

## Management of Sclerotinia rot of Indian mustard through fungicides

Jitendra Sharma, Shashi Kant Goyal\* and Shailesh Godika

Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner 303329, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India \*Corresponding author: skgoyal.skncoa@sknau.ac.in (Received: 02 April 2022; Revised: 17 June 2022; Accepted: 27 June 2022)

### **Abstract**

Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) is an important oilseed crop and attack of diseases and pests is the most important factor causing yield instability in the crop. Stem rot (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*) of Indian mustard is considered to be an economically important yield reducing disease in recent years. Therefore, the present investigations were carried out with the objective to test seven fungicides under *in vitro* (by poisoned food technique) and *in vivo* conditions. Carbendazim and carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP inhibited completely the mycelial growth of *S. sclerotiorum* at all concentrations (50,100 and 150 ppm) followed by captan 70% + hexaconazole 5 % WP with inhibition of 94, 100 and 100 % at 50, 100 and 150 ppm respectively. *In vivo* efficacy of six fungicides tested by applying as seed treatment, foliar application (30 DAS) and seed-cum-foliar application against sclerotinia rot of Indian mustard under soil inoculation pot house conditions with cultivar Varuna (T-59). Carbendazim was found most effective in reducing the disease intensity followed by carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP.

Keywords: Fungicides, Indian mustard, management, Sclerotinia rot

#### Introduction

Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss] is an important oilseed crop, belongs to family Crucifereae (Brassicaceae). Brassica crops are cultivated for edible vegetable oil production. They also play a vital role in world's agricultural economy. Mustard is the second most important oilseed crop of India after groundnut in terms of area and production. Rajasthan state ranks first both in area and production. The attack of diseases and pests is the most important factor causing yield instability in rapeseed and mustard. Leaf spot and blight (Alternaria brassiciola and Alternaria alternata), white rust (Albugo candida), Sclerotinia rot (Sclerotinia sclerotiorum), downy mildew (Peronospora brassicae), powdery mildew (Erysiphe cruciferarum) are major diseases of mustard. Among these, Sclerotinia rot or stem blight or white blight or white rot of Indian mustard incited by Sclerotinia Sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary is important yield reducing disease. S. sclerotiorum is a necrotropic pathogen caused damage to the plant tissue followed by cell death and development of soft rot or white mould (Purdy, 1979). High incidence of the disease has led to discouragement of the growers. In some districts of Haryana and Punjab 72.8 to 80 per cent disease incidence was recorded (Kang and Chahal, 2000 and Sharma et al., 2001). Yadav et al. (2013) reported 17.4 per cent diseases

incidence from six districts of Rajasthan. The present investigations were carried out with the objective to test some fungicides against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* under *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions so that these can be used as a component of disease management.

## Materials and Methods Collection and isolation of *S. sclerotiorum*

Sclerotinia rot affected plants of Indian mustard showing partial or total wilting of stems and branches, were collected from farmer's field and isolations were made on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium from black sclerotia present inside the diseased stem as well as from individual stem rot lesion as per procedure and culture purified by hyphal tip method (Riker and Riker, 1936).

# In vitro efficacy of fungicides against S. sclerotiorum

Seven fungicides [Propineb 70 % WP (Antracol); carbendazim 50 % WP (Bavistin); carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP (Companion); mancozeb 75 % WP (Indofil M-45); cymoxanil 8 % + mancozeb 64 % WP (Moximate); metalaxyl 8 % + mancozeb 64 % WP (Ridomil Gold); captan 70 % + hexaconazole 5 % WP (Steam)] were evaluated with three (50,100 and 150 ppm) concentrations against the *S. sclerotiorum* under

164

laboratory conditions to find out per cent inhibition on growth of the pathogen in culture by poisoned food technique (Schmitz,1930). Requisite quantity of each fungicide was incorporated in sterilized two per cent PDA medium, thoroughly mixed by shaking prior to pouring in sterilized Petri plates and were allowed to solidify. These Petri plates were inoculated with 5 mm dia. disc of sevendays old culture of the pathogen in the centre of the plate and incubated at  $25 \pm 1$   $^{\circ}$ C. Each treatment was replicated thrice with suitable control. The efficacy of fungicides in each treatment and average of three replications were calculated. Per cent mycelial growth inhibition was calculated using formula as suggested by Bliss (1934).

$$I = \frac{\text{(C-T)}}{\text{C}} \times 100$$

Where, I = Per cent mycelial growth inhibition, C = Growth of fungal plant pathogen in control (mm), T = Growth of fungal plant pathogen in dual culture plate (mm)

## In vivo efficacy of fungicides against sclerotinia rot of Indian mustard

The experiment was carried out in earthen pots (30 cm dia.) with cultivar Varuna (T-59). The pathogen multiplied on sorghum grains at  $25 \pm 1$  °C for one week was used as the soil inoculum. Prior to sowing, pots were surface sterilized with copper sulphate solution and filled with sterilized soil. The soil was sterilized at 1.045 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> for one hour for three consecutive days. These pots were inoculated with fungal inoculum multiplied on sorghum grains before sowing. For inoculation the upper 5 cm layer of soil of each pot was thoroughly mixed with inoculum @ 20 g/pot. 10 seeds were maintained per pot and kept in cage house. Six fungicides [Carbendazim 50% WP (Bavistin); carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP (Companion); mancozeb 75 % WP (Indofil M-45); cymoxanil 8 % + mancozeb 64 % WP (Moximate); metalaxyl 8 %+ mancozeb 64 %WP (Ridomil Gold); captan 70% + hexaconazole 5 % WP (Steam)] fungicides were applied as seed application, foliar application (30 DAS) and seed-cum-foliar application in four replications. At 30 DAS, 0.1 % of carbendazim and 0.2 % of rest of the fungicides were used as single foliar spray.

The plants showing even a minute lesions on stem due to disease was considered as a diseased plant. Disease rating (0-4) scale (Plate 1) of Lesovoi *et al.* (1987) and Sansford (1995) with a slight modification was followed to assess intensity as: 0 = Healthy (no visible lesion); 1 = 0.1-2 cm lesion length on stem; 2 = 2.1-4.0 cm; 3 = 4.1-6.0 cm; 4 = 5.0 cm lesion length on stem or complete dried plant. The

length of lesion on infected stem was considered for recording the disease intensity. The infected area was calculated from 10 plants in each pot and then average for each treatment was worked out. The % disease intensity was calculated using the formula of Wheeler (1969):



Plate 1: Sclerotinia rot disease rating scale

# Results and Discussion In vitro efficacy of fungicides against S. sclerotiorum

The efficacy of fungicides was evaluated against S. sclerotiorum on PDA by poisoned food technique. The data suggested (Table 1) that increase in concentration of the fungicides caused increased inhibition of mycelial growth of the fungus. Among these, carbendazim and carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP inhibited completely the mycelial growth of S. sclerotiorum at all concentrations (50,100 and 150 ppm). This was followed by captan 70 % + hexaconazole 5 % WP with inhibition of 94, 100 and 100 % at 50, 100 and 150 ppm respectively. Propineb was found least effective at all concentrations against S. sclerotiorum. At 50 ppm concentration mancozeb and metalaxyl 8 % + mancozeb 64 %WP were found at par with each other. Effectiveness of carbendazim and carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP against S. sclerotiorum has also reported by earlier workers. Chattopadhyay et al. (2002), Pandey et al. (2011) and Singh et al. (2014) reported carbendazim as significantly superior in inhibiting mycelial growth of S. sclerotiorum. While Javeria et al. (2014) reported carbendazim and carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP were significantly superior in inhibiting mycelial growth of S. sclerotiorum.

# In vivo efficacy of fungicides against sclerotinia rot of Indian mustard

Six fungicides found better in poisoned food technique, were used *in vivo* conditions through seed application, foliar application and seed-cum-foliar application in a pot experiment.

## **Seed application**

A perusal of data (Table 2) revealed minimum disease intensity with carbendazim (30.6 %) followed by carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP (35.6 %) as compared to control (77.5 %). Maximum reduction in per

Table: 1 In vitro efficacy of fungicides against S. sclerotiorum by poisoned food technique after 7 days of incubation at  $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C

| Fungicides              | % mycelial growth inhibition at various concentrations (ppm) |             |              |            |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|-------------|--------------|------------|--|--|--|
|                         | 50   | 100         | 150          | Mean       |  |  |  |
| Propineb                | 5.9 (14.0)*  | 17.4 (24.7) | 47.8 (43.7)  | 23.7       |  |  |  |
| Carbendazim             | 100 (90.0)   | 100 (90.0)  | 100 (90.0)   | 100        |  |  |  |
| Carbendazim + Mancozeb  | 100 (90.0)   | 100 (90.0)  | 100 (90.0)   | 100        |  |  |  |
| Mancozeb                | 38.8 (38.5)  | 51.5 (45.9) | 70.4 (57.1)  | 53.6       |  |  |  |
| Cymoxanil + Mancozeb    | 51.9 (46.1)  | 68.2 (55.7) | 84.4 (66.8)  | 68.2       |  |  |  |
| Metalaxyl- M + Mancozeb | 39.7 (39.0)  | 54.1 (47.4) | 78.5 (62.4)  | 57.4       |  |  |  |
| Captan + Hexaconazole   | 94.4 (76.4)  | 100 (90.0)  | 100 (90.0)   | 98.1       |  |  |  |
| Control                 | 0.0  | 0.0         | 0.0          | 0.0        |  |  |  |
| Mean                    | 53.8   | 61.4        | 72.6         |            |  |  |  |
|                         |  |             | SEm <u>+</u> | CD(p=0.05) |  |  |  |
|                         |  | F           | 0.69         | 1.97       |  |  |  |
|                         |  | C           | 0.42         | 1.21       |  |  |  |
|                         |  | FxC         | 1.20         | 3.42       |  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Figures given in parentheses are angular transformed values

cent disease intensity over control was observed with carbendazim (60.5 %) followed by carbendazim 12% + mancozeb 63 % WP (54.0 %) over control. Per cent disease intensity of captan 70 % + hexaconazole 5 % WP (36.2 %) was found at par with companion (35.6 %) and per cent disease intensity of metalaxyl 8 %+ mancozeb 64 % WP (50.0 %) was found at par with mancozeb (52.5 %) respectively. Minimum reduction in disease intensity was observed in mancozeb (32.2 %).

### **Foliar application**

A perusal of data (Table 2) revealed that the highest reduction in disease intensity over control was observed in carbendazim (52.3 %) followed by carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP (49.2 %). Per cent disease intensity of carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP (41.2 %) was found at par with carbendazim (38.7%) and per cent disease intensity of mancozeb (58.1 %) was found at par with metalaxyl 8 % + mancozeb 64 % WP (55.6 %). Minimum reduction in disease intensity was observed in mancozeb (28.5 %).

### **Seed-cum-foliar application**

A perusal of data (Table 2) revealed minimum per cent disease intensity in carbendazim (21.2 %) followed by carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP (23.7 %) over control (78.7%). Maximum reduction in disease intensity over control was observed in carbendazim (73.0 %)

Table 2: In vivo efficacy of fungicides against sclerotinia rot of Indian mustard

| Fungicide               | Dose | Seed application      |                         | Foliar application    |                         | Seed-cum-foliar application |                         |
|-------------------------|------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
|                         | (%)  | Disease intensity (%) | %<br>Disease<br>control | Disease intensity (%) | %<br>Disease<br>control | Disease intensity (%)       | %<br>Disease<br>control |
| Carbendazim             | 0.1  | 30.6(33.6)*           | 60.5                    | 38.7(38.5)            | 52.3                    | 21.2(27.4)                  | 73.0                    |
| Carbendazim + Mancozeb  | 0.2  | 35.6(36.6)            | 54.0                    | 41.2(40.0)            | 49.2                    | 23.7(29.2)                  | 69.8                    |
| Mancozeb                | 0.2  | 52.5(46.4)            | 32.2                    | 58.1(50.0)            | 28.5                    | 47.5(43.6)                  | 39.7                    |
| Cymoxanil + Mancozeb    | 0.2  | 43.7(41.4)            | 43.5                    | 50.6(45.4)            | 37.7                    | 36.9(37.4)                  | 53.2                    |
| Metalaxyl- M + Mancozeb | 0.2  | 50.0(45.0)            | 35.5                    | 55.6(48.2)            | 31.5                    | 43.7(41.4)                  | 44.4                    |
| Captan + Hexaconazole   | 0.2  | 36.2(37.0)            | 53.2                    | 44.4(41.8)            | 45.4                    | 28.7(32.4)                  | 63.5                    |
| control                 | -    | 77.5(61.7)            | 0.0                     | 81.2(64.3)            | 0.0                     | 78.7(62.5)                  | 0.0                     |
| SEm <u>+</u>            |      | 0.99                  | -                       | 0.89                  | -                       | 0.84                        | -                       |
| CD (p=0.05)             |      | 2.96                  | -                       | 2.66                  | -                       | 2.50                        | -                       |

<sup>\*</sup>Figures given in parentheses are angular transformed values

followed by carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP (69.8 %). Per cent disease intensity of carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP (23.75 %) was found at par with carbendazim (21.2 %). Minimum reduction in disease intensity was observed in mancozeb (39.7 %).

Among these methods, seed treatment-cum-foliar application of fungicides was found most effective in by reducing disease intensity, followed by seed application and foliar application alone. All fungicides were able to reduce the disease intensity significantly over control. Carbendazim was the best in reducing the disease intensity followed by carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP. These results are in agreement with the results of Pathak and Godika (2002), Zewain *et al.* (2005), Ghasolia and Shivpuri (2008), Choudhary *et al.* (2010) and Sharma *et al.* (2011). They reported efficacy of carbendazim in disease control against *S. sclerotiorum*.

### References

- Bliss CL. 1934. The method of probits. Sci 79: 38.
- Chattopadhyay C, Meena PD and Kumar S. 2002. Management of sclerotinia rot of Indian mustard using ecofriendly strategies. *J Mycol Plant Pathol* **32**: 194-200.
- Choudhary P, Rawat U and Govila R. 2010. Control of *S. sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary in Indian mustard with fungicides. *J Plant Dev Sci* **2**: 45-49.
- Ghasolia RP and Shivpuri A. 2008. Management of Sclerotinia rot of Indian mustard with plant extracts and fungicides. *J Mycol Plant Pathol* **38**: 400-402.
- Javeria S, Kumar H, Gangwar RK, Tyagi S and Yadav RS. 2014. Isolation of stem rot disease causing organism of brinjal and their *in-vitro* inhibition with fungicides and bio-control agents. *Eur Res* **83**: 1662-1670.
- Kang IS and Chahal SS. 2000. Prevalence and incidence of white rot of rapeseed and mustard incited by *S. sclerotiorum* Punjab. *Plant Dis Res* **15**: 232-233.
- Lesovoi MP, Parfenyuk AI and Kondrafyuk OK. 1987. A method of identify and selecting sunflower resistant to pathogen of white rot and grey mould. *Mikollogiya Fitopathologiya*, **21**: 273-278.
- Pandey P, Kumar R and Mishra P. 2011. Integrated approach for the management of *S. sclerotiorum*

- (Lib.) de Bary, causing stem rot of chickpea. *Indian Phytopathol* **64**: 37-40.
- Pathak, AK and Godika S. 2002. Efficacy of seed dressing fungicides in the management of stem rot disease of mustard causing by *S. sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary. *Crucif News* **24**: 93-94.
- Purdy LH. 1979. *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* history, disease and symptomatology, host range, geographic distribution and impact. *Phytopathol* **69**: 875-880.
- Riker AJ and Riker RS. 1936. Introduction to research on plant diseases. A guide to the principles and practice for studying various plant-disease problems. John Swift Co Inc Ioris Pp. 119.
- Sansford C. 1995. Oilseed rape: development of stem rot (*S. sclerotiorum*) and its effect on yield. In: *Proc. IX Intl Rapeseed Congress*. Today and tomorrow, Cambridge, U.K., 2: 634-636.
- Schmitz H. 1930. Poisoned food technique. Second Edn. Industry of Engineering Chemical. London, U.S.A. pp. 333-361.
- Sharma P, Meena PD, Rai PK, Kumar S and Siddiqui SA. 2011. Evaluation of soil amendments, botanicals and fungicides against *S. sclerotiorum* causing stem rot of Indian mustard. *J Mycol Plant Pathol* 41: 151.
- Sharma S, Yadav JL and Sharma GR. 2001. Effect of various agronomic practices on the incidence of white rot of Indian mustard caused by *S. sclerotiorum*. *J Mycol Plant Pathol* **31**: 83-84.
- Singh NK, Singh RB and Singh V. 2014. Efficacy of fungicides and bio-pesticide against the *S. sclerotiorum* causing Sclerotinia rot of mustard. *J Agric Vet Sci* 7: 20-23.
- Wheeler BEJ. 1969. An Introduction to Plant Diseases. John Willey and Sons Ltd. London, pp. 301.
- Yadav MS, Singh N, Singh S, Ahmad N and Godika S. 2013. Assessment of prevalence and severity of Sclerotinia rot of Indian mustard in Rajasthan and Haryana. *Int J Plant Prot* **41**: 249-252.
- Zewain QK, Bahadur P and Sharma P. 2005. Integrated disease management of stalk rot of cauliflower. *Indian Phytopathol* **58**: 167-173.