

Heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for seed yield and important traits in *Brassica juncea*

HS Meena*, Bhagirath Ram, Arun Kumar, BK Singh, PD Meena, VV Singh and Dhiraj Singh

Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research, Bharatpur-321303 (Raj) India *Corresponding author: singh_hari2006@yahoo.co.in (Received: 10 April 2014; Revised: 30 April 2014; Accepted: 28 June 2014)

Abstract

Genetic study was carried out to estimate heterobeltiosis (better parent heterosis) and standard heterosis for isolation of superior cross combinations of Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern. & Coss.]. Thirty six F_1 crosses along with thirteen B. juncea parental genotypes planted at the Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research (DRMR), Bharatpur, experimental farm during 2011-12 were evaluated for twelve characters, including seed yield / plant (g), plant height (cm), point to first branch (cm), number of primary branches, main shoot length (cm), point to first siliqua (cm), number of siliquae on main shoot, siliqua length (cm), number of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight (g), days to maturity and percent oil content. Analysis of variance revealed considerable genetic variability among parents and F_1 crosses for all the traits. Five crosses viz., DRMR 2486 × Ashirwad, DRMR 2243 × NRCHB 101, DRMR 2269 × NRCHB 101, DRMR 2341 × NRCDR 2, and DRMR 2613 × NRCDR 2 possessed high heterosis and higher per se performance over better parent and standard check. In many crosses, highly significant heterosis was observed for point to first branch, number of primary branches, main shoot length, point to first siliqua and number of seeds / siliqua. The high yielding cross combinations from this study can be utilized in future breeding programmes for development of high yielding genotypes.

Key words: Heterobeltiosis, Indian mustard, yield parameters

Introduction

Rapeseed-mustard crops in India include Toria (Brassica rapa L. var. Toria), Brown Sarson (B. rapa L. var. Brown Sarson), Yellow Sarson (B. rapa L. var. Yellow Sarson), Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.], Black mustard (B. nigra) and Taramira (Eruca satva / vesicara Mill.) species. These along with non-traditional species like Gobhi Sarson (B. napus L.) and Karan rai (B. carinata A. Braun) have been recorded to be grown since ancient time. Indian mustard occupies more than 80% of the total rapeseedmustard cultivated area, contributes nearly 27% of edible oil pool in India, and accounts for >13% of the global edible oil production. In Northern India, mustard oil is mainly utilized for human consumption (Vaghela et al., 2011). During the last decade, the yield of mustard in India almost static is hovering averaged between 1-1.2 tonnes/ha, which is much

below the world's average of 1.98 tonnes/ha. There is a much wider yield gaps when productivity of mustard in India is compared with 4.3 tonnes/ha in Germany, 3.8 tonnes/ha in France and 3.4 tonnes/ ha in UK (Yadava et al., 2012). Higher yield, therefore, can be achieved if superior germplasm lines are effectively utilized in developing highyielding genotypes. Seed yield a very complex trait, possesses many components which finally result in a highly plastic yield structure (Diepenbrock, 2000). Grafius (1959) suggested that there might not be any specific genes for yield per se. Since, heterosis has an important role in all plant breeding programmes; it would be very helpful to know the relationship between heterosis for seed yield and its components (Azizinia, 2011). Selection of desirable heterotic crosses at an early stage is very important in developing high-yielding genotypes. Effective utilization of heterosis to develop high-yielding hybrids, therefore, has been the major objective of Brassica oilseed breeding in recent years (Wang, 2005). The main objective of the present study, therefore, was to isolate superior cross combination(s) by estimating heterobeltiosis (better parent heterosis) and standard heterosis in F₁ crosses of Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.].

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at the DRMR, Bharatpur during 2010-11 and 2012-13. The experimental material comprised of nine diverse advanced breeding lines and four released varieties (Table 1) of Brassica juncea selected from germplasm collection at DRMR, Bharatpur. Thirty six F, crosses were generated through a 9×4 line \times tester mating design during rabi 2010-11. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications during rabi 2012-13. The treatments were seeded in rows of 3 m length with a distance of 30 cm between rows, and 15 cm between plants where each treatment was represented by a single row. Standard agronomic practices were followed, recommended doses of fertilizers viz., 80:40:40:40 kg/ha of N:P:K:S,

Table 1: Thirteen parental genotypes utilized for generation of 36 crosses and their pedigree

Parental genotype	Pedigree
Lines	
DRMR 2178*	(RH 819/BPKR 13)/(RH 819/MDOC 3)
DRMR 2243*	GSL 1/Bio 902
DRMR 2269*	(GSL 1/Bio 902)/(PYSR 2/ Brassica nigra)
DRMR 2326*	(RH 819/BPKR 13)/(PYSR 2/PBR 181)
DRMR 2341*	(RH 819/BPKR 13)/(NBPGR 272/RK 9903)
DRMR 2398*	(PYSR 2/Brassica nigra)/(Kranti/GSL 1)
DRMR 2448*	(RH 819/Kranti)/(GSL 1/PYSR 2)
DRMR 2486*	GSL 1/Bio 902
DRMR 2613*	(IC 199733/Sinapis alba)/(BEC 107/NRCG 411)
Testers	
NRCDR 2**	MDOC 43/NBPGR 36
NRCHB101**	BL 4/Pusa bold
Rohini**	selection from natural population of Varuna
Ashirwab**	Krishna/Vardan

^{*, **} Unreleased advanced breeding lines and released high yielding varieties, respectively

respectively, were applied, and experimental plots irrigated thrice including pre-sowing irrigation. Observations from each parent and F₁'s were recorded on randomly selected five competitive plants for twelve quantitative traits, including seed yield per plant (g), plant height (cm), point to first branch (cm), number of primary branches, main shoot length (cm), point to first siliqua (cm), number of siliquae on main shoot, siliqua length (cm), number of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight (g), days to maturity and percent oil content. The mean of three replications for parents and F₁ crosses for twelve traits were subjected to statistical analysis of variance according to Steel et al. (1997). Heterosis was estimated in relation to better parent (heterobeltiosis) and standard check (standard heterosis) as per standard procedure. Variety NRCDR 2 was taken as standard check for calculation of standard heterosis.

Results and Discussion

Analysis of variance (Table 2) revealed highly significant (at P=0.01) differences among parents and F₁ crosses for all 12 traits indicating existence of considerable genetic variability in the experimental material. All 36 crosses were compared with better parent and standard check for estimation of better parent heterosis and standard heterosis, respectively.

Table 2: Analysis of variance for twelve yield traits in Indian mustard

S.O.V		Replication	Treatments	Error
Character	D.F	2	48	96
Plant height (cm)		4.07	35.04**	4.12
Point to first branch (cm)		16.58**	98.51**	2.94
Number of primary branches		0.1	0.23**	0.09
Main shoot length (cm)		2.23	176.94**	2.56
Point to first siliquae (cm)		0.05	7.10**	0.42
Number of siliqua on main shoot		0.083	93.71**	1.9
Siliqua length (cm)		0.33**	0.70**	0.07
Number of seeds / siliqua		0.09	5.41**	0.11
1000- seed weight (g)		0.0006	0.75**	0.0024
Seed yield / plant (g)		3.86*	131.15**	0.85
Oil content (%)		0.06**	1.76**	0.01
Days to maturity		0.52	18.21**	0.42

^{**,*} significant at P=0.01 and P=0.05, respectively

The estimates of better parent heterosis for 12 traits are presented in Table 3. Results showed that out of 36 crosses, 21 exhibited significant negative heterosis for plant height which ranged from -2.09 to -5.98%. For point to first branch, 23 crosses showed >15% highly significant negative better parent heterosis, and of them five F₁'s namely, DRMR 2486 × NRCHB 101 (-52.22%), DRMR 2243 × NRCHB 101 (-44.33%), DRMR 2426 × Rohini (-43.19%), DRMR 2486 × Ashirwad (-42.18%) and DRMR 2341 × NRCDR 2 (-40.15%)exhibited more than 40% heterosis over the better parent. For days to maturity, thirty three crosses showed significant negative better parent heterosis ranging from -0.97 to -8.20%. Our findings were similar to those reported by several researchers (Das et al., 2004; Turi et al., 2006; Nasrin et al., 2011; Yadav et al., 2012). Short and medium plant stature less vulnerable to lodging due to heavy winds is also preferred in Brassica. Early maturity is useful in most plant species especially Brassica where delayed maturity cause losses in yield and quality of oil due to high temperature (Turi et al., 2006). Similarly, initiation of branches near the base of plant is also desirable for profuse branching with vigorous stature. Negative heterosis, therefore, is useful regarding plant height, point to first branch and days to maturity. Early maturing genotypes suffer lower losses due to shattering, tolerate or escape heat stress and provide sufficient time for seeding the next crop. Similarly, shorter plants with greater numbers of branches are desirable due to their ability to withstand winds. In the present study, negative heterotic values for these traits were noted for many of the crosses (Table 3). Crosses showing significant negative values suggested that these crosses could be used to develop new early maturing lines. Pourdad and Sachan (2003) also reported significant negative heterosis for days to 50% flowering and maturity and high negative heterosis for plant height in Brassica napus. Similarly, Nassimi et al. (2006) also obtained significant negative better-parent heterosis for maturity and plant height. Engqvist and Becker (1991) found that rapeseed hybrids with earlier flowering and higher yields were slightly late maturing. However, Hu et al. (1996) reported significant positive heterotic effects for plant height and seed yield per plant. The differences in the results could be due to the differences in genotypes and weather conditions.

In *Brassica*, positive heterosis for number of primary branches is desirable, because plants with vigorous stature containing more branches provide opportunity for higher yields. Heterosis estimates over better parent showed that out of 36 crosses, 5 crosses had positive effects with the maximum values of 19.23% and 17.86% observed in crosses

	z
	Ō
	\tilde{c}
	Z.
	3
	\ddot{z}
	c
•	S
	SS
	z
	:
ç	$\boldsymbol{\varphi}$
¢	ij.
	0
	~
	es
	Š
	SS
	$\overline{}$
	5
	•
r	ın F, cr
	Ц
	11
,	\mathbf{S}
•	ਬ
	ĭ
•	-
-	ರ
-	<u></u>
	2
,	>
	٠.
(7
7	
	H
	tor
٠	Ĥ
/	
	\mathbf{s}
•	S1S
	0
•	Ï
-	eltio
	ŏ
-	9
	9
	5
,	ã
	ō
₹	Ĕ,
`	_
	\mathbf{s}
•	SIS
	õ
	Ĭ.
	Ð.
	ō
	pe
-	
	Ħ
	5
	=
	ਬ
	Д
	H
	ō
	ette
-	0
٠	_
	Ö
	nates
	τ
	ಡ
	Ξ
-	ĭ
r	71
Ĺ	Ц
(\mathcal{L}
	Ð
-	lable
-	lab
E	_
L	

Table 3: Estimates of better parent heter	etter pare	nt heterosi	osis (heterobeltiosis) for 12 yield	ltiosis) fo		traits in F	crosses o	of <i>Brassica juncea</i>	juncea			
Crosses	Plant	Point	Number of	Main	Point	Number	Siliqua	Number	1000-	Seed	Oil	Days
	height	to first	primary	shoot	to first	of siliqua	length	of seeds	seed	yield/	content	to
	(cm)	branch (cm)	branches	length (cm)	siliquae (cm)	on main shoot	(cm)	/ siliqua	weight (g)	plant (g)	(%)	maturity
DRMR 2178 × NRCDR 2	-2.37**	-13.38**	4.65	-5.07**	-10.19	4.70*	4.60	2.22	-9.39**	-19.79**	0.06	-5.15**
DRMR 2178 × NRCHB 101	1.50	-16.18**	19.23**	-12.58**	-46.72**	2.10	-3.01	1.10	-12.99**	-20.04**	-6.32**	-2.18**
DRMR $2178 \times \text{Rohini}$	0.50	12.79**	-2.38	9.74**	-28.57**	12.61**	-13.26**	-2.35	-13.02**	-14.06**	-1.19**	-2.44**
DRMR 2178 \times Ashirwad	0.37	8.80	7.23	0.46	21.58**	4.45*	11.33**	0.00	-17.73**	-10.59**	-2.20**	-4.73**
DRMR 2243 \times NRCDR 2	-3.40**	-20.07**	0.00	7.92**	-1.92	1.75	5.46	-14.44**	3.45**	-31.28**	2.10**	-4.92**
DRMR 2243 \times NRCHB 101	0.26	-44.33**	0.00	-8.52**	-9.70*	-14.94**	1.37	886**	-8.06**	67.62**	-1.49**	-1.45**
DRMR 2243 \times Rohini	6.16**	-26.23**	8.05	-4.73**	-43.03**	0.45	-2.88	-6.02**	-9.87**	5.10	-0.60**	-0.73
DRMR 2243 \times Ashirwad	-3.14**	-34.70**	4.60	10.93**	-7.13	1.69	8.95*	0.00	-13.48**	1.49	0.87	-1.18**
DRMR 2269 \times NRCDR 2	-2.34**	-13.73**	-7.45	-2.70	-9.04	-2.46	-6.32	-13.33**	-17.10**	-28.78**	-1.41**	-6.09**
DRMR 2269 \times NRCHB 101	-4.03**	-33.22**	-5.32	-17.53**	-5.97	-19.11**	4.66	14.29**	-15.91**	46.32**	-2.48**	-2.18**
DRMR 2269 \times Rohini	-0.86	-9.07*	-6.38	1.63	-22.52**	0.58	-7.78*	3.61	-11.34**	-0.06	-2.91**	-2.93**
DRMR 2269 \times Ashirwad	-4.13**	-39.15**	-7.45	4.76**	-23.54**	5.54*	-10.12**	-10.84**	-10.42**	15.17**	1.87**	-5.91**
DRMR 2326 \times NRCDR 2	-2.76**	1.82	-2.33	-4.93**	-5.77	-9.39**	-0.86	5.56**	-18.02**	-17.89**	0.21	-6.09**
DRMR 2326 \times NRCHB 101	0.79	-9.31*	3.49	-9.55**	-22.39**	-3.67	-6.58	1.10	-14.29**	23.20**	-2.96**	-3.13**
DRMR 2326 \times Rohini	-4.42**	-2.92	-4.65	-1.55	-2.02	-3.56	-8.07*	-6.17**	-8.22**	-7.19*	-2.50**	-1.45**
DRMR 2326 \times Ashirwad	-3.42**	-36.86**	0.00	19.03**	39.31**	8.07**	-0.33	12.16**	-3.82**	-4.45	-1.61**	-5.91**
	-5.02**	-40.15**	-1.16	6.37**	5.26	-11.50**	-12.07**	-13.33**	-7.98**	35.37**	-0.69**	-6.79**
	69.0	-3.15	6.10	-8.59**	-23.28**	3.54	-3.84	0.00	-18.02**	-2.66	-2.36**	-0.97*
DRMR 2341 \times Rohini	1.89*	-15.34**	10.71*	-5.50**	-32.61**	-21.53**	2.31	19.75**	-1.32	-2.78	-1.59**	-0.98*
DRMR 2341 \times Ashirwad	-1.11	-13.66**	9.64*	-3.28*	0.00	5.37**	4.40	15.79**	-7.20**	-29.35**	1.53**	-5.67**
DRMR 2398 \times NRCDR 2	-5.98**	3.02	-2.33	-17.26**	-21.54**	-15.79**	0.00	-8.89**	-13.27**	-30.86**	0.72**	-7.26**
DRMR 2398 \times NRCHB 101	-2.30*	-33.39**	4.88	-11.89**	-11.94*	6.20**	-8.49*	-7.69**	-18.34**	23.31**	0.02	-0.97
DRMR 2398 \times Rohini	0.00	-18.96**	17.86**	-4.73**	-37.65**	11.84**	5.19	8.64**	-15.30**	4.56	-0.74**	-0.49
DRMR 2398 × Ashirwad	-1.79	-32.72**	4.82	8.99**	2.38	8.46**	13.67**	9.21**	-7.53**	-15.37**	-1.61**	-1.89**
DRMR 2448 \times NRCDR 2	-3.08**	-5.86	-9.09	-4.50**	-24.04**	-4.21	13.79**	-6.67**	-16.50**	-31.34**	-0.13	-8.20**
DRMR 2448 \times NRCHB 101	-2.43**	-34.55**	-1.14	-19.18**	-20.90**	-15.57**	-7.95*	-9.89**	-21.54**	-36.68**	-0.33	-3.86**
DRMR 2448 \times Rohini	*0.25	-28.68**	1.14	8.99**	-10.92*	-12.62**	-5.19	88**	-18.72**	2.51	-2.90**	-4.11**
DRMR 2448 × Ashirwad	-2.72**	-36.45**	-6.82	23.55**	30.24**	-10.58**	10.33*	28.38**	-17.40**	14.39**	1.35**	-6.62**
DRMR 2486 \times NRCDR 2	-1.45	-23.82**	-1.15	1.07	-26.92**	-14.56**	2.01	-4.44*	-27.89**	-20.92**	3.27**	-5.15**
DRMR $2486 \times NRCHB 101$	-2.49**	-52.22**	5.75	-16.01**	-32.09**	-10.13**	-3.01	-8.79**	-24.19**	15.23**	0.20	-0.72
DRMR 2486 \times Rohini	-2.09*	-43.19**	2.30	-1.70	-29.41**	-19.09**	-13.26**	8.64**	-10.86**	29.84**	0.30	-3.61**
DRMR 2486 \times Ashirwad	-4.12**	-42.18**	1.15	8.99**	1.51	3.64	-12.85**	0.00	-11.84**	129.22**	2.28**	-5.91**
DRMR 2613 \times NRCDR 2	-1.31	-12.83**	3.49	3.13*	-16.35**	-5.93**	-5.17	-16.67**	-17.91**	31.35**	3.23**	-5.39**
DRMR 2613 × NRCHB 101	-4.77**	-15.15**	7.23	-17.18**	-25.37**	-17.67**	-5.48	3.30	-20.62**	14.95**	90.0	-0.97*
DRMR $2613 \times \text{Rohini}$	-4.27**	-17.86**	2.38	1.94	-31.43**	-21.79**	-15.56**	2.47	-23.28**	-12.52**	-2.08**	-3.15**
DRMR $2613 \times Ashirwad$	-5.40**	-26.60**	10.84*	2.35	-9.29	-19.65**	-4.33	-8.00**	-13.15**	22.99**	-0.72**	-5.44**
	1000											

**, * significant at P=0.01 and P=0.05, respectively

DRMR 2178 \times NRCHB 101 and DRMR 2398 \times Rohini, respectively. Significant positive heterosis for number of primary branches were earlier reported by Turi et al. (2006) and Nasrin et al. (2011). Significant positive better parent heterosis for main shoot length was exhibited by 11 crosses with the maximum values being observed for crosses DRMR $2326 \times Ashirwad (19.03\%)$ and DRMR $2448 \times$ Ashirwad (23.55%). Similarly, for number of siliqua on main shoot, the significant positive better parent heterosis was observed for seven crosses with the values ranging from 4.45 to 12.61%. Five crosses for siliqua length, 11 crosses for number of seeds per siliqua, and one cross for 1000-seed weight showed significant positive better parent heterosis. Nine out of 36 crosses exhibited significant positive better parent heterosis for oil content with the values ranging from 0.72 to 3.27%.

The presence of significantly positive heterosis for branches per plant in F_1 crosses indicates the potential of their use for developing high-yielding genotypes. The results of our study are in agreement with the earlier findings of Nassimi *et al.* (2006) and Turi *et al.* (2006) who reported significant positive heterosis for number of branches per plant in *Brassica napus* and in *Brassica juncea*, respectively. Several researchers reporting

significant positive heterosis including Satwinder *et al.* (2000) for number of primary branches, number and length of siliqua, seeds per siliqua, yield per plant and oil content; Jorgensen *et al.* (1995) for primary and secondary branches and other yield parameters; Krzymanski *et al.* (1997) for seed yield, oil content and some flowering traits; Fray *et al.* (1997) for primary branches, seed yield and number of siliqua per plant; and Liu (1996) for more branches with greater plant height, and longer flowering period.

Thirteen out of 36 crosses exhibited highly significant positive better parent heterosis for seed yield and from them, 11 crosses showed >15% better parent heterosis (Table 3). Five crosses viz., DRMR 2486 × Ashirwad (129.22%), DRMR 2243 × NRCHB 101 (67.62%), DRMR 2269 × NRCHB 101 (46.32%), DRMR 2341 × NRCDR 2 (35.37%), and DRMR 2613 × NRCDR 2 (31.85%) possessed high heterosis over better parent with higher per se performance. Seven crosses exhibiting highly significant positive standard heterosis for seed yield with their percent estimated heterosis values, in decreasing order are: DRMR 2243 × NRCHB 101 (51.31%), DRMR 2486 × Ashirwad (46.85%), DRMR 2341 × NRCDR 2 (35.36%), DRMR 2269 × NRCHB 101 (32.07%), DRMR 2398 × NRCHB 101 (11.32%), and 11.21% in DRMR 2326 \times

Table 4: Mean performance of F, hybrids and estimates of standard heterosis for seed yield

Lines		Testers		
	NRCDR 2	NRCHB 101	Rohini	Ashirwad
DRMR 2178	22.88(-19.09**)	22.80(-19.38**)	24.51(-13.33**)	25.50(-9.83**)
DRMR 2243	19.43(-31.29**)	42.79(51.31**)	24.57(-13.12**)	18.39(-34.97**)
DRMR 2269	20.14(-28.78**)	37.35(32.07**)	23.36(-17.40**)	22.40(-20.79**)
DRMR 2326	23.22(-17.89**)	31.45(11.21**)	21.70(-23.27**)	17.45(-38.30**)
DRMR 2341	38.28(35.36**)	26.64(-5.80)	26.60(-5.62)	19.33(-31.65**)
DRMR 2398	19.55(-30.87**)	31.48(11.32**)	24.44(-13.58**)	16.54(-41.51**)
DRMR 2448	19.42(-31.33**)	16.16(-42.86**)	23.96(-15.28**)	20.72(-26.73**)
DRMR 2486	22.36(-20.93**)	29.41(4.00)	30.35(7.32*)	41.53(46.85**)
DRMR 2613	37.29(31.86**)	29.34(3.75)	20.45(-27.69**)	22.36(-20.93**)
Mean seed yield		28.280		•
of standard chec	ek (g)			

Values in parentheses represent economic heterosis (standard heterosis)

^{**,*:} significant at P=0.01 and P=0.05, respectively.

NRCHB 101 (Table 4). Heterobeltiosis values as high as 54.38% in hybrid Pusa Mustard 25 × RGN 145, and 44.8% in hybrid RSK 28 × RH(0E)0103 with higher per se performance have been reported, respectively, by Yadav et al. (2012) and Vaghela et al. (2011). Better parent heterosis to the extent of 161% and 113.6% in Indian mustard hybrids RAU RP 4 × PR 18 (Hirve and Tiwari, 1991) and RLM 198 × RK 2 (Dhillon et al., 1990), respectively, 102.7% in yellow seeded Indian colza hybrid YS 51 × YS 9 (Duhoon and Basu, 1981), and 204% in raya hybrid F 48 × IB 494 (Yadava et al., 1974) have been reported. Heterosis for seed yield ranging from 24.36 to 80.97% was also reported by Verma et al. (2011). Moderate level of heterosis for seed yield/plant, number of siliquae/plant and number of secondary branches/plant was also reported by Aher et al. (2009). From the present study the high yielding cross combinations can be utilized in future breeding programmes for developing high yielding genotypes; parents used in developing heterotic hybrids shall be converted to well adapted cytoplasmic male sterile or restorer lines.

References

- Aher CD, Shelke LT, Chinchane VN, Borgaonkar SB and Gaikwad AR. 2009. Heterosis for yield and yield components in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern and Coss.]. Int J Plant Sci 4: 30-32.
- Azizia S. 2011. Combining ability analysis for yield component parameters in winter rapeseed genotypes (Brassica napus L.). J Oilseed Brassica 2: 21–28.
- Das GG, Ouddus MA and Kabir ME.2004. Heterosis in interspecific Brassica hybrids grown under saline conditions. J Biol Sci 4: 664-667.
- Dhillon SS, Labana KS and Banga SK. 1990. Studies on heterosis and combining ability in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.]. PAU J Res 27: 1-8.
- Diepenbrock W. 2000. Yield analysis of winter oilseed rape (B. napus L.): A review. Filed Crop Res 67: 35-49.

- Duhoon SS and Basu AK. 1981. Note on heterosis in yellow seeded Indian colza. Indian J Agri Sci 51: 121-124.
- Engquist GM and Becker HC. 1991. Heterosis and epistasis in rapeseed estimated from generation means. Euphytica 58: 31-35.
- Fray MJ, Puangsomlee P, Goodrich J, Coupland G, Evans EJ, Arthur AE and Lydiate DJ. 1997. The genetics of stamenoid petal production in oilseed rape (Brassica napus L.) and equivalent variation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Indian J Genet 57: 163-167.
- Grafius JE. 1959. Heterosis in barley. Agron J 51: 551-554
- Hirve CD and Tiwari AS. 1992. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in Indian mustard. Indian J Genet 51: 190-193.
- Hu B, Chen F, Li C, Li,Q, Hu BC, Chen FX, Li C and Li QS. 1996. Comparison of heterosis between cytoplasmic male sterile three-way cross and single crosses hybrids in rape (Brassica napus L.). Rosliny Oleiste 17: 61-71.
- Jorgensen RB, Andersen B, Landbo L, Mikkelsen TR, Dias JS, Crute I and Monteiro AA. 1995. Spontaneous hybridization between oilseed rape (Brassica napus) and weedy relatives. J Oilseeds Res 12: 180-183.
- Krzymanski J, Pietka T, Krotka K, Bodnaryk RP, Lamb RJ and Pivnick KA. 1997. Resistance of hybrid canola (Brassica napus L.) to flea beetle (Phyllotreta spp.) damage during early growth. Postepy Nauk Rolniczych 45: 41-52.
- Liu ZS. 1996. Genetic and breeding studies on distant hybridization in rapeseed. II. Cross compatibility between B. napus and B. juncea and their F₁s. Oil Crops China 16: 1-5.
- Nasrin S, Nur F, Nasreen MK, Bhuriyan MSR, Sarkar S and Islam MM. 2011. Heterosis and combining ability analysis in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L.). Bangladesh Res Pub J **6**: 65-71.
- Nassimi A, Raziuddin W and Naushad A. 2006. Heterotic studies for yield associated traits in Brassica napus L. using 8 x 8 diallel crosses. Pakistan J Biol Sci 9: 2132-2136.

- Pourdad SS and Sachan JN. 2003. Study on heterosis and inbreeding depression in agronomic and oil quality characters of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Seed and Plant 19: 29-33.
- Satwinder K, Paramjit S, Gupta VP, Kaur S and Singh P. 2000. Combining ability analysis for oil yield and its components in *Brassica napus* L. *Cruciferae Newsl* **22**: 67-68.
- Steel RGD, Torrie GH and Dicky DA. 1997. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A biometrical Approach (3rd Ed.). McGraw Hill Book International CO. New York.
- Turi NA, Raziuddin S, Shah S and Ali S. 2006. Estimation of heterosis for some important traits in mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *J Agri Biol Sci* **4:** 6-10.
- Vaghela PO, Thakkar HS, Bhadauria HS, Sutariya DA, Parmar SK and Prajapati DV. 2011. Heterosis and combining ability for yield and its component traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *J Oilseed Brassica* 2: 39-43.

- Verma OP, Yadav R, Kumar K, Singh R, Maurya KN and Ranjana. 2011. Combining ability and heterosis for seed yield and its components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Plant Arch* 11: 863–865.
- Yadava DK, Singh N, Vasudev S, Singh R, Singh,S, Giri SC, Dwivedi VK and Prabhu KV. 2012. Combining ability and heterobeltiosis for yield and yield-contributing traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian J Agri Sci* **82**: 563-567.
- Yadava TP, Singh H, Gupta VP and Rana RK. 1974. Heterosis and combining ability in raya for yield and its components. *Indian J Genet* **34**: 684-686.
- Wang HZ. 2005. The potential problems and strategy for the development of biodiesel using oilseed rape. *Chinese J Oil Crop Sci* **27**: 74-76.