gene is highly effective but this kind of resistance can be easily broken with the evaluation of new virulence and with the effect of climate changes. Polygenic resistance governed by QTLs may be more durable than qualitative resistance. However, its effectiveness varies between cropping seasons with the influence of environmental conditions. Therefore, pyramiding of major genes (R-genes) with very high level of quantitative resistance in Brassica crops will be

an ideal approach to maximize the durability of resistance. At present more than 26 dominant and 10 recessive gene have been mapped in *Brassica* crops in addition to QTLs and sources of resistance in Brassica lines, cultivars and ecotypes of *Arabidopsis* (Table 8, 9). Therefore, these sources can be easily utilized by the breeders for pyramiding R-genes for durable resistance to TuMV in Brassica crops (Rusholme et al., 2007; Qian et al., 2013; Shopan et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019; Palukaites and Kim, 2021).

(iv) Use of host-induced gene silencing approach in Brassica: This approach allows the use of pathogen genes to develop resistance in Brassica crops against TuMV via HIGS. To confer resistance to virus, the CP gene of TuMV has been used via HIGS to inhibit virus multiplication / replication in the host cells. It has been demonstrated that the fusion of viral segments to DNAs can confer resistance to multiple viruses. The broad–spectrum resistance to TuMV in B. rapa has been achieved through CP gene of TuMV using this approach. The other molecule P3 protein of TuMV is also associated with avirulence when it interacts with B. napus dominant genes TuRBO3 and TuRBO4 to provide resistance to TuMV isolate CDN1. When a wild type CP protein of TuMV interacts with dominant R-gene, TuRBO5, an HR-like phenotype with mutation at position +5447 in the C1 gene breaks to resistance conferred by TuRBO5 (Jenner et al., 2002b; Hughes et al., 2003; Nowara et al., 2010).

# Integrated management of TuMV in Brassica crops

The use of integrated disease management approach effective against non-persistently aphid born viruses have been suggested to control TuMV on Brassica crops. The various approaches include; i). Deployment of non-host barrier crops, ii). Promotion of early crop canopy development and high plant density to reduce aphid landing rates, iii). Sowing into standing stubbles, iv). Avoiding or eliminating potential virus reservoirs with herbicides, v). Plant breeding to enhance the TuMV resistance in Brassica crops with additional R-genes for protection against wide range of TuMV isolates/ pathotypes, vi). Incorporation of R-genes with suitable combinations for durable resistance, vii). Identification of resistance breaking isolates/pathotypes and incorporation of effective R-genes against such virulence's, viii). Searching new sources of resistance effective against large number of virulent pathotypes, ix). A strategy to slow spread of virulent pathotypes, x). Manipulation of date of sowing to avoid infection, xi). Preventing movement of infected crop residues in adjoining non-infected areas (Jones, 2001; Guerret et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2021).

Major precautions to manage TuMV: To avoid the introduction and spread of TuMV new virulence's some precautionary measures are required at country level. i). to impede introduction of Brassica viruses, steps should be taken for strict plant biosecurity regulation, ii). Avoiding the entry of infected plant material and their vectors from importing countries, iii). Preventing the establishment and spread of viruses in the country, iv.) Pre-arrival inspection and plant health certification of planting material, v). On arrival inspection at air-port and sea-port for virus infection, vi). To develop reliable early warning system based on historical data on vector and virus load on Brassica crops, and vii). Eradication of virus infected material to avoid further spread. Future research efforts are required in development of more accurate and cost-effective diagnosis and surveillance approaches to help avoid establishment of damaging viruses and their vectors within each country (Rodoni et al., 2010; Jones, 2016, 2020, 2021).

## Protection from resistance breaking TuMV strains

The resistance breaking strains of the virus are likely to evolve when Brassica cv. With a single dominant gene are grown in large area for very long duration. Therefore, it is essential to monitor Brassica crops and nearby weeds to search for resistance-breaking virus strains. Precautions should also be taken to avoid their introduction from other sources and nearby countries (Guerret et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2021).

#### Conclusion

The TuMV belongs to the Potyvirus genus within the potyviride family. It possesses flexuous filamentous particles that are 135 Å wide and have a model length of 729nm. These particles contain a single copy of a singlestranded positive-sense RNA (+ssRNA) genome. The virions are 720 x 15-20nm in size and are composed of 95% coat protein (CP) and 5% RNA.

The determination of its genome constituents and particle structure has been accomplished. In field conditions, it is transmitted by over 89 species of aphids, with Myzus persicae and Brevicoryne brassicae being the primary vectors. Typical symptoms of TuMV infection include vein clearing, chlorotic mottling, leaf distortion, mosaic patterns, necrosis, plant stunting, and, in severe cases, host death. The manifestation of symptoms may vary among different Brassica species due to factors such as environmental conditions, virus strains, aphid vector activity, host genotypes, crop growth stage, and the presence of other viruses. Its host range is remarkably extensive, infecting more than 318 species from 156 genera in both dicots and monocots, including various field crops, ornamentals, and weeds. The transmission of TuMV to the host occurs through aphid vectors, which acquire the virus from infected

hosts. These vectors then feed on and probe healthy plants, introducing the virus into host cells through the stylet in a non-persistent transmission mode. Once inside the host cell, the virus particles shed their outer coat and begin replicating the genome, resulting in an increased number of virus particles. TuMV has shown pathogenic variability, with strains/pathotypes and phylogenetic groups infecting various hosts, documented in over 20 countries. Host resistance to TuMV in Brassica crops governed by both qualitative and quantitative genes.

Controlling TuMV is a highly challenging task due to various factors. These include its ability to infect a wide range of hosts, making it difficult to control the reservoirs of the virus. Additionally, the virus exhibits high variability, which allows it to evolve resistancebreaking isolates. Furthermore, there are numerous insect vectors that can transmit the virus in a nonpersistent mode. Moreover, the development of resistance in aphid vectors to insecticides renders them ineffective in controlling the virus. However, the most effective and cost-efficient method to manage TuMV is through the use of host resistant cultivars. This approach involves continuously strengthening the resistance in cultivars against new strains of the pathogen. This can be achieved through various approaches, including the transfer of R-genes, molecular markers assisted breeding, pyramiding of R-genes, and the use of hostinduced gene silencing. To effectively manage TuMV, it is crucial to adopt integrated approaches that incorporate precautionary measures. This includes preventing the introduction and spread of the virus and developing an early warning system to detect its occurrence. By implementing these strategies, the management of TuMV can be significantly improved.

#### References

- Adachi S, Honma T, Yasaka R, Ohshima K and Tokuda M. 2018. Effects of infection by turnip mosaic virus on the population growth of generalist and specialist aphid vectors on turnip plants. PLoS One E 13: e0200784.
- Ahlawat YS and Chenulu VV. 1984. Radish mosaic: a new disease caused by Turnip Mosaic Virus in India. Trop Agric 61:188–192.
- Basso J, Dallaire P, Charest PJ, Devantier Y and Laliberte JF. 1994. Evidence for an internal ribosome entry site within the 5' non-translated region of turnip mosaic potyvirus RNA. J Gen Virol **75**: 3157–3165.
- Bramham LE, Wang T, Higgins EE, Parkin IAP, Barker GC and Walsh JA. 2022. Characterization and mapping of retr04, retr05 and retr06 broad-spectrum resistances to Turnip Mosaic Virus in Brassica juncea, and the development of robust methods for

- utilizing recalcitrant genotyping data. Front Plant Sci 12:787354.
- Cheng D. 2013. Cloning of turnip mosaic virus resistance related genes and development of trap marker in radish (Raphanus sativus L) (PhD thesis). Nanjing, China: Nanjing Agricultural University.
- Cheng F, Sun R, Hou X, Zheng H, Zhang F, Zhang Y, Liu B, Liang J, Zhuang M, Liu Y, Liu D, Wang X, Li P, Liu Y, Lin K, Bucher J, Zhang N, Wang Y, Wang H, Deng J, Liao Y, Wei K, Zhang X, Fu L, Hu Y, Liu J, Cai C, Zhang S, Zhang S, Li F, Zhang H, Zhang J, Guo N, Liu Z, Liu J, Sun C, Ma Y, Zhang H, Cui Y, Freeling MR, Borm T, Bonnema G, Wu J and Wang X. 2016. Sub-genome parallel selection is associated with morphotype diversification and convergent crop domestication in B. rapa and B. oleracea. Nature Genet 48: 1218-1224.
- Chiu WF and Chang YH. 1982. Advances in science of plant protection in the people's Republic of China. Ann Rev Phytopathol 20: 71-92.
- Chung H, Jeong YM, Mun JH, Lee SS, Chung WH and Yu HJ (2014). Construction of a genetic map based on high-throughput SNP genotyping and genetic mapping of a TuMV resistance locus in B. rapa. Mol Genet Genomics 289: 149-160.
- Conroy RY. 1959. Black ringspot disease of crucifers. The J Aus Inst Agril Sci 25: 64-67.
- Coutts BA, Walsh JA and Jones RAC. 2007. Evaluation of resistance to turnip mosaic virus in Australian Brassica napus genotypes. Aus J Agril Res 58:
- Cuesta R, Yuste-Calvo C, Gil-Carton D, Sánchez F, Ponz F and Valle M. 2019. Structure of turnip mosaic virus and its viral-like particles. Scientific Repor 9: 15396.
- Dalmay T, Hamilton A, Mueller E and Baulcombe DC. 2000. Potato virus X amplicons in Arabidopsis mediate genetic and epigenetic gene silencing. Plant Cell 12: 369-379.
- Duprat A, Caranta C, Revers F, Menand B, Browning KS, Robaglia C. 2002. The Arabidopsis eukaryotic initiation factor (iso)4E is dispensable for plant growth but required for susceptibility to potyviruses. The Plant J 32: 927–934.
- Edwardson JR, Christie RG. 1986. Turnip mosaic virus. In: Viruses infecting forage legumes, Vol II. Agricultural Scientific Monograph Gainesville, FL: University of Florida 14: 438–453.
- Edwardson JR, Christie RG, 1991. The Potvvirus group, Florida Agricultural Experiment Station Monograph 16 (I-IV): 1244. Gainesville, FL: University of Florida.
- Farzadfar S, Tomitaka Y, Ikematsu M, Golnaraghi A R,

- Pourrahim R, Ohshima K (2009). Molecular characterization of turnip mosaic virus isolates from Brassicaceae weeds. European J Plant Pathol 124: 45-55.
- Fujiwara A, Inukai T, Kim BM, Masuta C. 2011. Combinations of a host resistance gene and the CI gene of turnip mosaic virus differentially regulate symptom expression in B. rapa cultivars. Archiv Virol 156: 1575-1581.
- Graichen K and Schliephake E. 1996. Occurrence, symptoms and vectors of turnip yellows virus (syn. beet western yellows virus) on winter oilseed rape. Nachrichtenblatt Des Dtsch. Pflanzenschutzdienstes 48: 186–191.
- Graichen K and Rabenstein F. 1996. European isolates of beet western yellows virus (BWYV) from oilseed rape (B. napus L. ssp. napus) are non-pathogenic on sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L var. altissima) but represent isolates of turnip yellows virus (TuYV). J Plant Protect 103: 233-245.
- Gardner MW, Kendrick JB. 1921. Turnip mosaic. J Agril Res 22: 123-124.
- Green SK, Deng TC. 1985. Turnip mosaic virus strains in cruciferous hosts in Taiwan. Plant Dis 69: 28-31.
- Gibbs AJ, Ohshima K. 2010. Potyviruses and the digital revolution. Ann Rev Phytopathol 48: 205–223.
- Gibbs AJ, Hajizadeh M, Ohshima K and Jones RAC. 2020. The potyviruses: An evolutionary synthesis is emerging. Viruses 12: 132.
- Grangeon R, Agbeci M, Chen J, Grondin G, Zhang H and Laliberté JF. 2012. Impact on the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus of turnip mosaic virus infection. J Virol 86: 9255–9265.
- Guerret MGL, Nyalugwe EP, Maina S, Barbetti MJ, van Leur JAG, Jones RAC. 2017. Biological and molecular properties of a turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) strain that breaks TuMV resistances in B. napus. Plant Dis 101: 674-683.
- Hardwick NV, Davies JML, Wright DM. 1994. The incidence of three virus diseases of winter oilseed rape in England and Wales in the 1991/92 and 1992/93 growing seasons. Plant Pathol 43: 1045-1049.
- Haj Kassem AA, Walsh JA. 2008. Characterizing resistance to *Turnip mosaic virus (TuMV)* in Turnip (B. rapa). Arab J Pl Protect 26: 168-172.
- Haq QMR, Srivastava KM, Raizada RK, Singh BP, Jain RK, Mishra A and Shukla DD. 1994. Biological, serological and coat protein properties of a strain of Turnip Mosaic Virus causing a mosaic disease of *B*. campestris and B. juncea in India. J Phytopathol **140**: 55–64.
- Hughes SL. 2001. Interaction of turnip mosaic virus

- (TuMV) with members of the Brassicaceae. PhD Thesis, University of Birmingham.
- Hughes SL, Green SK, Lydiate DJ and Walsh JA. 2002. Resistance to turnip mosaic virus in *B. rapa* and *B.* napus and the analysis of genetic inheritance in selected lines. Plant Pathol 51: 567-573.
- Hughes SL, Hunter PJ, Sharpe AG, Kearsey MJ, Lydiate DJ, Walsh JA. 2003. Genetic mapping of the novel turnip mosaic virus resistance gene TuRB03 in B. napus. Theor Appl Genet 107: 1169–1173.
- Hunter PJ, Jones JE and Walsh JA. 2002. Involvement of Beet western yellows virus, Cauliflower mosaic virus, and Turnip mosaic virus in internal disorders of stored white cabbage. Phytopathol 92: 816-826.
- Jenner C, Walsh JA. 1996. Pathotypic variation in turnip mosaic virus with special reference to European isolates. Plant Pathol 45: 848-856.
- Jenner CE, Keane GJ, Jones JE, Walsh JA. 1999. Serotypic variation in turnip mosaic virus. Plant Pathol **48**: 101-108.
- Jenner CE, Sánchez F, Nettleship SB, Foster GD, Ponz F and Walsh JA. 2000. The cylindrical inclusion gene of Turnip mosaic virus encodes a pathogenic determinant to the Brassica resistance gene TuRB01. Mol Pl Microbe Interact 13: 1102-1108.
- Jenner CE, Tomimura K, Ohshima K, Hughes SL and Walsh JA. 2002a. Mutations in Turnip mosaic virus P3 and cylindrical inclusion proteins are separately required to overcome two *B. napus* resistance genes. Virol 300: 50-59.
- Jenner CE, Wang X, Ponz F, Walsh JA. 2002b. A fitness cost for Turnip mosaic virus to overcome host resistance. Virus Res 86: 1–6.
- Jenner CE, Wang X, Tomimura K, Ohshima K, Ponz F and Walsh JA. 2003. The dual role of the Potyvirus P3 protein of Turnip mosaic virus as a symptom and avirulence determinant in brassicas. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 16: 777–784.
- Jenner CE, Nellist CF, Barker GC and Walsh JA. 2010. Turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) is able to use alleles of both eIF4E and eIF(iso) 4E from multiple loci of the diploid B. rapa. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 23: 1498-1505.
- Jin M, Lee SS, Ke L, Kim JS, Seo MS, Sohn SH, Park BS and Bonnema G. 2014. Identification and mapping of a novel dominant resistance gene, TuRB07 to turnip mosaic virus in B. rapa. Theor Appl Genet 127: 509-519.
- Jin X, Cao X, Wang X, Jiang J, Wan J, Laliberte JF, Zhang Y. 2018. Three-dimensional architecture and biogenesis of membrane structures associated with plant virus replication. Front Plant Sci 9: 57.
- Johnson BL, Barnhart D. 1956. Transfer of mosaic

- resistance to commercial varieties of Matthiola incana. Proc Amer Soc Hortl Sci 67: 522-533.
- Jones RAC. 2001. Developing integrated disease management strategies against non-persistently aphid-borne viruses: A model programme. Int Pest *Manag Rev* **6**: 15–46.
- Jones RAC. 2009. Plant virus emergence and evolution: Origins, new encounter scenarios, factors driving emergence, effects of changing world conditions, and prospects for control. Virus Res 141: 113–130.
- Jones RAC. 2016. Future scenarios for plant virus pathogens as climate change progresses. Adv Virus *Res* **95**: 87–147.
- Jones RAC. 2020. Disease pandemics and major epidemics arising from new encounters between indigenous viruses and introduced crops. Viruses **12**: 1388.
- Jones RAC. 2021. Global plant virus disease pandemics and epidemics. Plants 10: 233.
- Jones RAC and Barbetti MJ. 2012. Influence of climate change on plant disease infections and epidemics caused by viruses and bacteria. CAB Rev 7: 1–33.
- Jones RAC, Sharman M, Trebicki P, Maina S and Congdon BS. 2021. Virus diseases of cereal and oilseed crops in Australia: Current position and future challenges. Viruses 13: 2051.
- Kaneko Y, Natsuaki T, Bang SW, Matsuzawa Y. 1996. Identification and evaluation of turnip mosaic virus (*TuMV*) resistance gene in kale monosomic addition lines of radish. Japanese J Breed 46: 117–124.
- Kaneko YH, Inukai T, Suehiro N, Natsuaki T, Masuta C. 2004. Fine genetic mapping of the TuNI locus causing systemic veinal necrosis by turnip mosaic virus infection in A. thaliana. Theor Appl Genet **110**:33-40.
- Kapoor S, Handa A, Walsh JA and Sharma R. 2020. Confirmation of radish isolate of Turnip mosaic virus in India through biological and serological evidences. Plant Pathol J 19: 211-220.
- Kasschau KD and Carrington JC. 2001. Long-distance movement and replication maintenance functions correlate with silencing suppression activity of potyviral HC-Pro. Virol 285: 71–81.
- Kasschau KD, Llave C and Carrington JC. 2001. Developmental defects associated with TuMV P1/HC-Pro expression in Arabidopsis. Proc. 12<sup>th</sup> Intl Conf on Arabidopsis Res, 360.
- Kawakubo S, Gao F, Li S, Tan Z, Huang YK, Adkar-Purushothama CR, Gurikar C, Maneechoat P, Chiemsombat P, Aye SS, Furuya N, Shevchenko O, Spak J, Skoric D, Ho SYW and Ohshima K. 2021. Genomic analysis of the brassica pathogen turnip mosaic potyvirus reveals its spread along the former

- trade routes of the Silk Road. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 118: 12 e2021221118.
- Kehoe MA, Coutts BA and Jones RAC. 2010. Resistance phenotypes in diverse accessions, breeding lines, and cultivars of three mustard species inoculated with Turnip mosaic virus. Plant Dis 94: 1290-1298.
- Kennedy JS, Day MF and Eastop VF. 1962. A conspectus of aphids as vectors of plant viruses. London: *Commonwealth Institute of Entomology*.
- Kim J, Kang WH, Yang HB, Park S, Jang C, Yu HJ and Kang BC. 2013. Identification of a broad-spectrum recessive gene in B. rapa and molecular analysis of the eIF4E gene family to develop molecular markers. Mol Breed 32: 385-398.
- Kim J, Kang WH, Hwang J, Yang HB, Kim D, Oh CS and Kang BC. 2014. Transgenic Brassica rapa plants overexpressing eIF(iso)4E variants show broad-spectrum Turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) resistance. Mol Plant Pathol 15: 615-626.
- Korkmaz S, Onder S, Tomitaka Y and Ohshima K. 2007. First report of turnip mosaic virus on Brassicaceae crops in Turkey. Plant Pathol 56: 719.
- Korkmaz S, Tomitaka Y, Onder S and Ohshima K. 2008. Occurrence and molecular characterization of Turkish isolates of turnip mosaic virus. Plant Pathol **57**: 1155-1162.
- Lehmann P, Petrzik K, Jenner C, Greenland A, Scpak J, Kozubek E and Walsh JA. 1997. Nucleotide and amino acid variation in the coat protein coding region of turnip mosaic virus isolates and possible involvement in the interaction with the brassica resistance gene TuRBO1. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol **51**: 195–208
- Lellis AD, Kasschau KD, Whitham SA, Carrington JC. 2002. Loss-of-susceptibility mutants of *Arabidopsis* thaliana reveal an essential role for eIF (iso) 4E during Potyvirus infection. Curr Biol 12: 1046–1051.
- Li HS. 2009. Genetic dissection of resistance to turnip mosaic virus and black rot in radish (Raphanus sativus L.) (Ph.D. thesis). Beijing, China: Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences.
- Li G, Lv H, Zhang S, Zhang S, Li F, Zhang H, Qian W, Fang Z and Sun R. 2019. TuMV management for Brassica crops through host resistance: Retrospect and prospects. Plant Pathol 68: 1035-1044.
- Li Q, Zhang X, Zeng Q, Zhang Z, Liu S, Pei Y, Wang S, Liu X, Xu W, Fu W, Zhao Z and Song X. 2015. Identification and mapping of a novel turnip mosaic virus resistance gene TuRBCS01 in Chinese cabbage (B. rapa L). Plant Breed 134: 221–225.
- Li Y, Xiong R, Bernards M and Wang A. 2016.

- Recruitment of Arabidopsis RNA helicase AtRH9 to the viral replication complex by viral replicase to promote turnip mosaic virus replication. Scientific Repor 6: 30297.
- Ling L and Yang JY. 1940. A mosaic disease of rape and other cultivated crucifers in China. *Phytopathol* **30**: 338-342.
- Liu J, Kim BM, Kaneko Y, Inukai T, Masuta C. 2015. Identification of the TuNI gene causing systemic necrosis in *Arabidopsis* ecotype Ler infected with Turnip mosaic virus and characterization of its expression. J Gen Plant Pathol 81: 180–191.
- Liu X P, Lu W, Liu YK, Li JL. 1990. A study on TuMV strain differentiation of cruciferous vegetables from ten provinces in China: New host differentiator screening and strain classification. Chinese Sci Bull **35**: 1734–1739.
- Lopez-Gonzalez S, Aragones V, Daros JA, Sanchez F and Ponz F. 2017. An infectious cDNA clone of a radish-infecting Turnip mosaic virus strain. Euro J *Plant Pathol* **148**: 207-211.
- Lopez-González S, Navarro JA, Pacios LF, Sardaru P, Pallás V, Sánchez F and Ponz F. 2020. Association between flower stalk elongation, an Arabidopsis developmental trait, and the subcellular location and movement dynamics of the non-structural protein P3 of Turnip mosaic virus. Mol Plant Pathol 21: 1271-1286.
- Lunello P, Mansilla C, Sánchez F, Ponz F. 2007. A developmentally linked, dramatic, and transient loss of virus from roots of A. thaliana plants infected by either of two RNA viruses. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 12: 1589-1595.
- Ma J, Hou X, Xiao D, Qi L, Wang F, Sun F and Wang Q. 2010. Cloning and characterization of the BcTuR3 gene related to resistance to turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) from non-heading Chinese cabbage. Plant *Mol Biol Repor* **28**: 588–596.
- Lydiate DJ, Pilcher RL, Higgins EE and Walsh JA. 2014. Genetic control of immunity to Turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) pathotype 1 in B. rapa (Chinese cabbage). Genome 57: 419-425.
- Martin Martin A, Cabrera-Y-Poch HL, Martinez-Herrera D and Ponz F. 1999. Resistances to turnip mosaic potyvirus in A. thaliana. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 12: 1016-1021.
- Martinez-Herrera D, Romero J, Martinez-Zapater J M and Ponz F. 1994. Suitability of A. thaliana as a system for the study of plant-virus interactions. Fitopatologia 29: 132-136.
- McClintock K, Lamarre A, Parsons V, Laliberte JF and Fortin MG. 1998. Identification of a 37kDa plant protein that interacts with the turnip mosaic

- potyvirus capsid protein using anti-idiotypicantibodies. Pl Mol Biol 37: 197-204.
- Miloševic D, Marjanovic-Jeromela A, Ignjatov M, Jovicic D, Stankovic I, Bulajic A, Krstic, B. 2015. First report of *Turnip yellows virus* on oilseed rape in Serbia. Plant Dis 99: 1869.
- Montes N, Vijayan V and Pagan I. 2021. host population structure for tolerance determines the evolution of plant virus interactions. The New Phytologist 231: 1570-1585.
- Moreno IM, Malpica JM, Díaz-Pendón JA, Moriones E, Fraile A and Garcia-Arenolv F. 2004. Variability and genetic structure of the population of watermelon mosaic virus infecting melon in Spain. Virol 318: 451-460.
- Movahed N, Patarroyo C, S J, Vali H, Laliberte JF and Zheng H. 2017. Cylindrical inclusion protein of turnip mosaic virus serves as a docking point for the intercellular movement of viral replication vesicles. Plant Physiol 175: 1732–1744.
- Movahed N, Sun J, Vali H, Laliberte JF and Zheng H. 2019a. A host ER fusogen is recruited by turnip mosaic virus for maturation of viral replication vesicles. Plant Physiol 179: 507-518.
- Movahed N, Cabanillas DG, Wan J, Vali H, Laliberté JF, Zheng H. 2019b. Turnip mosaic virus components are released into the extracellular space by vesicles in infected leaves. Plant Physiol 180: 1375–1388.
- Nellist CF, Qian W, Jenner CE, Moore JD, Zhang S, Wang X, Briggs W, Barker GC, Sun R, Walsh JA. 2014. Multiple copies of eukaryotic translation initiation factors in Brassica rapa facilitate redundancy, enabling diversification through variation in splicing and broad-spectrum virus resistance. The Plant J77: 261-268.
- Nellist CF, Ohshima K, Ponz F and Walsh JA. 2022. Turnip mosaic virus, a virus for all seasons. Ann *Appl Biol* 180: 312-327.
- Nakashima H, Sako N and Hori K. 1993. Nucleotide sequences of the helper component-proteinase genes of aphid transmissible and nontransmissible isolates of turnip mosaic virus. Archiv Virol 131: 17-27.
- Nguyen HD, Tran HTN and Ohshima K. 2013a. Genetic variation of the Turnip mosaic virus population of Vietnam: A case study of founder, regional and local influences. Virus Res 171: 138–149.
- Nguyen HD, Tomitaka Y, Ho SYW, Duchêne S, Vetten HJ, Lesemann D, Walsh JA, Gibbs AJ, Ohshima K. 2013b. Turnip mosaic Potyvirus probably first spread to Eurasian brassica crops from wild orchids about 1000 years ago. PLoS One 8: e55336.
- Nicolas O, Laliberte JF (1992). The complete nucleotide

- sequence of turnip mosaic potyvirus RNA. J Gen Virol 73: 2785-2793.
- Nomura D, Gay A, Lacomme C, Shaw J, Ridout C, Douchkov D, Hensel G, Kumlehn J, Schweizera P. 2010. HIGS: Host-Induced gene silencing in the obligate biotrophic fungal pathogen Blumeria *graminis. The Plant Cell* **22**: 3130–3141.
- Nyalugwe EP, Barbetti MJ and Jones RAC. 2014. Preliminary studies on resistance phenotypes to turnip mosaic virus in B. napus and B. carinata from different continents and effects of temperature on their expression. Eur J Plant Pathol 139: 687-706.
- Nyalugwe EP, Barbetti MJ and Jones RAC. 2016. Strain specificity of Turnip mosaic virus resistance gene TuRBJU 01 in B. juncea. Eur J Plant Pathol 145: 209-213.
- Nyalugwe EP, Barbetti MJ and Jones RAC. 2015b. Studies on resistance phenotypes to turnip mosaic virus in five species of Brassicaceae, and identification of a virus resistance gene in B. juncea. Eur J Plant Pathol 141: 647–666.
- Nyalugwe EP, Jones RAC, Barbetti MJ and Kehoe MA. 2015a. Biological and molecular variation amongst Australian turnip mosaic virus isolates. Plant Pathol **64:** 1215–1223.
- Ohshima K, Akaishi S, Kajiyama H, Koga R and Gibbs AJ. 2010. Evolutionary trajectory of turnip mosaic virus populations adapting to a new host. J Gen Virol **91**: 788–801.
- Ohshima K, Mitoma S and Gibbs AJ. 2018. The genetic diversity of narcissus viruses related to turnip mosaic virus blur arbitrary boundaries used to discriminate potyvirus species. PLoS One 13: e0190511
- Ohshima K, Tomitaka Y, Wood JT, Minematsu Y, Kajiyama H, Tomimura K, Gibbs AJ. 2007. Patterns of recombination in turnip mosaic virus genomic sequences indicate hotspots of recombination. J Gen Virol 88: 298-315.
- Ohshima K, Yamaguchi Y, Hirota R, Hamamoto T, Tomimura K, Tan Z, Sano T, Azuhata F, Walsh JA, Fletcher J, Chen J, Gera A and Gibbs A. 2002. The molecular evolution of Turnip mosaic virus; evidence of host adaptation, genetic recombination and geographical spread. J Gen Virol 83: 1511-1521.
- Otulak-Kozieł K, Kozieł E, Horváth E and Csiszar J. 2022. AtGSTU19 and AtGSTU24 as moderators of the response of A. thaliana to Turnip mosaic virus. Intl J Mol Sci 23: 11531.
- Otulak-Koziel, Koziel E, Bujarski JJ, Frankowska-Lukawska J, Torres AA. 2020. Respiratory burst oxidase homologs RBOHD and RBOHF as key

- modulating components of response in Turnip mosaic virus—A. thaliana (L) Heyhn system. Intl J Mol Sci 21: 8510.
- Otulak-Kozieł K, Kozieł E, Treder K and Kiraly L. 2023. Glutathione Contribution in Interactions between Turnip mosaic virus and A. thaliana mutants lacking respiratory burst oxidase homologs d and f. Intl J Mol Sci 24: 7128.
- Palukaitis P and Kim S. 2021. Resistance to turnip mosaic virus in the family Brassicaceae. The Plant Pathol J 37: 1-23.
- Pink DAC, Sutherland RA and Walkey DGA. 1986. Genetic analysis of resistance in Brussels sprout to cauliflower mosaic and turnip mosaic viruses. Ann Appl Biol 109: 199–208.
- Plante D, Laliberte JF and Fortin MG. 1999. Attack and Defense in Plant Disease. Conference Proceedings, 20–23 July 1999, John Innes Centre, Norwich, UK.
- Pound GS and Walker JC. 1945. Differentiation of certain crucifer viruses by the use of temperature and host immunity reactions. J Agril Res 71: 255-
- Provvidenti R. 1980. Evaluation of Chinese cabbage cultivars from Japan and the People's Republic of China for resistance to turnip mosaic virus and cauliflower mosaic virus. J Amer Soc Hort Sci 105: 571-573.
- Provvidenti R. 1996. Turnip mosaic potyvirus. In: Viruses of plants, (Brunt AA, Crabtree K, Dallwitz MJ, Gibbs AJ, Watson L, Eds), Wallingford, UK: CAB International:1340-1343.
- Provvidenti R. 1982. A destructive disease of garden balsam caused by a strain of turnip mosaic virus. *Plant Dis* **66**: 1076–1077.
- Qian W, Zhang S, Zhang S, Li F, Zhang H, Wu J, Wang X, Walsh JA and Sun R. 2013. Mapping and candidategene screening of the novel turnip mosaic virus resistance gene retr02 in Chinese cabbage (B. rapa L). Theor Appl Genet 126: 179-188.
- Raybould AF, Alexander MJ, Mitchell E, Thurston MI, Pallet DW, Hunter P, Walsh JA, Edwards ML, Jones AME, Moyes C, Gray A and Cooper JI. 2003. The ecology of turnip mosaic virus in wild populations of Brassica species. In: Ecological dynamics and genes (Beringer J, Godfray CHJ, Hails RA, Eds), final symposium, Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Press: 226-244.
- Ratcliff F, Harrison BD and Baulcombe DC. 1997. A similarity between viral defense and gene silencing in plants. Sci 276: 1558-1560.
- Robbins MA, Witsenboer H, Michelmore RW, Laliberte JF, Fortin MG. 1994. Genetic mapping of turnip mosaic virus resistance in Lactuca sativa. Theor

- Appl Genet 89: 583-589.
- Rubio B, Cosson P, Caballero M, Revers F, Bergelson J, Roux F and Schurdi-Levraud V. 2019. Genomewide association study reveals new loci involved in Arabidopsis thaliana and Turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) interactions in the field. New Phytologist **221**: 2026–2038.
- Rodoni BC. 2009. The role of plant biosecurity in preventing and controlling emerging plant virus disease epidemics. Virus Res 141: 150–157.
- Rusholme RL. 2000. The genetic control of resistance to turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) in Brassica (Ph.D. thesis). Norwich, UK: University of East Anglia.
- Rusholme RL, Higgins EE, Walsh JA and Lydiate DJ. 2007. Genetic control of broad-spectrum resistance to turnip mosaic virus in *B. rapa* (Chinese cabbage). J Gen Virol 88: 3177-3186.
- Saharan GS, Mehta Naresh K and Meena PD. 2021. Molecular Mechanism of Crucifer's Host Resistance. Springer's Nature, the Netherlands, 783 pp.
- Sánchez F, Martínez-Herrera D, Aguilar I, Ponz F. 1998. Infectivity of turnip mosaic potyvirus cDNA clones and transcripts on the systemic host Arabidopsis thaliana and local lesion hosts. Virus Res 55: 207-219.
- Sánchez F, Wang X, Jenner CE, Walsh JA and Ponz F. 2003. Strains of Turnip mosaic potyvirus as defined by the molecular analysis of the coat protein gene of the virus. *Virus Res* **94**: 33-43.
- Sánchez F, Manrique P, Mansilla C, Lunello P, Wang X, Rodrigo G, Lopez-Gonzalez S, Jenner C, Gonzalez-Melendi P, Elena SF, Walsh JA and Ponz F. 2015. Viral strain-specific differential alterations in Arabidopsis developmental patterns. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 28: 1304–1315.
- Sardaru P, Sinausía L, López-González S, Zindovic J, Sánchez F and Ponz F. 2018. The apparent non-host resistance of Ethiopian mustard to a radish-infecting strain of *Turnip mosaic virus* is largely determined by the C-terminal region of the P3 viral protein. Mol Plant Pathol 19: 1984-1994.
- Schultz ES. 1921. A transmissible mosaic disease of Chinese cabbage, mustard, and turnip. J Agril Res **22**: 173–177.
- Shattuck VI. 1992. The biology, epidemiology, and control of turnip mosaic virus. Plant Breed Rev 14: 199-238.
- Shahraeen N, Sh Farzadfar, Lesemann DE. 2002. Report on incidence of canola (Brassica napus) viruses in Iran. VIII th. International Plant Virus Epidemiology Symposium, pp. 119. Aschersleben, Germany, May 12–17.

- Shahraeen N, Sh Farzadfar, Lesemann DE. 2003. Incidence of viruses Infecting winter oilseed rape (B. napus ssp. oleifera) in Iran. J Phytopathol 151: 614-616.
- Shattuck VI and Stobbs LW. 1987. Evaluation of rutabaga cultivars for Turnip mosaic virus resistance and the inheritance of resistance. Hort Sci 68: 935-937.
- Shepherd RJ and Pound GS. 1960. Purification of turnip mosaic virus. *Phytopathol* **50**: 797–803.
- Shevchenko O, Yasaka R, Tymchyshyn O, Shevchenko T and Ohshima K. 2018. First evidence of the occurrence of turnip mosaic virus in Ukraine and molecular characterization of its isolate. J Phytopathol 166: 429-437.
- Shopan J, Mou H, Zhang L, Zhang C, Ma W, Walsh J A, Hu Z, Yang J and Zhang M. 2017. Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2B-beta (eIF2Bβ), a new class of plant virus resistance gene. The Plant J 90: 929-940.
- Singh R, Banerjee A, Sharma SK, Bhagawati R, Baruah S and Ngachan SV. 2015. First report of Turnip mosaic virus occurrence in cole crop (Brassica spp.) from Arunachal Pradesh, India. Virus Dis 26: 211-213
- Singh R, Chandra A, Baruah S, Khatoon A, Sen A and Shukla KK. 2018. Occurrence, molecular characterization, physiological study and yield loss assessment of broad-leaved mustard (B. juncea var rugosa) infected with turnip mosaic virus in Arunachal Pradesh. Envir Ecol 36: 489—494.
- Singhal P, Baranwal VK, Prajapati MR and Singh J. 2022. High-throughput RNA sequencing and genetic structure studies of turnip mosaic virus infecting black and yellow mustard revealing emergence of world-B3 pathotype in India. J Appl Microbiol 133: 2618-2630.
- Smith KM. 1935. A virus disease of cultivated crucifers. Ann Appl Biol 22: 239–242.
- Spence NJ, Phiri NA, Hughes SL, Mwaniki A, Simons S, Oduor G, Checha D, Kuria A, Ndirangu S, Kibata GN and Marris GC. 2007. Economic impact of Turnip mosaic virus, Cauliflower mosaic virus and Beet mosaic virus in three Kenyan vegetables. Plant Pathol 56: 317-323.
- Stobbs LW and Shattuck VI. 1989. Turnip mosaic virus strains in Southern Ontario, Canada. Plant Dis 73: 208 - 212.
- Suehiro N, Natsuaki T, Watanabe T and Okuda S. 2004. An important determinant of the ability of turnip mosaic virus to infect Brassica spp. and/or Raphanus sativus is in its P3 protein. J Gen Virol 85: 2087-2098.

- Sylvester ES. 1953. Host range and properties of B. nigra virus. Phytopathol 43: 541-546.
- Sylvester ES. 1954. Aphid transmission of nonpersistent plant viruses with special reference to the B. nigra virus. Hilgardia 23: 53-98.
- Tan Z, Wada Y, Chen J and Ohshima K. 2004. Inter- and intra-lineage recombinants are common in natural populations of turnip mosaic virus. J Gen Virol 85: 2683-2696.
- Tampo H, Plante D, Laliberte JF and Fortin MG. 1999. The cytoplasmic inclusion protein of TuMV interacts with histone H3 of Arabidopsis thaliana. Phytopathol 89: S76.
- Tomimura K, Gibbs AJ, Jenner CE, Walsh JA and Ohshima K. 2003. The phylogeny of turnip mosaic virus; comparisons of 38 genomic sequences reveal a Eurasian origin and a recent 'emergence' in east Asia. Mol Ecol 12: 2099–2111.
- Tomimura K, Spak J, Katis N, Jenner CE, Walsh JA, Gibbs AJ and Ohshima K. 2004. Comparisons of the genetic structure of populations of Turnip mosaic virus in West and East Eurasia. Virol 330: 408–423.
- Tomitaka Y and Ohshima K. 2006. A phylogeographic study of the Turnip mosaic virus population in east Asia reveals an 'emergent' lineage in Japan. Mol *Ecol* **15**: 4437–4457.
- Tomitaka Y, Yamashita T and Ohshima K. 2007. The genetic structure of populations of Turnip mosaic virus in Kyushu and central Honshu, Japan. J Gen Plant Pathol 73: 197-208.
- Tomlinson JA. 1963. Effect of phosphate and borate on the infectivity of some viruses during purification. *Nature* **200**: 93–94.
- Tomlinson JA. 1970. AAB/CMI Descriptions of Plant Viruses (p. 8). Kew, UK: Commonwealth Mycological Institute.
- Tomlinson JA. 1987. Epidemiology and control of virus diseases of vegetables. Ann Appl Biol 110: 661–681.
- Tomlinson JA and Walker VM. 1973. Further studies on seed transmission in the ecology of some aphidtransmitted viruses. Ann Appl Biol 73: 293–298.
- Tomlinson JA and Ward CM. 1981. The reactions of some Brussels sprout F1 hybrids and inbreds to cauliflower mosaic and turnip mosaic viruses. Ann Appl Biol 97:205-212.
- Tompkins CM. 1939. Two mosaic diseases of annual stock. *JAgril Res* **58**: 63–77.
- Torrance L, Andreev IA, Gabrenaite-Verhovskaya R, Cowan G, Makinen K and Taliansky ME. 2005. An unusual structure at one end of potato potyvirus particles. J Mol Biol 357: 1–8.
- Tourino A, Sánchez F, Fereres A and Ponz F. 2008. High

- expression of foreign proteins from a biosafe viral vector derived from turnip mosaic virus. Spanish J *Agril Res* **6**: 48–58.
- Vance V and Vaucheret H. 2001. RNA silencing in plants—defense and counter defense. Sci 292: 2277-2280.
- Vijayapalani P, Maeshima M, Nagasaki-Takekuchi N and Miller WA. 2012. Interaction of the trans-frame Potyvirus protein P3N-PIPO with host protein PCaP1 facilitates Potyvirus movement. PLoS Pathogens 8: e1002639.
- Walsh HM, Ronka A and Walsh JA. 2023. Identification and genetic inheritance of a new source of broadspectrum extreme resistance to turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) in B. rapa, Eur J Plant Pathol 165: 693-699.
- Walsh JA. 1989. Genetic control of immunity to turnip mosaic virus in winter oilseed rape (B. napus ssp. oleifera) and the effect of foreign isolates of the virus. Ann Appl Biol 115: 89-99.
- Walsh JA and Jenner CE. 2002. Turnip mosaic virus and the quest for durable resistance. *Mol Plant Pathol* 3: 289-300.
- Walsh JA, Rusholme RL, Hughes SL, Jenner CE, Bambridge JM, Lydiate DJ and Green SK 2002. Different classes of resistance to turnip mosaic virus in B. rapa. Eur J Plant Pathol 108: 15-20.
- Walsh JA, Sharpe AG, Jenner CE and Lydiate DJ. 1999. Characterization of resistance to turnip mosaic virus in oilseed rape (B. napus) and genetic mapping of TuRB01. Theor Appl Genet 99: 1149-1154.
- Walsh JA and Tomlinson JA. 1985. Viruses infecting winter oilseed rape (B. napus ssp. oleifera). Ann *Appl Biol* **107**: 485–495.
- Wan J, Basu K, Mui J, Vali H, Zheng H and Laliberte JF. 2015. Ultrastructural characterization of turnip mosaic virus-induced cellular rearrangements reveals membrane-bound viral particles accumulating in vacuoles. J Virol 89: 12441–12456.
- Wang A. 2021. Cell-to-cell movement of plant viruses via plasmodesmata: A current perspective on potyviruses. Curr Opin Virol 48: 10-16.
- Wang HY, Liu JL, Gao R, Chen J, Shao YH and Li XD. 2009a. Complete genomic sequence analysis of Turnip mosaic virus basal-BR isolates from China. Virus Genes 38:421-428.
- Wang RY and Pirone TP. 1999. Purification and characterization of turnip mosaic virus helper component protein. *Phytopathol* **89**: 564–567.
- Wang X, Chen H, Zhu Y and Hou R. 2009b. An AFLP marker to turnip mosaic virus resistance gene in pakchoi. African J Biotech 8: 2508-2512.

- Wei CT, Shen SL, Wang JL, Zhang CW and Zhu YG. 1960. Mosaic disease of Chinese rape and other crucifers in eastern China. Acta Phytopathol Sinica **4**: 94-112.
- Wu G, Cui X, Dai Z, He R, Li Y, Yu K, Bernards M, Chen X and Wang A. 2020. A plant RNA virus hijacks endocytic proteins to establish its infection in plants. The Plant J 101: 384–400
- Xinhua W, Yang L and Huoying C. 2011. A linkage map of pak-choi (Brassica rapa ssp. chinensis) based on AFLP and SSR markers and identification of AFLP markers for resistance to TuMV. Plant Breed 130: 275-277.
- Yasaka R, Fukagawa H, Ikematsu M, Soda H, Korkmaz S, Golnaraghi A, Katis N, Ho SYW, Gibbs AJ and Ohshima K. 2017. The timescale of emergence and spread of turnip mosaic Potyvirus. Sci Rep 7: 157-164.
- Yasaka R, Ohba K, Schwinghamer MW, Fletcher J, Ochoa-Corona FM, Thomas JE, Ho SY M, Gibbs AJ and Ohshima K. 2015. Phylodynamic evidence of the migration of turnip mosaic potyvirus from Europe to Australia and New Zealand. J Gen Virol **96**: 701–713.

- Yoshii H. 1963. On the strain distribution of turnip mosaic virus. Ann Phytopathol Soc Japan 28: 221-227.
- Zhang FL, Wang M, Liu XC, Zhao XY and Yang JP. 2008a. Quantitative trait loci analysis for resistance against Turnip mosaic virus based on a doublehaploid population in Chinese cabbage. Plant Breed **127**: 82-86.
- Zhang JH, Qu SP, Cui CS. 2008b. Analysis of QTL for turnip mosaic virus resistance in Chinese cabbage. Acta Phytopathol Sinica 38: 178-184.
- Zhang X, Yuan Y, Wang X, Sun R, Wu J, Xie C, Jiang Wu and Yao Q (2009). QTL mapping for TuMV resistance in Chinese cabbage [B. campestris L. ssp. pekinensis (Lour) Olssom]. Acta Horticulturae Sinica **36**: 731–736.
- Zink FW and Duffus JE. 1970. Linkage of turnip mosaic virus susceptibility and downy mildew (Bremia lactucae) resistance. J Amer Soc Hort Sci 95: 420-422.
- Zhu L, Li Y, Ara N, Yang J and Zhang M. 2012. Role of a newly cloned alternative oxidase gene (BjAOX1a) in Turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) resistance in mustard. Plant Mol Biol Rep 30: 309-318.