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#### Abstract

Forty accessions of Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L.) germplasm evaluated for yield as well as quality traits revealed significant differences among the accessions for seed yield contributing traits and seed quality parameters. The highest estimate of phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation was found for secondary branches per plant, harvest index. Higher estimates of heritability coupled with higher genetic advance was observed in harvest index and secondary branches per plant. The seed yield per plant, the most important economic trait, was positively and significantly correlated with 1000 -seed weight, harvest index, number of seeds per at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. The characters showing significant positive correlation among yield and important characters would be highly effective and efficient in improving respective traits. Path coefficient analysis identified as plant height and 1000-seed weight important component having high order of direct effect and seedling dry weight via vigour index-II and seedling length via vigour index-II important component having high order of indirect effect on seed yield per plant. The characters identified above as important direct and indirect yield components merit due to consideration in formulating effective selection strategy for developing high yielding mustard genotypes. These components plays an important role in a crop for best selecting of genotypes for making rapid improvement in yield and other desirable characters as well as to select the potential parent for hybridization programmes.
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## Introduction

Rapeseed- mustard group of oil seed crops is the second most important crop after groundnut. The production of rapeseed-mustard in 2017-18 was about 6.31 million tonnes with productivity of $1089 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$. This has been largely due to the new integrated oilseed policy of Govt. Of India is the form of Technology Mission on oilseeds and resulted in yellow revolution. However, in Uttar Pradesh, it was grown on 6.26 lakh ha area with production of 5.82 lakh Million tonnes and productivity of $930 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ and had ranks third in area after Rajasthan and MP and third in production after Rajasthan and Haryana, India (Anonymous, 2017).

Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.] is one of the most important oilseed crops of India. In order to incorporate desirable characters to maximize economic yields, the information nature and extent of genetic variability present in a population for desirable characters, their association and relative contribution to yield constitutes the basic requirement. The present study was under taken to find out genetic variability available, heritability and genetic advance, the association of
different characters and their contribution to define seed yield.

## Materials and Methods

The material of the present study consisted 40 genotypes including three checks viz., Vardan, Kranti and Narendra Rai-I of Indian mustard. There were grown in Randomized Block Design were conducted at Research Farm of Department of Genetics \& Plant Breeding, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar, Ayodhya (UP) during Rabi, 2017-18. Crop was grown in single row of 3 meter spaced at 30 cm apart. The distance between plant to plant 15 cm was maintained by thinning. All the recommended cultural practices were adopted and the observations were recorded on five competitive plants from each replication viz., days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, plant height ( cm ), length of main raceme $(\mathrm{cm})$, siliqua on main raceme (cm), number of seeds per siliqua, 1000seed weight (g), harvest index (\%), biological yield per plant (g), speed of germination, germination per cent, root length ( cm ), shoot length ( cm ), seedling length ( cm ),
seedling dry weight (g), vigour index-I, vigour index-II and seed yield per plant (g). The data collected for all quantitative characters were subjected to analysis of variance according to the method recommended by Panse and Sukhatme (1967), coefficient of variation by Burton and De Vane (1953), estimation of heritability by Hanson et al. (1956), genetic advance by Johnson et al. (1955), correlation coefficient by Searle (1961) and path coefficient analysis by Deway and Lu (1965).

## Results and Discussion

The result of analysis of variance showed that mean squares due to treatments were highly significant for all the seed yield contributing traits and seed quality parameters of all the traits for 40 genotypes is presented in Table 1. The analysis of variance showed highly significant differences for all the characters indicating the presences of variability which can be exploited through selection.

The highest estimates of PCV and GCV were found for secondary branches per plant ( $48.7 \%, 43.6 \%$ ), harvest index ( $41.9 \%, 41.2 \%$ ), root length ( $33.4 \%, 28.7 \%$ ), 1000seed weight $(24.4 \%, 19.9 \%)$, vigour index-I $(23.9 \%, 20.5 \%)$, seedling length $(23.4 \%, 19.8 \%)$, shoot length ( $23.2 \%$,
$16.5 \%$ ) and primary branches per plant ( $20.6 \%, 19.1 \%$ ). The PCV and GCV were computed to assess the nature and magnitude of existing variability in the genotype. The result showed a close correspondence between the phenotypic and genotypic variance for all the characters indicating stable expression of attributes and absence of high environmental influence. Hence, these characters are more suitable for direct selection procedure. Similar result were reported by Shekhawat et al. (2014), Akabari and Niranjana (2015), Dilip et al. (2016), Srivastava et al. (2016), Rai et al. (2017), Kumar et al. (2017) and Raliya et al. (2018). The moderate estimates of PCV and GCV were recorded for biological yield per plant ( $19.3 \%, 18.9 \%$ ), number of seeds per siliqua ( $17.8 \%, 16.8 \%$ ), vigour indexII ( $16.7 \%, 16.3 \%$ ), seedling dry weight $(16.4 \%, 16.1 \%)$, siliqua on main raceme $(14.5 \%, 13.5 \%)$, seed yield per plant $(12.0 \%, 10.3 \%)$, plant height $(11.8 \%, 11.5 \%)$. Similar result reported by Tripathi et al. (2013) and Dilip et al. (2016).

The genotypes under study showed high heritability values for all the characters under study. The estimates of heritability in broad sense showed considerable variation for different characters (Table 2). Highest heritability was recorded for harvest index (96.6\%)

Table 1: Analysis of variance for 20 characters in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L.)

| Characters / Source of variation | Source of variation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Replication | Treatment | Error |
| d.f. | 2 | $39^{* *}$ | 78 |
| Days to 50\% flowering | 1.430 | $6.823^{* *}$ | 0.645 |
| Days to maturity | 3.185 | $53.120^{* *}$ | 1.715 |
| Primary branches/ plant | 0.492 | $3.246^{* *}$ | 0.175 |
| Secondary branches/plant | 3.418 | $21.651^{* *}$ | 1.664 |
| Plant height (cm) | 52.942 | $1278.294^{* *}$ | 24.227 |
| Length of main raceme (cm) | 18.216 | $121.585^{* *}$ | 7.565 |
| Siliqua on main raceme (cm) | 13.012 | $123.693^{* *}$ | 6.496 |
| Number of seeds/siliqua | 0.484 | $16.220^{* *}$ | 0.590 |
| 1000-seed weight (g) | 0.122 | $2.129^{* *}$ | 0.301 |
| Harvest index (\%) | 2.175 | $193.658^{* *}$ | 2.261 |
| Biological yield/ plant (g) | 1.432 | $3.774^{* *}$ | 7.982 |
| Speed of germination | 0.741 | $7.683^{* *}$ | 0.523 |
| Germination (\%) | 1.481 | $5.085^{* *}$ | 1.308 |
| Root length (cm) | 0.593 | $3.558^{* *}$ | 0.529 |
| Shoot length (cm) | 1.326 | $13.227^{* *}$ | 0.871 |
| Seedling length (cm) | 1.617 | $0.392^{* *}$ | 1.551 |
| Seedling dry weight (g) | 0.005 | $111514.4^{* *}$ | 0.005 |
| Vigour index-I | 14023.12 | $3217.109^{* *}$ | 12012.25 |
| Vigour index-II | 62.972 | $8.452^{* *}$ | 53.256 |
| Seed yield/ plant (g) | 1.189 |  | 0.914 |

[^0]Table 2: Estimates of range, grand mean phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variation, heritability ( $h^{2}$ bs) and genetic advance for twenty characters in Indian mustard.

| Character | Range | Grand mean | Coefficient of variation (\%) |  | Genetic advance in per cent of mean ( $\overline{\mathrm{Ga}} \%$ ) | Heritability (h²bs \%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PCV (\%) | GCV(\%) |  |  |
| Days to 50\% flowering | 69.0-74.0 | $72.04 \pm 0.46$ | 2.28 | 1.99 | 3.58 | 76.1 |
| Days to maturity | 105-126 | $116.12 \pm 0.756$ | 3.74 | 3.57 | 7.00 | 90.9 |
| Primary branches/ plant | 3.73-8.40 | $5.31 \pm 0.24$ | 20.63 | 19.06 | 36.28 | 85.4 |
| Secondary branches/plant | 2.07-11.93 | $5.92 \pm 0.74$ | 48.74 | 43.59 | 80.33 | 80.0 |
| Plant height (cm) | 119.79-220.15 | $178.56 \pm 2.84$ | 11.78 | 11.45 | 22.93 | 94.5 |
| Length of main raceme (cm) | 63.09-86.89 | $73.37 \pm 1.581$ | 9.20 | 8.40 | 15.81 | 83.4 |
| Siliqua on main raceme (cm) | 38.27-75.60 | $46.45 \pm 1.47$ | 14.53 | 13.46 | 25.67 | 85.7 |
| Number of seeds/siliqua | 9.20-23.07 | $13.56 \pm 0.44$ | 17.76 | 16.83 | 32.87 | 89.8 |
| 1000 -seed weight (g) | 2.38-6.02 | $3.91 \pm 0.31$ | 24.38 | 19.95 | 33.62 | 67.0 |
| Harvest index (\%) | 6.65-38.27 | $19.41 \pm 0.86$ | 41.88 | 41.16 | 83.33 | 96.6 |
| Biological yield/ plant (g) | 29.83-84.51 | $66.65 \pm 1.63$ | 19.33 | 18.86 | 37.90 | 95.2 |
| Speed of germination | 14.61-18.55 | $16.75 \pm 0.41$ | 7.52 | 6.15 | 10.37 | 67.0 |
| Germination (\%) | 86.30-93.70 | $89.05 \pm 0.66$ | 2.08 | 1.64 | 2.65 | 61.9 |
| Root length (cm) | 2.72-8.13 | $4.29 \pm 0.42$ | 33.36 | 28.36 | 50.98 | 74.2 |
| Shoot length (cm) | 7.19-16.11 | $5.73 \pm 0.53$ | 23.92 | 16.51 | 24.21 | 50.7 |
| Seedling length (cm) | 7.19-16.11 | $9.97 \pm 0.72$ | 23.40 | 19.78 | 34.46 | 71.5 |
| Seedling dry weight (g) | 1.65-3.01 | $2.23 \pm 0.04$ | 16.38 | 16.09 | 32.54 | 96.4 |
| Vigour index-I | 624.55-1509.18 | $888.56 \pm 63.27$ | 23.92 | 20.50 | 36.18 | 73.4 |
| Vigour index-II | 143.63-272.19 | $199.01 \pm 4.21$ | 16.73 | 16.31 | 32.80 | 95.2 |
| Seed yield/ plant (g) | 11.49-19.23 | $15.40 \pm 0.55$ | 12.02 | 10.29 | 18.15 | 73.3 |

followed by seedling dry weight ( $96.4 \%$ ), biological yield per plant ( $95.2 \%$ ), vigour index-II ( $95.2 \%$ ), plant height ( $94.5 \%$ ), days to maturity ( $90.9 \%$ ), number of seeds per siliqua ( $89.8 \%$ ), siliqua on main raceme ( $85.7 \%$ ), primary branches per plant ( $85.4 \%$ ), length of main raceme ( $83.4 \%$ ) and secondary branches per plant ( $80.0 \%$ ) mainly due to additive effect and selection is effective for such traits. The heritability gives an idea of transmissibility of a character from parents to offspring. The result obtained under present study is in accordance with earlier reports of Mehla et al. (2003), Upadhyay and Kumar (2009), Goyal et al. (2012), Singh et al. (2013), Priyamedha et al. (2013), Shekhawat et al. (2014), Dilip et al. (2016).

Genetic advance is relative increase in mean value of population after selection. The very high estimates of genetic advance were recorded for harvest index ( $83.3 \%$ ) followed by secondary branches per plant $(80.3 \%)$ and root length ( $51.0 \%$ ). Moderate value of genetic advance were recorded for biological yield per plant ( $37.9 \%$ ), primary branches per plant (36.3\%), vigour index-I (36.2\%), seedling length ( $34.5 \%$ ), 1000-seed weight ( $33.6 \%$ ), number of seeds per siliqua ( $32.9 \%$ ), vigour index II ( $32.8 \%$ ) and seedling dry weight ( $32.5 \%$ ), siliqua on main raceme ( $25.7 \%$ ), shoot length ( $24.2 \%$ ) and plant height ( $22.9 \%$ ). The low estimates of genetic advance ( $<20 \%$ ), were recorded for Seed yield per plant ( $18.2 \%$ ), length of main raceme ( $15.8 \%$ ), speed of germination ( $10.4 \%$ ), days to maturity $(7.0 \%$ ), days to 50 per cent flowering ( $3.6 \%$ ) and germination $(2.7 \%)$. Higher estimates of heritability coupled with higher genetic advance for harvest index and secondary branches per plant indicated that heritability of the trait is mainly due to additive effect and selection is effective for such traits. It also predicts the gain under selection than heritability estimates alone. This indicates that improvement in these traits could be made by simple selection. Similar results were obtained by Upadhyay and Kumar (2009), Goyal et al. (2012), Yadav et al. (2011) and Lodhi et al. (2014).

Correlation coefficient which provides symmetrical measurement of degree of association between two variables or characters helps us in understanding the nature and magnitude of association among yield and yield components. The dependence of seed yield on various growth and yield parameters as well as interdependence among growth and yield parameters were evident from the positive and significant correlation presented in Table 3. In the present study, genotypic correlation coefficients were higher in magnitude than phenotypic correlation coefficient for most of the traits indicating the depression of phenotypic expression by environmental influence. The seed yield per plant, the
most important economic trait, was positively and significantly correlated with 1000 -seed weight ( 0.658 , $0.847)$, harvest index $(0.338,0.403)$, number of seeds per siliqua $(0.355,0.447)$, siliqua on main raceme $(0.339,0.447)$ at both phenotypic and genotypic levels respectively. Such positive association of seed yield per plant with harvest index, number of seeds per siliqua, siliqua on main raceme was also observed by Shweta et al. (2014), Kumar and Pandey (2014), Lodhi et al. (2014), Singh et al. (2014), Sirohi et al. (2015), Kumar et al. (2016) and Kumar et al. (2017). The phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients between important yield components varied from being significantly positive to significant negative besides being non-significant for many characters pairs. This reveals a high complete situation in attaining a proper balance between yield and its components due to complexities that arise due to subsistence of strong negative and positive association between various characters in this, as well as in many other crops (Singh et al., 2003; Misra et al., 2008; Suryanarayana et al., 2014; Negi et al., 2017). The grain yield, in most of the crops, is referred to as super character which results from multiplicative interaction of several other characters that are termed as yield components.

Knowledge of correlation alone is often misleading as the correlation observed may not be always true. Two characters may show correlation just because they are correlated with a common third one. In such cases, it becomes necessary to use a method which takes in to account the casual relationship between the variables, in addition to the degree of such relationship. Path coefficient analysis measures the direct influence of one variable upon the other and permits separation of partitioning of total correlation into direct and indirect effect provide actual information on contribution of characters and thus from the basis for selection to improve the yield. In the present experiment, highest positive direct effect on seed yield per plant at phenotypic level was exerted by number of seed per siliqua ( 0.785 ) followed by plant height ( 0.698 ), days to 50 per cent flowering ( 0.511 ), 1000 -seed weight ( 0.368 ), germination per cent ( 0.352 ), seedling length ( 0.348 ), harvest index ( 0.302 ), siliqua on main raceme ( 0.215 ), days to maturity ( 0.146 ), seedling dry weight ( 0.110 ), root length ( 0.053 ), length of main raceme (0.032) (Table 2). However, the highest positive direct effect on seed yield per plant at genotypic level was exhibited by plant height ( 0.978 ) followed by 1000seed weight ( 0.552 ), number of seed per siliqua ( 0.490 ), siliqua on main raceme ( 0.423 ), vigour index-II ( 0.372 ), harvest index (0.286), vigour index- I (0.194), speed of germination ( 0.175 ), primary branches per plant $(0.167)$ and days to 50 per cent flowering (0.009). Similar result
Table 3: Estimates of phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients between different characters in Indian mustard

| Characters |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to $50 \%$ flowering | P | 0.562** | 0.028 | -0.0450 | 0.523** | 0.009 | 0.137 | -0.082 | 0.135 | -0.1350.5 | 0.503** | 0.161 | 0.222 | -0.012 | -0.119 | 0.078 | 0.008 | 0.098 | 0.037 | 0.135 |
|  | G | 0.700** | 0.024 | -0.1360 | .607** | 0.016 | 0.189 | -0.109 | 0.229 | -0.1650. | 0.579** | 0.241 | 0.373* | 0.052 | -0.219 | 0.146 | 0.029 | 0.175 | 0.068 | 0.187 |
| Days to maturity | P |  | 0.054 | -0.104 | 0.690 | 0.322* | 0.200 | -0.149 | 0.383* | -0.1050 | 0.604** | 0.300 | 0.262 | 0.040 | -0.214 | 0.141 | 0.136 | 0.166 | 0.169 | 0.306 |
|  | G |  | 0.095 | -0.1320 | $0.750 * * 0$ | 0.392* | 0.223 | -0.1580 | 0.468** | -0.1050. | 0.660** | 0.357 | 0.332* | 0.094 | -0.310 | 0.177 | 0.138 | 0.205 | 0.173 | 0.341* |
| Primary branches /plant | P |  |  | 0.611** | 0.085 | -0.014 | 0.128 | 0.054 | -0.195 | -0.092 | 0.032 | 0.023 | 0.127 | -0.057 | 0.139 | -0.107 | -0.076 | -0.097 | -0.062 | -0.169 |
|  | G |  |  | 0.715** | 0.088 | -0.042 | 0.163 | 0.041 | -0.263 | -0.102 | 0.004 | -0.001 | 0.157 | -0.031 | 0.226 | -0.107 | -0.069 | -0.095 | -0.055 | -0.178 |
| Secondary branches /plant | P |  |  |  | 0.007 | 0.017 | 0.007 | 0.147 | -0.229 | -0.027 | -0.029 | 0.104 | 0.087 | 0.039 | 0.104 | -0.005 | -0.071 | -5.806* | *-0.060 | -0.256 |
|  | G |  |  |  | -0.012 | 0.032 | 0.016 | 0.141 | -0.273 | -0.033 | -0.042 | 0.137 | 0.134 | 0.052 | 0.210 | -0.051 | -0.072 | -0.041 | -0.059 | -0.336* |
| Plant height <br> (cm) | P |  |  |  |  | 0.400* | 0.104 | -0.211 | 0.224 | -0.225 | 0.940** | 0.213 | 0.233 | 0.306 | -0.275 | 0.346* | 0.354* | 0.365* | 0.380* | 0.143 |
|  | G |  |  |  |  | 0.438** | 0.094 | -0.231 | 0.302 | -0.230 | 0.976** | 0.264 | 0.312 | 0.354* | *-0.419* | *0.414 | *0.366 | **0.432 | **0.397 | *0.181 |
| Length of main raceme (cm) | P |  |  |  |  |  | 0.162 | 0.036 | 0.379* | 0.072 | 0.373* | 0.299 | 0.121 | 0.279 | -0.208 | 0.255 | 0.211 | 0.266 | 0.224 | 0.309 |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  | 0.204 | 0.046 | 0.487** | 0.079 | 0.417** | 0.388* | 0.190 | 0.351* | -0.380* | 0.358* | 0.230 | 0.368* | 0.248 | 0.396* |
| Siliqua on main raceme (cm) | P |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.375* | 0.122 | -0.209 | 0.118 | -0.027 | 0.189 | -0.002 | 0.141 | -0.061 | -0.025 | -0.046 | -0.003 | 0.339* |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.434** | * 0.192 | -0.230 | 0.129 | -0.039 | 0.246 | 0.021 | 0.158 | -0.064 | -0.037 | -0.047 | -0.016 | 0.447** |
| Number of seeds | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.153 | -0.018 | -0.134 | -0.068 | 0.077 | -0.107 | 0.092 | -0.092 | -0.146 | -0.086 | -0.135 | 0.355* |
| /siliqua 1000 -seed weight | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.192 | -0.029 | -0.140 | -0.073 | 0.106 | -0.118 | 0.092 | -0.116 | -0.159 | -0.107 | -0.148 | 0.447** |
|  | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.303 | 0.232 | 0.244 | 0.027 | 0.258 | -0.247 | 0.304 | 0.359* | 0.306 | $0.357 *$ | 0.658** |
| (g) | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.340* | 0.281 | 0.324* | 0.093 | 0.419* | *-0.467 | **0.471 | .444* | *0.468* | *0.449 | **0.874** |
| Harvest index (\%) | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.256 | -0.007 | -0.114 | -0.057 | 0.034 | -0.007 | -0.010 | -0.014 | -0.022 | 0.338* |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.259 | -0.009 | -0.108 | -0.078 | 0.032 | -0.014 | -0.018 | -0.020 | -0.027 | 0.403* |
| Biological yield/ plant (g) | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.194 | 0.200 | 0.279 | -0.219 | 0.293 | 0.314* | 0.308 | 0.336* | 0.149 |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.249 | 0.255 | 0.344* | -0.329* | 0.370* | 0.332* | 0.382* | 0.356* | 0.178 |
| Speed of germination | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.189 | 0.127 | -0.169 | 0.170 | 0.152 | 0.187 | 0.175 | 0.065 |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.345* | 0.202 | -0.349* | 0.278 | 0.187 | 0.301 | 0.221 | 0.149 |
| Germination (\%) | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.003 | -0.141 | 0.102 | 0.069 | 0.193 | 0.196 | 0.031 |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.072 | -0.286 | 0.249 | 0.057 | 0.327* | 0.158 | 0.104 |
| Root length (cm) | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.461* | *0.827* | *0.725 | **0.814 | **0.71 | $3 * * 0.175$ |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.594* | *0.912* | *0.838 | **0.894 | **0.83 | ***0.225 |
| Shoot length (cm) | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.791 *$ | 0.611* | *0.795 | *0.622 | **-0.165 |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.877* | 0.885* | *0.883* | *0.911 | **-0.208 |
| Seedling length | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.820** | *0.995* | *0.821 | *0.221 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.970** | 0.996* | *0.988 | *0.227 |  |
| Seedling dry weight (g) Vigour index-i | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.813* | *0.991* | *0.186 |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.946* | *0.994* | *0.222 |
|  | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.826 *$ | 0.221 |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.973^{*}$ | 0.231 |
| Vigour index-ii | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.186 |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.230 |

[^1]Table 4. Direct and indirect effects of different characters on seed yield at phenotypic and phenotypic level in Indian mustard.

| Characters |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to 50\% | P | 0.511 | 0.082 | 0.0003 | 0.009 | 0.051 | 0.0003 | 0.029 | -0.023 | 0.049 | -0.04 | -0.028 | -0.007 | 0.0 | 0.0007 | 0.0001 | 10.105 | 0.01 | -0.6 | . 086 | 0.135 |
| flowering | G | . 09 | -0.376 | 60.004 | 0.056 | 0.201 | -0.001 | 0.080 | -0.042 | 0.849 | -0.047 | -0.846 | 0.342 | -0.168 | -0.0 | 0.010 | -0.054 | -0.063 | 0.104 | 36 | 0.187 |
| ays to | P | 006 | 0.1 | 06 | 0.022 | 0.068 | 0.010 | 0.043 | -0.042 | 0.141 | -0.031 | -0.034 | -0.01 | 0.092 | 0.002 | 0.0003 | 30.190 | 0.28 | -0.194 | -0.388 | 0.306 |
| maturity | G | 0.006 | -0.537 | 0.016 | 0.055 | 0.485 | -0.041 | 0.094 | -0.061 | 0.305 | -0.030 | -0.964 | 0.062 | -0.149 | -0.012 | 20.414 | -0.066 | -0.300 | 0.721 | 0.341 | 0.341 |
| Primary | P | 0.0003 | 0.007 | 0.011 | -0.128 | 0.008 | -0.0004 | 40.027 | 0.015 | -0.071 | -0.028 | -0.001 | -0.001 | 0.044 | -0.003 | 3-0.0002 | 2-0.145 | -0.162 | 0.113 | 0.142 | -0.169 |
| branches/plant | G | 0.000 | 051 | 0.167 | -0.296 | 0.174 | 0.004 | 0.069 | 0.016 | -0.171 | -0.029 | -0.00 | -0.000 | -0.071 | 0.004 | -0.010 | 0.039 | 0.150 | -0.056 | -0.110 | -0.178 |
| Secondary | P | -0.000 | 0.015 | 0.007 | -0.210 | 0.0007 | 0005 | 0.001 | 0.042 | -0.084 | -0.008 | 0.001 | -0.004 | 0.030 | 0.002 | -0.0002 | 2-0.007 | -0. | 6.7 E | 0.139 | -0.256 |
| branches/pla | G | -0. | 07 | 0.120 | -0.414 | -0.024 | -0.003 | 0.006 | 0.055 | -0.178 | -0.009 | 0.061 | 0.024 | -0.060 | -0.007 | -0.010 | 0.019 | 0.157 | -0.02 | -0.117 | -0.336 |
| Plant height | P | 0.006 | 0.100 | 0.001 | -0.001 | 0.698 | 0.013 | 0.022 | -0.060 | 0.082 | -0.068 | -0.053 | -0.609 | 0.082 | 0.016 | 0.0004 | 40.466 | 0.748 | -0.426 | 0.875 | 0.143 |
| (cm) | G | 0.006 | -0.403 | 0.014 | 0.005 | 0.978 | -0.046 | 0.040 | -0.090 | 0.197 | -0.066 | -0.427 | 0.046 | -0.140 | -0.046 | 0.020 | -0.154 | -0.79 | 0.257 | 0.784 | 0.181 |
| Length of mai | P | 0.0001 | 0.047 | 0.000 | . 003 | 0.039 | 0.032 | 0.035 | 0.010 | 0.140 | 0.021 | -0.021 | -0.013 | 0.042 | 0.014 | 0.0003 | 30.344 | 0.445 | -0.310 | -0.516 | 0.309 |
| raceme (cm) | G | 0.000 | -0.211 | -0.007 | -0.013 | 0.867 | -0.106 | 0.086 | 0.018 | 0.318 | 0.022 | -0.610 | 0.068 | -0.085 | -0.045 | 50.018 | -0.133 | -0.499 | 0.218 | 0.490 | 0.396 |
| Siliqua on main | P | 0.001 | 0.029 | 0.001 | -0.001 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.215 | 0.107 | 0.045 | -0.063 | -0.006 | 0.001 | 0.066 | 0.00 | 0.0002 | 2-0.082 | -0.052 | 0.054 | 0.008 | 0.339 |
| ceme (cm) | G | 0.001 | -0.119 | 0.027 | -0.006 | 0.187 | -0.021 | 0.423 | 0.169 | 0.125 | -0.066 | -0.189 | -0.006 | -0.110 | -0.002 | -0.007 | 70.023 | 0.080 | -0.02 | -0.032 | 0.447 |
| umber of | P | -0.00000 | -0.021 | 10.0006 | -0.03 | -0.020 | 0.001 | 0.081 | 0.785 | 0.056 | -0.505 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.027 | -0.005 | 5-0.0001 | 1-0.12 | -0.30 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.355 |
| seed/siliqua | G | -0.001 | 0.085 | 0.006 | -0.058 | -0.458 | -0.004 | 0.183 | 0.490 | 0.125 | -0.108 | 0.205 | -0.012 | -0.048 | 0.015 | -0.004 | 40.043 | 0.344 | -0.063 | -0.292 | 0.447 |
| 1000-seed | P | 0.001 | 0.056 | -0.002 | 0.048 | 0.022 | 0.012 | 0.026 | 0.043 | 0.368 | 0.091 | -0.013 | -0.011 | 0.009 | 0.013 | 30.0003 | 30.410 | 0.758 | -0.356 | -0.822 | 0.658 |
| weight (g) | G | 0.002 | -0.2 | -0.044 | 0.113 | 0.599 | -0.051 | 0.081 | 0.075 | 0.552 | 0.197 | -0.410 | 0.056 | -0.042 | -0.0 | 0.022 | -0.175 | -0.962 | 0.278 | 0.887 | 0.874 |
| Harvest in | P | -0.001 | -0.0 | -0.001 | 0.005 | -0.022 | -0.002 | -0.045 | -0.005 | 0.111 | 0.302 | 0.014 | 0.0003 | -0.040 | -0.0 | -5E-05 | -0.01 | -0.0 | 0.017 | . 051 | 0.338 |
| (\%) | G | -0.001 | 0.056 | -0.017 | 0.013 | -0.455 | -0.008 | -0.097 | -0.011 | 0.222 | 0.286 | 0.379 | -0.001 | 0.049 | 0.010 | -0.001 | 10.005 | 0.03 | -0.01 | -0.053 | 0.403 |
| Biological yield | P | 0.005 | 0.088 | 0.0003 | 0.006 | 0.092 | 0.012 | 0.025 | -0.038 | 0.085 | -0.077 | -0.056 | -0.009 | 0.070 | 0.014 | 40.0003 | 30.395 | 0.664 | -0.359 | -0.772 | 0.149 |
| /plant (g) | G | 0.005 | -0.3 | 0.0008 | 0.017 | 0.932 | -0.044 | 0.054 | -0.055 | 0.183 | -0.074 | -0.460 | 0.043 | -0.114 | -0.044 | 40.015 | -0.137 | -0.719 | 0.227 | 0.703 | 0.178 |
| Speed of | P | 001 | 0.043 | 0.0002 | 22 | 0.021 | 0.009 | -0.005 | -0.019 | 0.090 | -0.002 | -0.010 | -0.046 | 0.066 | 0.006 | 0.0002 | 20.230 | 0.322 | -0.217 | -0. | 0.065 |
| germination | G | 0.002 | -0.1 | -0.0003 | -0.057 | 0.522 | -0.041 | -0.016 | -0.028 | 0.211 | -0.002 | -0.365 | 0.175 | -0.155 | -0.026 | 0.016 | -0.10 | -0.4 | 0.17 | 0.437 | 0.149 |
| Germinatio | P | 0.0026 | 0.0383 | 0.001 | -0.018 | 0.023 | 0.003 | 0.040 | 0.022 | 0.010 | -0.034 | -0.011 | -0.008 | 0.352 | 0.000 | 0.0002 | 20.138 | 0.145 | -0.225 | -0.450 | 0.031 |
| (\%) | G | 0.003 | -0.178 | 0.026 | -0.055 | 0.618 | -0.020 | 0.104 | 0.041 | 0.061 | -0.031 | -0.372 | 0.060 | -0.450 | -0.009 | 90.013 | -0.092 | -0.123 | 0.194 | 0.313 | 0.104 |
| Root length | P | -0. | 0.005 | -0.0006 | -0.008 | 0.030 | 0.009 | -0.000 | -0.030 | 0.095 | -0.017 | -0.015 | -0.005 | 0.001 | 0.053 | 30.400 | 0.716 | 0.531 | -0.949 | -0.63 | 0.175 |
| (cm) | G | 0.0 |  | . 005 | -0.024 | 0.701 | -0.037 | 0.008 | -0.046 | 0.273 | -0.022 | -0.503 | 0.035 | -0.032 | -0.130 | 00.028 | -0.339 | -0.813 | 0.532 | 0.650 | 0.225 |
| Shoot | P | -0.001 | -0.031 | 0.001 | -0.022 | -0.027 | -0.006 | 0.030 | 0.026 | -0.091 | 0.010 | 0.412 | 0.007 | -0.049 | -0.024 | -0.001 | 10.667 | 0.290 | -0.926 | -0.430 | -0.165 |
| (cm) | G | -0.002 | 0.167 | 0.038 | -0.087 | -0.830 | 0.040 | 0.067 | 0.036 | -0.305 | 0.009 | 0.481 | -0.061 | 0.128 | 0.077 | -0.048 | -0.326 | -0.916 | 0.525 | 0.797 | -0.208 |
| Seedling length | P | 0.0009 | 0.020 | -0.001 | 0.001 | 0.034 | 0.008 | -0.013 | -0.026 | 0.112 | -0.002 | -0.016 | -0.007 | 0.036 | 0.043 | -0.001 | 0.348 | 0.731 | -0.159 | -0.887 | 0.221 |
| (cm) | G | 0.001 | -0.095 | -0.018 | 0.021 | 0.819 | -0.038 | -0.027 | -0.045 | 0.307 | -0.004 | -0.540 | 0.048 | -0.112 | -0.119 | 9-0.542 | -0.372 | -0.599 | 0.592 | 0.950 | 0.227 |
| Seedling dry | P | 0.0001 | 0.019 | -0.0009 | 0.015 | 0.034 | 0.006 | -0.005 | -0.041 | 0.132 | -0.003 | -0.017 | -0.007 | 0.024 | 0.338 | -0.0009 | 90.806 | 0.110 | -0.947 | -0.278 | 0.186 |
| weight (g) | G | 0.0002 | -0.074 | -0.011 | 0.030 | 0.725 | -0.024 | -0.015 | -0.062 | 0.290 | -0.305 | -0.486 | 0.032 | -0.725 | -0.109 | -0.042 | -0.361 | -0.163 | 0.562 | 0.961 | 0.222 |
| Vigour | P | 0.001 | 0.024 | -0.001 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-0$ | 0.036 | 0.008 | -0.010 | -0.024 | 0.112 | -0.004 | -0.017 | -0.008 | 0.068 | 0.043 | -0.001 | 10.342 | 0.716 | -0.165 | -0.899 | 0.221 |
| index-I | G | 0.001 | -0.510 | -0.616 | 0.017 | 0.855 | -0.039 | -0.020 | -0.041 | 0.706 | -0.005 | -0.558 | 0.052 | -0.147 | -0.116 | 6-0.042 | -0.370 | -0.047 | 0.194 | 0.920 | 0.231 |
| Vigour | P | 0.0004 | 0.024 | -0.0007 | 0.012 | 0.037 | 0.007 | -0.000 | 8-0.038 | 0.532 | -0.006 | -0.018 | -0.008 | 0.069 | 0.637 | -0.0009 | 90.107 | 0.092 | -0.962 | -0.298 | 0.186 |
| index-II | G | 0.600 | -0.093 | -0.609 | 0.024 | 0.786 | -0.026 | -0.006 | -0.057 | 0.293 | -0.007 | -0.520 | 0.038 | -0.071 | -0.109 | 9-0.443 | -0.367 | -0.151 | 0.578 | 0.372 | 0.230 |

[^2]were observed by Verma et al. (2008), Maurya et al. (2013), Ikbal et al. (2014), Kumar et al. (2017), Kumar et al. (2018), Rout et al. (2018) and Vimal et al. (2018). Hence, direct selection for these traits would be rewarding for yield improvement, which will also reduce the undesirable effects of the component traits studied.

## Conclusion

An overview of the experimental results of present investigation indicated a wide spectrum of variation with respect to yield related traits and seed quality parameter among all the forty genotypes of Indian mustard. With the help of GCV done, it may not be feasible to determine the amount of heritable variation and the relative degree to which a character is transmitted from parent to offspring is indicated by the estimates of heritability. Heritability estimates along with genetic advance are normally helpful in predicting the gain under selection than heritability estimate alone. Hence, both heritability and GA were determined to get a clear picture of the scope of improvement in various characters through seletion. Seed yield per plant was positively and significantly correlated with 1000 -seed weight, harvest index, number of seeds per at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. The characters showing significant positive correlation among yield and important characters would be highly effective and efficient in improving respective traits. Path coefficient analysis identified as plant height and 1000seed weight important component having high order of direct effect and seedling dry weight via vigour index-II and seedling length via vigour index-II important component having high order of indirect effect on seed yield per plant. The characters identified above as important direct and indirect yield components merit due to consideration in formulating effective selection strategy for developing high yielding mustard genotypes.

## References

Anonymous. 2017. Statistical Year Book of India. Ministry of Statistical and Programme Implementation, Government of India, New Delhi.
Akabari VR and Niranjana M. 2015. Genetic variability and trait association studies in Indian mustard ( $B$. juncea L.). Int J Agril Sci 11: 35-39.
Burton, GW and De Vane EH. 1953. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (Festuca arundinace L.) from replicated clonal material. Agron J 45: 478-481.
Dewey DR and Lu KH. 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agron $J$ 51: 515-51.
Dilip TS, Singh SK and Kumar R. 2016. Genetic Variability, Character Association and Path Analysis in Indian
mustard. TECHNOFAME- A J Multi Adv Res 5: 50-58.
Goyal B, Singh D, Avatar R and Singh A. 2012. Estimation of selection parameters in the elite gene pool of Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.]. Res on Crops 13: 1098-1101.
Hanson CH, Robinson HF and Comstock RE. 1956. Biometrical studies of yield in segregating population of Korean Iespedza. Agron J 48: 268-272.
Iqbal S, Hamim I, Haque S and Nath UK. 2014. Genetic diversity analysis of mustard (Brassica spp.) germplasm using molecular marker for selection of short duration genotypes. J Pharm Phyto 14: 1439-1448.
Jonson HW, Robinson HF and Comstock RE. 1955. Estimates of Genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron J 47: 314-318.
Kumar A, Singh M, Yadav RK, Singh P and Lallu. 2018. Study of correlation and path coefficient among the characters of Indian mustard (B. juncea). The Pharma Innovation J 7: 412-416.
Kumar B and Pandey A. 2014. Association analysis of yield and its components in Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.]. Envio Eco 32: 1778-1783.

Kumar J, Srivastava KK and Yadav RDS. 2017. Evaluation of varibility and path analysis for quantitative traits in Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.]. Ann Int J 12: 836-843.
Kumar R, Gaurav SS, Jayasudha S and Kumar H. 2016. Study of correlation and path coefficient analysis in germplasm lines of Indian mustard (B. juncea L.). Agric Sci Digest., 36: 92-96.
Kumar R, Gaurav SSM, Jayasudha S and Kumar H. 2017. Study of correlation and path coefficient analysis in germplasm lines of Indian mustard (B. juncea L.). Agric Sci Dig 36: 92-96.
Lodhi B, Thakral NK, Ramavtar and Singh A. 2014. Genetic variability, association amd path analysis in Indian mustard (B. juncea). J Oilseed Brassica 5: 26-31.
Maurya N, Singh AK and Singh SK. 2013. Path coefficient analysis in Indian mustard (B. juncea L.). Adv Pl Sci 26: 273-274.
Mehla HR, Jambhukar SJ, Yadav OK and Sharma R. 2003. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in Indian mustard [B. juncea L. Czern \& Coss.]. J Genet Pl Breed 63: 171-172.
Misra RC, Sahu PK, Pradhan B, Das S and Mishra CHP. 2008. Character association, path-coefficient and selection indices in Finger millet (Eleusine coracana). Envir Eco 26: 166-170.

Negi S, Bhatt A and Kumar V. 2017. Character association and path analysis for yield and its related traits in finger millet (Eleusine coracana (L.) Gaertn) genotypes. J App Nat Sci 9: 1624-1629.
Panse VG and Sukhumi PV. 1967. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR, New Delhi.
Paroda RS. 2000. Farm Research Policy Essential. The Hindu Survey of Indian Agriculture. Chennai. 17-20.
Priyamedha, Singh VV, Chauhan JS, Meena ML and Mishra DC. 2013. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield components in early generation lines of Indian mustard (B. juncea L.). Current Adv Agri Sci 5: 37-40.
Rai H, Peerzada, Hamid O, Dahiya OS and Jakhar SS. 2017. Seed vigour Assessment in different varieties of Indian mustard (B. juncea L.). Int J Curr Microbial App Sci 6: 1930-1936.
Raliya B, Kumar K, Ramesh, Pukhraj, Meena HS and Mundiyara R. 2018. Genetic variability and character association in Indian mustard (B. juncea L.). Int $J$ Agri Sci 10: 5993-5996.
Rout Sanghamitra, Kerkhi SA and Chauhan C. 2018. Character association and path analysis among yield components in Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.]. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci 7: 50-55.
Shekhawat G, Jadeja C, Singh J and Shekhawat RS. 2014. Character association studies among yield and its component characters in Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.]. The Bio 9: 685-688.

Shweta and Prakash, O. 2014. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield components of Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.]. Int J Plant Sci 9: 428-430.
Singh A, Avtar R, Singh D, Sangwan O and Balyan P. 2013. Genetic Variability, character association and path analysis for seed yield and component traits under two environments in Indian mustard. J Oilseed Brassica 4: 43-48.
Singh KH, Chauhan JS, Srivastava KK and Kumar PR. 2003. Variability and character association in
segregating generation of mustard. J Oilseed Res 20: 118-119.
Singh P, Chauhan S, Mishra L and Shukla C. 2014. Character association and path coefficient analysis in Indian mustard. Adv Agri Sci 12: 87-93.
Sirohi SPS, Malik S and Kumar K. 2015. Correlation and path analysis of Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.]. Ann Agri Res 25: 491-494.
Srivastava KK, Vimal SC, Giri SP and Verma AK 2016. Studies on seed quality parameters in Indian mustard (B. juncea L.). Int J Agric Sci 12: 241-244.

Suryanarayana L, Sekhar D and Rao NV. 2014. Inter relationship and cause-effect analysis in Finger millet (Eleusine coracana (L.) Gaertn) genotypes. Int J Curr Micro App Sci 3: 937-941.
Tripathi N, Kumar K, Verma OP. 2013. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.] for seed yield and its contributing attributes under normal and saline/ alkaline. Int J Sci Res 6:14-15.
Upadhyay DK and Kumar K. 2009. Analysis of heritability and genetic advance in relation to yield and its components in Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.]. under normal and late sown conditions. Int J Pl Sci 4:12-14.
Verma R, Sharma R and Sharma SK. 2008. Association studies among yield and its component characters in Indian mustard [B. juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.]. Indian J Gen Plant Breed 68: 87-89.
Vimal SC, Kumar N and Singh, H. 2018. Correlation and path analysis of seed yield, its contributing traits and seed quality parameters in Indian mustard $[B$. juncea (L.) Czern \& Coss.]. Int J Sci Agril Eng 8: 2277-7601.
Yadav D, Giri SC, Vignesh M, Vasudev S, Yadav AK, Dass B, Rajendra Singh, Naveen Singh, Mohaptra, T and Prabhu KV. 2011. Genetic variability and trait association studies in Indian mustard (B. juncea). Indian J Agric Sci 81:712-716.


[^0]:    *significant at $0.5 \%$ level; **significant at $0.1 \%$ level

[^1]:    * and ** significant at $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ level of probability, respectively.

    1- Days to maturity, 2-Primary branches/ plant, 3-Secondary branches/plant, 4-Plant height ( cm ), $\mathbf{5}$ Length of main raceme ( cm ), 6-Siliqua on main raceme ( cm ), 7- Number of seeds/ siliqua, 8-1000-seed weight (g), 9-Harvest index (\%), 10- Biological yield/ plant (g), 11- Speed of germination, 12- Germination (\%), 13- Root length (cm), 14- Shoot length (cm), 15Seedling length (cm), 16- Seedling dry weight (g), 17-Vigour index-I, 18- Vigour index-II, 19- Seed yield/ plant (g)

[^2]:    Boldidual effect $=0.13964$
    1-Days to $50 \%$ flowering, 2- Days to maturity, 3-Primary branches/ plant, 4- Secondary branches/plant, 5-Plant height ( cm ), 6- Length of main raceme ( cm ), 7- Siliqua on main raceme
    

